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## MYSIDACEA

By Olive S. Tattersall, D.Sc.

(Text-figs. $1-46$ )

## INTRODUCTION

Before his death in 1943 my husband, the late Professor W. M. Tattersall, had done a considerable amount of preliminary identification of the very large number of mysids sorted from the collections of the 'Discovery' Investigations, now incorporated in the National Institute of Oceanography. Unfortunately not a single note concerning this work has been found among his papers so that all the counting, measuring and ascertaining the sex and age of the specimens has had to be done again. However, his provisional sorting into genera and, in some cases, into species has been of the utmost help in working on the material.

This very rich collection, amassed over a number of years, does not comprise all the mysids in the 'Discovery' collections, for much of the plankton has yet to be sorted, but there are over 5000 specimens, generally in very good condition, from 39I stations which are nearly all situated in the South Atlantic and Southern Ocean. In addition I have included a record of specimens of Boreomysis rostrata Illig, collected in surface tow-nets off the shores of Heard Island, which were sent to me for identification by the courtesy of Dr P. G. Law, Director of the Antarctic Division of the Department of External Affairs, Australian National Antarctic Expedition. This is particularly interesting because I can find no other record of this species from surface waters.

Identification of the Mysidacea is made difficult by the fact that, in many of the species, the animals continue to grow long after sexual maturity has been attained. This growth is accompanied by considerable changes in the proportions and armature of the body and appendages, so that smaller individuals differ profoundly from larger ones. This disconcerting phenomenon has led to much confusion in the past, because workers with only a few specimens at their command have frequently founded new species, which have subsequently proved to be different growth stages of species already described. The actual size of the animals is, unfortunately, not a reliable guide because specimens living in warmer waters mature more rapidly and reach the various growth stages at a much smaller size than those inhabiting colder regions.

Only when large numbers of specimens of all sizes are available can the gradual growth changes be traced and the true identity of younger individuals of a species be established. Such growth changes are particularly conspicuous in species of the genera Gnathophausia and Eucopia. The Discovery collection contains over sixty specimens (of all sizes) of Gnathophausia ingens and more than thirty of G. gigas. I have made detailed measurements of these species and the results, which I give in tabular form, fully endorse the valuable work in this field done by Ortmann and others. I have also drawn up a list of the species represented in the Discovery collection together with their synonymies, so that when isolated specimens are found they can the more readily be referred to their true species even though they may represent quite young growth stages (see p. 24-27).

Another difficulty in working out large collections lies in deciding how much individual variation should be tolerated among members of any one species. In many genera such a mass of slightly differing characters occurs that it seems impossible to find any consistent features whereby the animals can be separated into definite groups. As a result two alternatives arise, (i) making a very
large number of new species, or (ii) 'lumping' them all into one species with a very flexible definition. This problem occurs very markedly in the genera Boreomysis, Pseudomma and Euchaetomera. I have perhaps erred on the side of too much 'lumping' in these genera and I think that possibly future workers may find satisfactory characters which are sufficiently constant to justify the formation of several new species.

I have found little evidence of any correlation between differences in form and geographical distribution for specimens captured in the same areas and even in the same hauls display the same individual variation as those from completely different localities. There does appear, however, to be evidence of a geographical race in a species of Boreomysis captured in the waters around South Georgia. In general form, in the shape of the rostrum and in the characteristic form of the telson, the specimens agree with the descriptions of B. rostrata Illig, but they are distinctly larger and the eyes are nearly twice the usual size found in this species. These characters are so noticeable that the animals can be picked out with the naked eye. I would have founded a new species for them, but for the fact that specimens were present in near-by localities, in which the size of the eyes and the length of adult animals were intermediate between those of the normal $B$. rostrata and the large-eyed variety. I have therefore recorded them simply as 'Boreomysis rostrata with very large eyes' and suggested that they may represent a geographical race.

A total of thirty-six genera and ninety-five species is represented in the collection. Of these I regard two genera and twenty-eight species as new. A review of past records and, in some cases, an examination of material from other collections has made it necessary to change the names of one or two species, but this has only been done where absolutely necessary and full explanations are given in the text. In this connexion I should like to express my gratitude to Dr Waldo L. Schmitt of the Smithsonian Institution, Washington, for most generously lending me some specimens of a very rare and interesting mysid for comparison with some of the Discovery material and to Dr Isobel Gordon of the British Museum for allowing me to examine so many of the valuable collections in her care.

While this work was in progress I received a small supplementary collection of Mysidacea taken by R.R.S. 'William Scoresby' during a survey of the Benguela Current in March 1950. Mysids occurred at twelve stations and, although the actual numbers were few, ten genera were represented and sixteen species, one of which is new to science. The records are included in the list of stations of the 'William Scoresby' and a short account of the collection is added as an appendix to this report.

I should like to express my grateful thanks to Dr N. A. Mackintosh, Deputy Director of the National Institute of Oceanography for allowing me to examine this valuable and interesting collection and to tender my warm appreciation and thanks to Dr Helene Bargmann for her unfailing help and encouragement throughout the course of this work.

## GEAR

## ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE LIST OF STATIONS TO INDICATE K1ND OF GEAR USED

[^0]
## LIST OF STATIONS AT WHICH MYSIDACEA WERE COLLECTED WITH THE SPECIES OBTAINED AT EACH STATION

## R.R.S. 'DISCOVERY I' AND R.R.S. 'DISCOVERY II'

6. x. 25. $29^{\circ} 27^{\prime}$ N., $15^{\circ} 07^{\prime}$ W. From stomach of Naucrates ductor, 9000 m .: Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.
7. x. 25. $41^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ., 12^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime}$ W. Net 2 m. 900-0 m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
8. X. 25. $34^{\circ} 23^{\prime}$ N., $14^{\circ} 32^{\prime}$ W. Surface: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
9. x. 25. $13^{\circ} 25^{\prime}$ N., $18^{\circ} 22^{\prime}$ W. 900-0 m. : Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
10. xi. 25. $6^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ N., $15^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. N 200. 800 -0 m. : Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann; Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. 9. 11. ii. 26 (day). Midway between Gough Island and South Georgia. N 200. 1250(-0) m.: Gnathophansia gigas W.-Suhm.
St. 31. 17. iii. 26 (night). 13.5 miles N. $89^{\circ}$ E. of Jason Light, South Georgia. N 100 H. $90(-\mathrm{o}$ ) m. : Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen).
St. 32. 17 . iii. 26 (night). 22.8 miles N. $70^{\circ}$ E. of Jason Light, South Georgia. N 100 H. $90(-0$ ) m.: Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen).
St. 39. 25. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. N 7-T. 235-179 m.: Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes kerguelensis Illig; Mysidetes microps sp.n.; Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen); Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen.
St. 41 D, St. 41 E. 28. iii. 26 (night). $16 \frac{1}{2}$ miles N. $39^{\circ}$ E. of Barff Point, South Georgia. N 200 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$.: Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen); Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen.
St. 42. 1. iv. 26 (day). Off mouth of Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. OTL and N 4-T. 120-204 m.: Boreomysis rostrata var. (with very large eyes) Illig; Psendomma armatum Hansen; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen); Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen.
St. 45. 6. iv. 26 (day). 2.7 miles S. $85^{\circ}$ E. of Jason Light, South Georgia. OTL, N 4-T and N 7-T. 238270 m. : Pseudomma sarsi W.-Suhm in MS. Hansen; Pseudomma armatum Hansen; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes microps sp.n.; Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.; Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen); Antarctomysis ohlimi Hansen.
St. 49. 3. v. 26 (night). Off Cape Bougainville, East Falkland Is. N ioo H. 0-5 m.: Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. 51. 4. v. 26 (day). Off Eddystone Rock, East Falkland Is. NCS-T. 105-115 m.: Pseudomma mimutum sp.n.
đ Types; Pseudomma calmani sp.n.; Mysidetes crassa Hansen; Mysidetesintermedia sp.n. ; Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. 56. 16. v. 26 (day). Port William, East Falkland Is. NCS-T. $10 \frac{1}{2}-16 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. 71. 30. v. 26 (day). North-east of Falkland Is. TYF. 2000(-0) m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. 72. 1. vi. 26 (night). North-east of Falkland Is. N 450. 2000(-0) m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm.
St. 76. 5. vi. 26 (day). Midway between Gough Is. and Bahia, Argentine. N 450. 1500(-0) m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm.
St. 78. 12. vi. 26 (day). Mid-Atlantic, West of Cape Town. TYF. 1000(-0) m.: Eucopia australis Dana; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann; Longithorax capensis Zimmer.
St. 81. I8. vi. 26 (day). Mid-Atlantic, West of Cape Town. N 450. $650(-0) \mathrm{m}$. : Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); ? Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann.
St. 83. 21. vi. 26 (night). North-east of Tristan da Cunha. N 200 V. $650(-0) \mathrm{m}$.: Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. 85. 23. vi. 26 (night). West of Cape Town. N 450. 2000(-0) m.: Eucopia australis Dana; Boreomysis bispinosa sp.n.; Boreomysis sibogae Hansen.
St. 86. 24. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town. N 45 . $1000(-0) \mathrm{m} .:$ Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Boreomysis bispinosa sp.n.
St. 87. 25. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town. TYF. $1000(-0) \mathrm{m} .:$ Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Boreomysis illigi sp.n.; Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall; Meterythrops picta Holt and 'Tattersall; Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.

St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). Off Cape Town. TYF, $1000(-\mathrm{o})$ m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Boreomysis bispinosa sp.n.; Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall; Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall; Longithorax capensis Zimmer; Enchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann; Siriella thompsoni (M.-Ed.).
St. 90. 10. vii. 26 (day). False Bay, South Africa. NRM. 10-12 m.: Gastrosaccus sanctus (van Beneden); Anchialima truncata (G. O. Sars); Mysidopsis major (Zimmer); Mysidopsis schultzei (Zimmer); Mysidopsis similis (Zinmer); Mysidopsis camelina sp.n.
St. 91. 8. ix. 26 (day). False Bay, South Africa. NCS-N. 35 m.: Anchialina truncata (G. O. Sars). TYF. o-5 m.: Mysidopsis schultzei (Zimmer); $35 \mathrm{~m} .$, Mysidopsis similis (Zimmer).
12. ix. 26. Walvis Bay (from stomach of Trigla capensis). 4.57 m .: Afromysis hansoni Zimmer.

St. 100. 2. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town. TYF. 450-550 m.: Longithorax capensis Zimmer. $475(-0)$ m., ? Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall; Longithorax capensis Zimmer. 675-625 m., Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall; Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
3. x. 26 (day). TYF. $310-260 \mathrm{~m}$.: Euchaetomera temuis G. O. Sars; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann.

3/4. x. 26 (night). TYF. $1000-900$ m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall.
4. x. 26 (day). TYF. $2500(-0)$ m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Gibberythrops megalops sp.n. ô Type; Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann.
4. x. 26 (day). TYF. $2500-2000$ m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Boreomysis atlantica Nouvel; Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig); Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann.
St. ior. 14. x. 26 (night). West of Cape Town. N 450. 2580-2480 m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Chalaraspidum alatum (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel.
14. x. 26 (day). N450. 1410-1310 m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
15. x. 26 (day). N450. 950-850 m.: Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel.
${ }^{15}$. x. 26 (night). N $450.350-400(-0)$ m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 107. 4. xi. 26 (day). South-south-west of Cape Town. N 450. 950-850 m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 114. 12. xi. 26 (day). North-east of Bouvet I. N 450. 700-650 m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm.
St. 120. 22. xi. 26 (day). North-west of Bouvet I. N 100 H. $360-340(-0)$ m.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 123. 15. xii. 26 (day). Off Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. N 7-T, N 4-T. $230-250$ m.: Pseudomma armatum Hansen; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes microps sp.n.; Mysidopsis acuta Hansen; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall; Antartomysis ohlini Hansen.
St. 129. 19. xii. 26 (dusk to dark). Off South Georgia. N 70 V. $950-750 \mathrm{~m}$ : : Boreomysis rostrata var. (with very large eyes) Illig.
St. 134. 21. xii. 26 (day). South-east of Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. N 100 H. 123 m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 138. 22. xii. 26 (day). Off South Georgia. N 70 V. 1000-750 m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. I40. 23. xii. 26 (day). Off South Georgia. OTL. ${ }^{122-136 \mathrm{~m} \text {.: Psendomma sarsi (W.-Suhm); Mysidetes }}$ microps sp.n.; Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.; Antarctomysis naxima H. and T.
St. 142. 30. xii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. N 7-T, N 4-T. 88-273 m.: Pseudomma armatum Hansen; Pseudomma sarsi (W.-Suhm); Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes kerguelensis Illig; Mysidetes microps sp.n.; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.; Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen.
St. 143. 30. xii. 26 (day). Off Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. NCS-T. 273 m.: Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 144. 5. i. 27 (day). Off Stromness Harbour, South Georgia. NCS-T. 155-178 m.: Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes microps sp.n.; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 146. 8. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. DLH. 728 m .: Boreomysis rostrata var. (with very large eyes) Illig; Boreomysis inermis (W.-Suhm).
St. 148. 9. i. 27 (day). Off Cape Saunders, South Georgia. N ${ }_{4}$-T. ${ }_{132-148}$ m.: Pseudomma armatum Hansen; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes brachylepis W. M. Tattersall; Mysidetes microps sp.n.; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and 'Tattersall.

St. 149. 10. i. 27 (day). East Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. NCS-'T. 200-234 m.: Rhopalophthalmus egregius Hansen; Gastrosaccus sanctus (van Beneden); Pseudomma sarsi (W.-Suhm); Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 151. I6. i. 27 (day). North of South Georgia. N 450. 1275-1025 m.: Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 152. 17. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. DLH. 245 m.: Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall.
St. 154. 18. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. N 4-T. 60-160 m.: Pseudomma armatum Hansen; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis ohlimii Hansen; NCS-'T. 60-160 m.: Pseudomma sarsi W.-Suhm; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes microps. Types, sp.n.; Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen.
St. 156. 20. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. DLH. 200-236 m.: Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 162. 17. ii. 27 (day). Off Signy 1., South Orkneys. DLH. 320 m.: Pseudomma armatum Hansen; Pseudomma sarsi W.-Suhm; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 164. 18. ii. 27 (day). Near Cape Hansen, South Orkneys. NCS-T. 24-36 m.: Antarctomysis maxima Hansen in MS. Holt and Tattersall.
St. 167. 20. ii. 27 (day). Off Signy I., South Orkneys. N 4-T. 244-344 m.: Pseudomma armatum Hansen; Pseudomma sarsi W.-Suhm; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 169. 22. ii. 27 (day). West of South Orkneys. TYF. I $100-1000$ m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 170. 23. ii. 27 (day). Off Cape Bowles, South Shetlands. DLH. 342 m .: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 172. 26. ii. 27 (day). Off Deception I., South Shetlands. DLH. 525 m.: Mysidetes brachylepis W. M. Tattersall.
St. 181. 12. iii. 27 (day). Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago. N $4-\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{N} 7-{ }^{-} \Gamma, \mathrm{NCS}-\mathrm{T} .160-335 \mathrm{~m}$. : Hansenomysis antarctica Holt and 'Tattersall; Pseudomma belgicae Hansen; Pseudonma schollaertensis Types, sp.n.; Pseudomma longicaudum 'Types, sp.n.; Paramblyops brevirostris of 'Types, sp.n.; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 182. 14. iii. 27 (day). Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago. N 4-T. 278-500 m.: Pseudomma antarcticum Zimmer; Psendomma belgicae Hansen; Paramblyops brevirostris sp.n., ô Types; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall.
St. 187. 18. iii. 27 (day). Neumayr Channel, Palmer Archipelago. DLH. 259 m.: Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 190. 24. iii. 27 (day). Bismarck Strait, Palmer Archipelago. DLH. 43 m., 93-126 m. and 315 m. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall. 93-126 m.: Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.
St. 204. 6. iv. 27 (day). Bransfield Strait, South Shetlands, N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$. : Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 205. 6. iv. 27 (day). Bransfield Strait, South Shetlands: Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen. (No depth given.)
St. 208. 7. iv. 27 (day). Off Livingstone I., South Shetlands. TYF. $800(-0) \mathrm{m} .:$ Boreomysis brucei W. M. Tattersall; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen.
St. 239. 2. vi. 27 (day). North-east of South Georgia. N 450. 1350-1050(-0) m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Encopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis bispinosa sp.n.
St. 245. 10. vi. 27 (day). West of Tristan da Cunha. N450. 2000-1800 m.: Gnathophausia zoea W.-Suhm; Eucopia australis Dana; Boreomysis atlantica Nouvel.
St. 250. 17. vi. 27 (night). North-east of Tristan da Cunha. TYF. 300(-0) m.: Euchaetomeropsis merolepis (Illig).
St. 252. 20. vi. 27 (night). East-north-east of Tristan da Cunha. N 100 H. 135 m. : Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 253. 21. vi. 27 (day). North-east of T'ristan da Cunha. TYF. 1050-1000 m.: Chalaraspidum alatum (W.-Suhm); Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel.
St. 254. 21. vi. 27 (night). North-east of 'l'ristan da Cunha. TYF. 200(-0) m.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig); Euchatomera intermedia Nouvel; Longithorax capensis Zimmer.

St. 256. 23. vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town. TYF. 1100-850(-0) m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis rostra Illig; Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall; Katerythrops resimora sp.n. Types; Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall; Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann.
St. 257. 24. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape Town. TYF. 250(-0) m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed); Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel; Euchaetomeropsis merolepis (Illig).
St. 258. 25. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape Town. TYF. 450-320 m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars; Longithorax capensis Zimmer.
St. 259. 26. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape Town. TYF. 450-370(-0) m.: Longithorax capensis Zimmer; Euchaetomera zurstrasseni Illig; Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel.
St. 266. 21. vii. 27 (night). West of Orange River estuary. TYF. 200(-0) m.: Longithorax capensis Zimmer; Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica Illig; Euchaetomeropis merolepis (Illig).
St. 267. 23. vii. 27 (night). Off Angra Pequena, TYF. 550-450(-0) m.: Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Meterythrops picta Holt and 'Tattersall; Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall; Euchaetomera temuis G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig); Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel; Euchaetomeropsis merolepis (1llig); Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 268. 25 . vii. 27 (night). West of Cape Frio, South Africa. TYF. 150-100(-0) m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Euchaetonera intermedia Nouvel; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 270. 27. vii. 27 (night). TYF. $200(-0)$ m. West of Benguela: Arachnomysis leuckartii Chun.
St. 274. 4. viii. 27 (day). Off St Paul de Loanda, Angola. N 4 -T. $65-64$ m.: Rhopalophthalmus egregius Hansen; NCS-T. $65^{-6}+\mathrm{m}$.: Leptomysis apiops G. O. Sars; Leptomysis megalops Zimmer; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.

St. 277. 7. viii. 27 (night). South of Cape Lopez. TYF. $63(-0)$ m.: Lophogaster challengeri Fage; Anchialina truncata (G. O. Sars); Erythrops africana sp.n. Types; Leptomysis apiops G. O. Sars; Leptomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 279. 10. viii. 27 (day). Off Cape Lopez. NCS-T. $5^{8-67}$ m.: Lophogaster challengeri Fage; Rhopalophthahmus egregius Hansen; Leptomysis apiops G. O. Sars; Leptomysis capensis Illig.
St. 280. 10. viii. 27 (night). Off Cape Lopez. N 100 B. $84-0 \mathrm{~m}$. : Afromysis hansomi Zimmer.
St. 28ı. 12. viii. 27 (day). West of Cape Lopez. TYF. $950-850(-0)$ m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. 282. 12. viii. 27 (night). West of Cape Lopez. TYF. $300(-0)$ m.: Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera temuis G. O. Sars; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer; Arachnomysis leuckartii Chun.
St. 285. 16. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea. N 450. ${ }^{175-125(-0) ~ m .: ~ G n a t h o p h a u s i a ~ i n g e n s ~(D o h r n) . ~}$
St. 286. 17. viii. 27 (night). Midway between Cape Lopez and Ascension I. TYF. $125(-0)$ m.: Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.

St. 287. 19. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea. TYF. 1000-800(-o) m.: Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars.
St. 288. 21. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea. TYF. 250(-0) m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 289. 23/24. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea. TYF. 225-125(-0) m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 290. 24. viii. 27 (dawn). West of Sierra Leone. TYF. 100( -0 ) m.: Arachnomysis leuckartii Chun.
St. 295. 25. viii. 27 (day). West of Sierra Leone. TYF. 2700-2500(-0) m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia sp.; Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars.
St. 296. 26. viii. 27 (night). South-west of Monrovia. TYF. 550-450(-0) m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 298. 29. viii. 27 (day). West of Cape Verde. TYF. 1200-900(-0) m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis illigi sp.n. Types.
St. 300. 20. i. 30 (day). North of South Georgia. N 70 V. $750-500$ m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 302. 21. i. 30 (day). North of South Georgia. N 70 V. $1^{1000} 750 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsomi Holt and Tattersall.
St. 303. 21. i. 30 (day). North of South Georgia. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$. : Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.

St. 305. 21/22. i. 30 (night). North of South Georgia. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$. : Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 322. 31. i. 30 (day). North-west of South Georgia. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 323. 31. i. 30 (day). North-west of South Georgia. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 326. 2. ii. 30 (night). West of South Georgia. N 70 V. $50-\mathrm{m}$.: Mysis australe sp.n. $\%$ TYpes. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$. and 200-100 m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 327. 2. ii. 30 (day). West of South Georgia. N 70 V. $50-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Mysis australe sp.n. 200-100 m. Antarctomysis mavima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 331. 2. ii. 30 (day). West of South Georgia. N 70 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 334.4 ii. 30 (day). South of South Georgia. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 337. 5. ii. 30 (day). South of South Georgia. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsomi I Iolt and Tattersall.
St. 338. 5. ii. 30 (day). South of South Georgia. N 70 V. $225-100 \mathrm{~m}$. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 339. 5. ii. 30 (day). South of South Georgia. N 70 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$. and $250-100 \mathrm{~m}$. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 340. 5. i. 30 (night). South of South Georgia. N 70 V. $100-50$ m. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 341. 5/6. ii. 30 (night). South-west of South Georgia. N 70 V. $50-0 \mathrm{~m}$., $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$., and 230-100 m. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 344. 7/8. ii. 30 (night). South-east of South Georgia. N 70 V. $1000-750 \mathrm{~m}$. and $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
'St. 348. 8. ii. 30 (day). Off south-east of South Georgia. N 70 V. $90-50 \mathrm{~m}$.: Antarctomysis mavima Holt and 'Tattersall.
St. 349. 8. ii. 30 (night). South-east of South Georgia. N 70 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 353. 9. ii. 30 (day). East of South Georgia. N 70 V. $1000-750 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 357. 10. ii. 30 (dusk to dark). North-east of South Georgia. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 358 . 11. ii. 30 (night). North-east of South Georgia. N 70 V. $1000-750 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 363 . 26. ii. 30 (day). South Sandwich Is. DLH. 329-278 m. : Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall.
St. 366. 6. iii. 30 (day). 4 cables South of Cook Is., South Sandwich Is. DLH. 322-I55 m. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 368. 8. iii. 30 (day). Douglas Strait, South Sandwich Is., I mile North of Twitcher Rock. DLH. 653 m.: Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall.
St. 371. 14. iii. 30 (day). I mile East of Montagu I., South Sandwich Is. N 4-T. 99-161 m.: Mysidetes crassa Hansen; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 376. 11. iv. 30 (day). South of South Shetlands. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen.
St. 391. 18. iv. 30 (day). Midway between South Georgia and Cape Horn. N 450 B. $1300-1200(-0)$ m. (carapace only): Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Eucopia australis Dana.
St. 395. 13. v. 30 (day). North-east of South Georgia, N 450 B. 1600-1500 m. : Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis atlantica Nouvel.
St. 405. 4. vi. 30 (day). West of Cape Town. TYFB. $1200-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. 406. 5. vi. 30 (day). 6 cables north-east of Roman Rocks, Simon's Bay, Cape Peninsula. BRN. 29 m. : Anchialina truncata (G. O. Sars); Mysidopsis camelina sp.n., \& Type; Leptomysis capensis Illig.
St. 407. 12. vi. 30 (day). South-west of Cape Town. N 450 B. $950-800 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall.
St. 413. 21. viii. 30 (day). West of Saldanha Bay, Natal. TYFB. 2200-1600(-0) m. : Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm. 1600-1000 m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia linguicauda sp.n. Type; Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. 414. 28. viii. 30 (night). South of Cape Town. N 100 B. I700-1000 m.: Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. 421. 31. viii. 30 (day). South of Cape Town. N 100 B. $77^{-0} \mathrm{~m}$.: Leptomysis capensis Illig.
$=$

St. 423. 3. ix. 30 (night). South of Port Elizabeth, South Africa. N roo B. $56-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Anchialina trancata (G. O. Sars).
St. 424. 4. xi. 30 (night). Off Port Elizabeth, South Africa. N 100 B. $59-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Lophogaster challengeri Fage; Anchialina truncata (G. O. Sars); Leptomysis capensis Illig.
St. 436. 20. ix. 30 (day). Off Durban. BNR. $416(-0)$ m.: Amblyops durbami sp.n. Types.
St. 437. 20. ix. 30 (night). East of Durban. N 100 B. 123 -0 m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 440. 21. ix. 30 (day). East of Durban. TYFB. 1050-950(-0) m.: Lophogaster rotundatus lllig; Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; (dusk to dark) 'TYFB. 1000-0 m. : Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 441. 22. ix. 30 (night). South-east of Durban. N 100 B. $180-0$ m. : Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 443. 23. ix. 30 (night). South-west of Port Elizabeth. N ioo B. $49-0$ m.: Gastrosaccus sanctus (v. Beneden); Anchialina truncata (G. O. Sars); Lophogaster challengeri Fage; Leoptomysis capensis Illig.
St. 444. 24. ix. 39 (night). Off Cape Peninsula. N 100 B. $80-0$ m.: Lophogaster challengeri Fage; Auchialina truncata (G. O. Sars); Leptomysis capensis Illig; Leptomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 448. IO. X. 30 (night). South-west of Cape Town. N 70 B. 161-0 m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 461 D. 22. x. 30 (night). West-south-west of Bouvet Is. N 100 B. $490-385 \mathrm{~m}$.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 517. 26. xi. 30 (night). East of South Georgia. N 100 B. $102-0$ m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 5 18. 27. xi. 30 (night). South Georgia. N 100 B. $90-0$ m., Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall. I 4 juv. 9-II mm.
St. 563. 1. i. 31 (day). Bellingshausen Sea. N 100 B. $450-180 \mathrm{~m}$.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 590. 14. i. 31 (day). West of Graham Land. TYFH. I $400-1150$ m. : Eucopia australis Dana; Boreomysis brucei Tattersall; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall; Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 591. 14. i. 31 (day). West of Graham Land. N 100 B. 360-122 m.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 592. 15. i. $3^{1}$ (day). Bellingshausen Sea. N 100 B. 350-124 m.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (lllig).
St. 594. 15. i. 31 (day). North-west of Graham Land. N 100 B. $435-165 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 661. 2. iv. 31 (day). South-east of South Georgia. TYFV. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and 'Tattersall. $1500-1000 \mathrm{~m}$. Boreomysis brucei Tattersall. 2000-1500 m. (night): Boreomysis brucei 'Tattersall; Dactylamblyops hodgsomi Holt and Tattersall. $3000-2000 \mathrm{~m}$. (night): Eucopia australis Dana; Boreomysis bracei 'Tattersall; Dactylamblyopsis hodgsoni 'Tattersall.
St. 663. 5. iv. 31 (day). East-north-east of South Georgia. TYFV. 500-250 m. : Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Euchaetomera ঞurstrasseni (lllig). 1500-1000 m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsomi' 'Tattersall, 2000-1 500 m .: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni 'Tattersall.
St. 666. 17/I8. iv. 31 (day). North-east of South Georgia. TYFV. $750-500 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Euchaetomera aurstrasseni (Illig). $1000-750 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni 'Tattersall.
St. 668. 19. iv. 31 (day). North-west of South Georgia. TYFV. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$. and $1500-0 \mathrm{~m}$. : Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 671. 22/23. iv. 31 (night). South-west of Tristan da Cunha. TYFV. 1000-0 m.: Boreomysis rostrata lllig. 1500-1000 m. (night) : Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 673. 25. iv. 3 I (night). West of Tristan da Cunha. TYFB. 340 -o m. : Siviella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Echinomysis chumi lllig; Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel. 500-250 m.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig). 1000-750 m.: Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann. 1500-1000 m.: Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. 674. 25. iv. 31 (night). West of Tristan da Cunha. TYFB. 280-o m. : Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 675. 26. iv. 31 (day). West-north-west of Tristan da Cunha. TYFV. 1500-1000 m.: Eucopia australis Dana. St. 676. 26. iv. 3 I (night). West-north-west of Tristan da Cunha. TYFB. 290-om. : Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.
St. 677. 28. iv. 3 I (night). Mid-Atlantic, North-west of Tristan da Cunha. TYFB. $420-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Siriella thompsomii (M.-Ed.).

St. 679. 29. iv. $3^{1}$ (night). South of Ilha da Trinidade. TYFB. 300-0 m. : Lophogaster spinosus Ortmann; Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.). 500-250 m. : Siriella thompsoni (M.-Ed.). 2000-1500 m. (day): Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel.
St. 680. 30. iv. 31 (night). South of llha da Trinidade. TYFB. 260-0 m.: Lophogaster spinosus Ortmann.
St. 685. 3. v. $3^{1}$ (night). East of San Salvador, Brazil. 'TYFB. $350-0 \mathrm{~m}$. : Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 687. 5. v. $3^{1 \text { ( }}$ day). South-east of Pernambuco. TYFV. 1500-1000 m. Eucopia anstralis Dana.
St. 689. 6. v. $3^{1}$ (night). East of Pernambuco. TYFB. $410-0 \mathrm{~m}$. . Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).

St. 691. 8. v. 31 (night). Equator, south-west of Cape Verde Is. TYFB. 400-0 m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 692. 9. v. $3^{1}$ (night). Mid-Atlantic, just north of equator. TYFB. $350-0 \mathrm{~m}$. : Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 693. 10. v. 31 (day). Mid-Atlantic near equator. TYFV. $250-0 \mathrm{~m}$. : Boreomysis sibogae Hansen; Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$. : Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm). 2000-1500 m. : Eucopia anstralis Dana.
St. 694. Io. v. 31 (night). Mid-Atlantic, south-south-west of Cape Verde Is. TYFB. 210 -o m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Arachnomysis lenckartii Chun; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer.

St. 695. 11. v. 31 (night). Mid-Atlantic, south-south-west of Cape Verde Is. TYFB. 370-0 m.: Siriella thompsonii (MI.-Ed.); Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica Illig; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann.
St. 696. 12. v. 3 (day). South-west of Cape Verde Is. TYFV. $1000-750$ m.: Boreomysis illigi sp.n.; Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. 697. 12. v. $3^{1}$ (night). Mid-Atlantic, south-west of Cape Verde Is. TYFB. $460-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer.

St. 698 . 13.v. 3 I (night). South-west of Cape Verde Is. TYFB. $470-0$ m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel.
St. 699. I4. v. 31 (night). West of Cape Verde Is. TYFB. 370-0 m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer; TYFV. 250-0 m. (day): Arachnomysis leuckartii Chun; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann. TYFV. 1000-750 m. (day): Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. 700. 18. 5.31 (day). North-east of Cape Verde Is. TYFB. 2025-0 m.: Gnathophausia gracilis W.-Suhm; Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall; Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall; Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel.
St. 70I. 16. x. 3 I (night). Off Cape Verde Is. TYFB. 242-0 m. Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica Illig.
St. 702. 17. x. 31 (night). West of Sierra Leone. TYFB. 236-0 m.: Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars.
St. 703. 18. x. 31 (night). South-south-west of Cape Verde Is. TYFB. $35^{8-0}$ m. : Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 704. 19. x. 3I (night). South of Cape Verde Is. TYFB. 231-0 m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Anchialina typica (Kroyer); Enchaetomera intermedia Nouvel; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 705. 20. x. 3 (night). North-east of Pernambuco. TYFB. 150-0 m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 706. 21. x. 31 (night). North-east of Pernambuco. TYFB. $354-0 \mathrm{~m}$. : Siviella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann; Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 709. 24. x. 3 I (night). Off Abrolhos Is. TYFB. 216 -o m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 713. 29. x. 31 (night). East of Porto Alegre, Brazil. TYFB. 200-o m. : Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 714. 30. x. 31 (night). East of Monte Video. TYFB. $246-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall; Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel.
St. 717. 2. xi. 31 (night). North-north-east of Falkland Is. TYFB. $212-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel.
St. 844. S. iv. 32 (night). South of Cape Town. N 100 B. ${ }^{5} 55-0$ m.: Lophogaster challengeri Fage; Anchialina truncata (G. O. Sars); Longithorax capensis Illig; Leptomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. 942. 31. viii. 32 (night). East of Cook Strait, New Zealand. N 100 B. $350-110 \mathrm{~m}$ : Boreomysis rostrata Illig (doubtfully).
St. 946. 3. ix. 32 (night). South of Chatham I. N 100 B. $270-120 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 971. 25. ix. 32 (night). North of Bellingshausen Sea. N 100 B. $340-120 \mathrm{~m}$.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 980. 15. .. 32 (night). East of Magellan Strait. N 100 B. $104^{-0}$ m.: Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. 1298. 2. iii. 34 (day). Ice Edge, Bellingshausen Sea. N 450 H. Iooo(-0) m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Eucopia australis Dana.
St. 1371. 19. v. 34 (night). South-east of Port Elizabeth. N 70 B. $146-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Siriella thompsoniii (M.-Ed.).
St. ${ }^{1372}$. 20. v. 34 (night). East of East London. N 70 B. $102-0 \mathrm{~m}$. : Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 1374. 24. v. 34 (night). East of St Johns, Natal. TYFB. 230-0 m.: Longithorax capensis Zimmer.
St. ${ }^{1} 377.4$. viii. 34 (night). South-west of Cape Town. N roo B. $100-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.

St. 1517 . I4. ii. 35 (night). Ice-edge east of Weddell Sea. N 100 B. $420-230 \mathrm{~m}$.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni Illig.
St. 1539. 25. ii. 35 (night). Ice-edge off Enderby Land. N roo B. $35^{-230}$ m.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni Illig.
St. 1555. 29. iii. 35 (night). South of South Africa. TYFB. 1000-0 m.: Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1558. I. iv. 35 (night). West of Prince Edward Is. TYFB. 1300-0 m.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni Illig.
St. 1561. 4. iv. 35 (night). West of Prince Edward Is. TYFB. $1250-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1566. 9. iv. 35 (night). North of Prince Edward Is. TYFB. $1350-0$ m.: Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. 1567. Io. iv. 35 (night). North of Prince Edward Is. TYFB. ${ }^{1} 350-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Gnathophansia ingens (Dohrn); Siriella thompsomi (M.-Ed.).
St. 1568 . I1. iv. 35 (night). South-east of Durban. TYFB. $1400-0$ m.: Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera oculata Hansen; Gibberythrops acauthura (Illig).
St. 1569. 12. iv. 35 (night). South-east of Durban. TYFB. 1200-500 m.: Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall.
St. 157r. 2I. iv. 35 (night). South-west of Madagascar. TYFB. $500-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Siriefla thompsonii (M.-Ed.). $1400-$ 1000 m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel.
St. 1573. 22. iv. 35 (night). Mozambique Channel. TYFB. $800-0$ m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 1574. 23. iv. 35 (night). Mozambique Channel. TYFB. 1 100-450 m.: Eucopia inguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. ${ }^{1575 .}$ 24. iv. 35 (night). Mozambique Channel. TYFB. $400-0 \mathrm{~m}$. and $800-550 \mathrm{~m}$.: Gmathophatsia ingens (Dohrn). N 70 B. $800-0 \mathrm{~m}$. (night); Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall.
St. $157^{6}$. 25. iv. 35 (night). Mozambique Channel. TYFB. $400-\mathrm{om}$.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn). $1100-400 \mathrm{~m}$.: Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. 1 578. 26. iv. 35 (night). North of Mozambique Channel. TYFB. $500-\mathrm{m}$.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Siriella aequiremis Hansen; Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.
St. 1580.27 . iv. 35 (night). South-east of Zanzibar. TYFB. $450-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn). N 70 B. $1300-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon. TYFB. $1300-750 \mathrm{~m}$.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm).
St. 158r. 28. iv. 35 (night). East of Zanzibar. TYFB. $600-0$ m.: Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars.
St. 1582. 29. iv. 35 (night). East of Zanzibar. N 450 H. 1900-1 $850(-0) \mathrm{m} .:$ Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Gnathophausia zoea W.-Suhm; Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel.
St. 1585. r. v. 35 (night). East of Juba, Somaliland. 'TYFB. $500-0$ m.: Lophogaster schmidti Fage; Siriella gracilis Dana; Siriella aequiremis Hansen; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann. 1400-700 m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis tattersalli sp.n.

St. 1586. 2. v. 35 (night). East of Somaliland. TYFB. $550-0 \mathrm{~m}$. : Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Siriella aequiremis Hansen; Petalophthalmus oculatus Illig; Gibberythrops acanthura Illig; Longithorax capensis Zimmer; Euchaetomera tennis G. O. Sars. 1650-950 m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel.
St. 1587.3 . v. 35 (night). South of Cape Guardafui. TYFB. $450-0$ m.: Lophogaster schmidti Fage; Echinomysis chuni Illig. 1250-800 m.: Lophogaster schmidti Fage; Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Boreomysis tattersalli sp.n. Types; Gibberythrops acanthura (Illig).
St. 1590. I3. x. 35 (night). South of Canary Isles. TYFB. 400-320 m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 1596. 21. x. 35 (night). Gulf of Guinea. TYFB. $450-310$ m.: Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.
St. 1602. 27. x. 35 (night). East of St Helena. TYFB. $470-300$ m.: Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Boreomysis illigi sp.n. 175-0 m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.); Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.
St. I604. 29. x. 35 (night). South-east of St Helena. TYFB. 620-500 m.: Boreomysis plebeja Hansen; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall.
St. i606. 3I. x. 35 (night). West of Angra Pequena, South-west Africa. TYFB. 600-500 m.: Heteroerythrops purpura gen. et sp.nov. \& Type; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Boreomysis plebeja Hansen; Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1633. 29. xi. 35 (day). South-east of Heard Is. N 70 B. $1100-875 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Dactylamblyops hodgsomi Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1644 . 16. i. 36 (day). Bay of Whales. BNR. 626 m.: Pseudomma belgicae (Hansen in MS.), Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.

St. 1652. 23. i. 36 (day). Bay of Whales, Ross Sea. DLH. 567 m .: Amblyops antarctica sp.n.; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1660. 27. i. 36 (day). Bay of Whales. N 7-T. 351 m. : Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1702. 17. iii. $3^{6}$ (day). Ice Edge off Wilkes Land. TYFB. 2000-1250 m.: Boreomysis brucei W. M. 'Tattersall; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1715. 23. iii. $3^{6}$ (night). Ice Edge off Budd's High Land. TYFB. 1400-1100 m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1739. 17. iv. 36 (day). West of Perth, Western Australia. 'TYFB. 3000-2000(-0) m.: Katerythrops oceanae Holt and 'I'attersall.
St. ${ }^{1741 .}$ 18. iv. 36 (day). West of Perth, Western Australia. N 450 B. $900-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Gnathophansia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 1747.23 . iv. $3^{6}$ (day). Indian Ocean, North of Amsterdam Is. TYFB. $400-0$ m.: Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.
St. 1753. 27. iv. 36 (day). North-west of New Amsterdam, South Indian Ocean. TYFB. 2900-1400 m. : Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1755. 29. iv. 36 (day). South-south-east of Mauritius. N 450 B. 1700-0 m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm.
St. 1761. 3.v. 36 (day). South of Madagascar. 'TYF 70 B. $1800-650 \mathrm{~m}$.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn); Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1763 . 5. v. 36 (day). South-east of Durban. N 450 B. $2000-0$ m.: Gnathophansia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 1764. 6. v. 36 (night). South-east of Durban. N 450 B. 1000-0 m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 1765 . 7.v. 36 (day). East of East London, South Africa. TYF 70 B. ${ }^{1} 350-800 \mathrm{~m}$.: Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. 1770. 21. v. 36 (night). West of Cape Town. N 100 B. 340-210 m.: Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn).
St. 1775. 27. v. 36 (night). South-east of Gough I. N 70 V. $1500-1000 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Gnathophansia gigas W.-Suhm.
St. I798. 12. vi. 36 (night). North-east of Bouvet I. N 70 V. $1000-750$ m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm.
St. 1802 . 16. ix. 36 (day). Off Cape Town. N 70 V. $1000-750 \mathrm{~m}$.: Boreomysis rostrata lllig.
St. 1838. 12. x. 36 (day). West-north-west of South Sandwich Is. TYF 70 V. $750-250 \mathrm{~m}$. : Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall; Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 1855.4 xi. 36 (day). Off South Georgia. 'ГYF 70 B. $1050-500 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Dactylamblyops hodgsomi Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1866. 9. xi. 36 (night). Scotia Sea. N 100 B. $380-200 \mathrm{~m}$.: Autarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall (Hansen in MS.).
St. 1869. in. xi. 36 (day). Scotia Sea. TYFB. 1550-1000 m. : Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis brucei Tattersall.
St. 1871. 12. xi. 36 (day). East of South Shetlands. TYFB. $1450-1000 \mathrm{~m}$.: Boreomysis brucei Tattersall; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1872. 12. xi. 36 (day). Scotia Sea. N 100 H. 247 m.: Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1873. 13. xi. 36 (day). South of Falkland Is. DRR. $210-180 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1915. 2. xii. 36 (day). Scotia Sea. TYFB. $550-350 \mathrm{~m}$. Euchaetomera zurstrassemi (Illig).
St. 1917. 3. xii. 36 (day). Off South Georgia. TYFB. $1400-1000 \mathrm{~m}$. : Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 191g. 4. xii. 36 (day). Off South Georgia. TYFB. $1800-1300 \mathrm{~m}$.: Eucopia australis Dana; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1944. 2. i. 37 (day). North of South Orkneys. TYFB. 1500-1200 m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1946. 3. i. 37 (day). West of South Orkneys. TYFB. 1700-1 $300 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1952. II. i. 37 (day). South Shetlands. DLH. $367-383 \mathrm{~m}$.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall (Hansen in MS.).
St. 1955. 29. i. 37 (day). South Shetlands. DLH. $44^{-} 410$ m.: Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and ' 「attersall.
St. 1957. 3. ii. 37 (day). Off South side of Clarence I., South Shetlands. DLH. 785-810 m.: Pseudomma sarsi (W.-Suhm); Pseudomma antarcticum Zimmer; Amblyops antarctica sp.n. \& Type; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1966. 16. ii. 37 (day). North of South Orkneys. TYFB. i $800-1500 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Boreomysis brucei Tattersall; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.

St. 1970. 18. ii. 37 (day). Scotia Sea. TYFB. 1800-1 500 m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1972. 28. ii. 37 (day). Scotia Sea. TYFB. 2100-1400 m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1974. I. iii. 37 (day). Scotia Sea. TYFB. 1600-1000 m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm).
St. 1989. io. iii. 37 (day). East of South Georgia. TYFB. 1500-1200 m.: Boreomysis plebeja Hansen; Dactylamblyops hodgsomi Holt and Tattersall.
St. 199I. 11. iii. 37 (day). South-east of South Georgia. TYFB. I $500-1000$ m.: Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm; Boreomysis brucei Tattersall; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1993. 12. iii. 37 (day). South-west of South Sandwich Is. TYFB. $950-650$ m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 1995. 13. iii. 37 (day). South-south-east of South Sandwich Is. TYFB. $1800-\mathrm{I} 300 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Boreomysis brucei Tattersall.
St. 1999. 15. iii. 37 (day). South-east of South Sandwich Is. N 100 B. $1000-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. 2006. 19. iii. 37 (day). East of South Sandwich Is. TYFB. 1750-1400 m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsomi Holt and Tattersall.
St. 2018. 26. iii. 37 (night). West of Bouvet Isle. N 70 V. $1000-750 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsomi Holt and Tattersall.
St. 2022. 28, iii. 37 (night). North-west of Bouvet Is. N 100 B. $700-400 \mathrm{~m}$.: Guathophausia gigas W.-Suhm.
St. 2033. 6. iv. 37 (day). Off Cape Town. TYFB. $1350-1250 \mathrm{~m}$.: Boreomysis bispinosa sp.n. \& Type.
St. 2034. 6. iv. 37 (night). West of Cape Town. N 100 B. $162-0$ m.: Boreomysis illigi sp.n.
St. 2035. 7. iv. 37 (day). Off Cape Town. TYFB. $950-750$ m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. 2038. 19. iv. 37 (day). West of Cape Town. TYFB. 1200-850 m.: Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. 2042. 22. iv. 37 (day). West of Saldanha Bay, South Africa. N $100 \mathrm{H} .0-5 \mathrm{~m} .: ~ S i r i e l l a ~ t h o m p s o m i i ~(M .-E d) . ~.$.
St. 2044. 23. iv. 37 (day). Due west of Orange River estuary. N 100 H. 0-5 m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. 2053. 27. iv. 37 (day). East-south-east of St Helena. TYFB. 900-550 m.: Eucopia australis Dana.
St. 2055. 28. iv. 37 (day). East of St Helena. TYFB. 2000-1400 m.: Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Boreomysis acuminata sp.n.
St. 2057. 29. iv. 37 (day). North-east of St Helena. N 450 B. 1450-700 m.: Gnathophausia gracilis W.-Suhm; Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis bispinosa sp.n. ${ }^{1}$ Type.
St. 2059. 30. iv. 37 (day). North-north-east of St Helena. N 450 B. $1400-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Gnathophausia gracilis W.-Suhm. 1900-1400 m.: Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel.
St. 2061. I. v. 37 (day). East-north-east of Ascension I. N 450 B. 1900-r 500 m.: Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. 2063 . 2. v. 37 (day). North-east of Ascension I. N 450 B. $600-0$ m.: Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars. 11 50-600 m.: Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars.
St. 2064. 3. v. 37 (day). North-north-east of Ascension I. N 450 B. $1600-1050$ m.: Guathophausia zoea W.-Suhm; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars; Boreomysis acuminata sp.n.
St. 2065. 4. v. 37 (day). North of Ascension I. N 450 B. 1600-1 400 m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars; Boreomysis acuminata sp.n.
St. 2066. 5. v. 37 (day). South-west of Monrovia. N 450 B. 1950-1550 m.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm); Eucopia australis Dana; Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon; Boreomysis acuminata sp.n., Types. $1550-0$ m.: Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon.
St. 2550. 23. i. 39 (night). $67^{\circ} 27 \cdot 8^{\prime}$ S., $06^{\circ} 35 \cdot 3^{\circ}$ E., off Pack Ice. TYFB. $430-230 \mathrm{~m}$.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. 2682. 8. vi. 50 (night). South-east of Aden. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm).
St. 2685. 22. vi. 50 (night). $07^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ S., $90^{\circ} 00^{\prime}$ E. N 70 V. $250-100 \mathrm{~m}$.: Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars.

## R.R.S. 'WILLIAM SCORESBY'

St. WS 22. 30. xi. 26 (day). North of South Georgia. N 70 V. $1000-750$ m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsomi Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 25. 17. xii. 26 (night). Undine Harbour (North), South Georgia. BTS. $18-27 \mathrm{~m}$. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 26. 18. xii. 26 (dusk to dark). North of Bird Is., South Georgia. N 70 V. $1000-750 \mathrm{~m}$. : Boreomysis sibogae Hansen.
St. WS 27. 19. xii. 26 (day). Off South Georgia. N 100 H. 107 m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 28. 19. xii. 26 (day). Off South Georgia. N 100 H. 80 m. and ${ }^{145-100 ~ m . ~: ~ A n t a r c t o m y s i s ~ m a x i m a ~ H o l t ~ a n d ~}$ Tattersall.
St. IVS 29. 19. xii. 26 (day). Off South Georgia. N 70 V. $50-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall; $600-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Boreomysis rostrata var. (with large eyes) Illig; Boreomysis sibogae Hansen.
St. WS 30. 19/20. xii. 26 (night). Off South Georgia. N 70 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall. 250-100 m.: Euchaetomera ঞurstrasseni (1llig); A. maxima Holt and Tattersall. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 31. 20. xii. 26 (day). South-east of South Georgia. N 100 H. 53 m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 32. 21. xii. 26 (day). Mouth of Drygalski Fiord, South Georgia. BTS. 225 m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen.
St. WS 33. 21. xii. 26 (day). South of Drygalski Fiord, South Georgia. N 100 H. 130 m . (bottom): Antarctomysis maxima Holt and 'Tattersall.
St. WS 35. 21/22. xii. 26 (night). South of South Georgia. N 100 H. 51 m. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 37. 22. xii. 26 (day). Off South Georgia. N 70 V. 300-250 m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 38. 22/23. xii. 26 (night). East of South Georgia. N 70 V. $1000-750$ m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 40. 7. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. N 70 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$. and $175^{-100 \mathrm{~m} .: \text { Antarctomysis maxima Holt }}$ and Tattersall.
St. WS 41. 7. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. N 100 H. $146 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 42. 7. i. 27 (dusk to dark). Off south of South Georgia. N 70 V. 170-100 m. (night). N 100 H .99 m. and 198 m. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 43. 7/8. i. 27 (night). South of South Georgia. N 70 V. $50-0 \mathrm{~m} .$, N $100 \mathrm{H} .0-5 \mathrm{~m} ., 70 \mathrm{~m}$. and 14 I m .: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 44. 8. i. 27 (day). South of South Georgia. N 70 V. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall (no depth on label).
St. WS 45. 8. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. N 70 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$. and $175-100 \mathrm{~m}$.; N 100 H .5 Im . and 102 m .: Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen MS.) Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 46. 8. i. 27 (night). Off South Georgia. N 70 V. $50-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 171-50 \mathrm{~m} ., 100-50 \mathrm{~m}$.; N 100 H .73 m . and ${ }^{1} \psi^{6} \mathrm{~m}$.: Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen MS.) Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 47. 9. i. 27 (night). Off South Georgia. N 100 H. $0-5 \mathrm{~m} ., 63 \mathrm{~m}$. and 126 m .; N $70 \mathrm{~V} .50-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 100-50 \mathrm{~m}$. and $150-100 \mathrm{~m}$. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 48. 9. i. 27 (day). West of Cape Nunez, South Georgia. N 100 H. $96 \mathrm{~m} . ; 192 \mathrm{~m} . ;$ N $70 \mathrm{~V} .50-0 \mathrm{~m} . ; 224^{-}$ 100 m .: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall (Hansen MS.).
St. WS 49. 9. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. N $100 \mathrm{H} .69 \mathrm{~m} . ; 137 \mathrm{~m} . ;$ N $70 \mathrm{~V} .100-50 \mathrm{~m} . ; 225^{-100 \mathrm{~m} .: ~ A n t a r c t o-~}$ mysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 50. 9. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. N 100 H. 71 m.; $142 \mathrm{~m} . ;$ N $70 \mathrm{~V} .50-0 \mathrm{~m} . ; 225-100 \mathrm{~m}$. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 5ı. 9. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia. N $100 \mathrm{H} .64 \mathrm{~m} . ; 128 \mathrm{~m} . ; \mathrm{N} 70 \mathrm{H} .119 \mathrm{~m} . ; \mathrm{N} 70 \mathrm{~V} .100-50 \mathrm{~m}$. ; 210-100 m.: Antarctomysis mavima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 52. 10. i. 27 (day). North-west of South Georgia. N 100 H. 100 m.; N 70 V. $180-100$ m. : Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 62. 19. i. 27 (day). Wilson Harbour, South Georgia. BTS. 26-83 m. : Autarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.

St. WS 89. 7. iv. 27 (day). 9 miles north $21^{\circ}$ E. of Arenas Point Light, Tierra del Fuego. N7-T. 23-21 m.: Neomysis patagona Zimmer; Arthromysis magellanica (Cunningham).
St. WS ifo. 26. v. 27 (dusk to dark). Off South Georgia. N 70 V. $980-750 \mathrm{~m}$. : Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. WS 133. 14/15. vi. 27 (night). Midway between Gough Is. and Cape Town. N 70 H. o-5 m.: Siriella thompsonii (M.-Ed.).
St. WS i44. 19. ii. 28 (day). North-west of South Georgia. N 70 V. 270-100 m.: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 173. 6/7. iii. 28 (night). East of South Georgia. N 70 V. $500-250 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig).
St. WS 177. 7. iii. 28 (day). South Georgia. N ioo B. 97 -0 m.; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 210. 29. v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 161 m.: Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.
St. WS 211. 29.v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 161-174 m.: Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 212. 30. v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is. N 4-T. 242-249 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Mysidetes brachylepis W. M. Tattersall; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 213.30. v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 249-239 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n., Types; Pseidomma sarsi (W.-Suhm); Mysidetes macrops sp.n.; Mysidetes crassa Hansen.
St. WS 214. 3I. v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 208-219 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.
St. WS 2 15. 31. v. 28 (dusk to night). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 219-146 m.: Pseudomma calmami sp.n.; Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.
St. WS 219 . 3. vi. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T'. 116-114 m.: Pseudomma calmani sp.n.; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes microps sp.n. (doubtfully); Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 220. 3. vi. 28 (dusk to dark). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 108-104 m.: Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 222. 8. vi. 28 (day). North-west of Falkland Is. NCS-T. $100-106 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 226. 10. vi. 28 (day). North-west of Falkland Is. NCS-T. I $4^{-1} 5^{2} \mathrm{~m} .:$ Pseudomma calmami sp.n.; Mysidetes crassa Hansen; Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 227 . 12. vi. 28 (night to dawn). East of Falkland Is. NCS-T. $320-298 \mathrm{~m}$.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 229. I. vii. 28 (day). North-east of Falkland Is. NCS-T. $210-27 \mathrm{I}$ m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Pseudomma calmani sp.n.; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 233. 5. vii. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 185-175 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.; Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 234. 5. vii. 28 (night). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 195-207 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Psendomma sarsi (W.-Suhm); Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 235. 6. vii. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. ${ }^{1} 55-155 \mathrm{~m}$. : Pseudomma calmani sp.n.
St. WS 236. 6. vii. 28 (dusk to dark). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 272-300 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 237. 7. vii. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. $150-256$ m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 239. 15. vii. 28 (night). West of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 196-193 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall; Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.
St. WS 243. 17. vii. 28 (dusk to dark). West of Falkland Is. N 4-T. 144-141 m.: Mysidetes intermedia sp.n.; Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS. 244. 18. vii. 28 (day). West of Falkland Is. N 4-T. 253-247 m. Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Mysidetes patagonica sp.n., Types; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 245. 18. vii. 28 (night). South-west of Falkland Is. N 4-T. 304-290 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 330. 27. xii. 28 (day). North-east of South Georgia. N 70 V. $900-760 \mathrm{~m}$.: Boreomysis rostrata var. (with very large eyes) Illig.
St. WS 385. 16. ii. 29 (night). Bransfield Strait, South Shetland Is. N 70 V. $1000-750$ m.: Boreomysis brucei W. M. Tattersall; Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall.

St. WS 552. 3. ii. 31 (day). Pack Ice, East of Weddell Sea. N 70 V. 250-200 mı.: Euchaetomera anrstrassemi (Illig).
St. WS 582.30 . iv. 31 (day). Magellan Strait. BTS. 110 m .: Boreomysis rostrata Illig.

St. WS 583. 2. v. $3^{1}$ (day). Magellan Strait. BTS. 14-78 m. : Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.
St. WS 748. I6. ix. $3^{1}$ (night). Magellan Strait. NR. $300(-0) \mathrm{m} .:$ Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Pseudomma magellanensis sp.n., Types; Amblyops sp., near kempi (Holt and Tattersall); Amblyopsoides obtusa sp.n.; Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.; Mysidetes intermedia sp.n.; Mysidetes anomala sp.n. Types.
St. WS 749. I8. ix. 3 I (day). Magellan Strait. NR. $40(-0) \mathrm{m}$ : Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Mysidetes anomala sp.n. ; Neomysis patagona Zimmer; Arthromysis magellanica (Cunningham).
St. WS 758. 12. x. 31 (night). North of Falkland Is. NR. $94^{-0} \mathrm{~m} .:$ Pseudomma calmani sp.n.; Mysidetes intermedia sp.n., Types; Mysidopsis acuta Hansen. $112(-0)$ m.: Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.
St. WS 767. 19. x. $3^{1}$ (night). North of Falkland Is. NR. $98(-0) \mathrm{m}$. : Mysidopsis acuta Hansen; Pseudomma calmani sp.n.
St. WS 772. 30. x. 31 (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. $309-163 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Pseudomma calmani sp.n. (Types); Mysidetes macrops sp.n. (Types).
St. WS 773. 3I. x. 3 I (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 29 I-298 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Mysidetes crassa Hansen.
St. WS 775. 2. xi. $3^{\text {I (day). Patagonian Shelf, north-north-west of Falkland Is. NCS-T. I } 15-110 \mathrm{~m} . \text { : Pseudomma }}$ calmani sp.n.
St. WS 78 r. 6. xi. $3^{1}$ (day). Patagonian Shelf, north of Falkland Is. NCS-T. $148 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 782. 4. xii. 3 I (day). North of Falkland Is. N $4-\mathrm{T}$. $14 \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{I}} 46 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 784. 5. xii. $3^{1}$ (day). North of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 170-164 m.: Mysidetes intermedia sp.n. (doubtful).
St. WS 786. 7. xii. $3^{1}$ (day). Patagonian Shelf, north-west of Falkland Is. N 4-T. I34-I 19 m.: Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 787. 7. xii. 3 I (day). Patagonian Shelf, north-west of Falkland Is. NCS-T. Io6-1 Io m. : Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 798. 20. xii. 3I (day). Patagonian Shelf, north-west of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 49-66 m. : Mysidopsis acnta Hansen; Neomysis monticellii Colosi.
St. WS 8or. 22. xii. 3 I (day). Patagonian Shelf, north of Falkland Is. NCS.-T. 165 m. : Pseudomma calmani sp.n.; Mysidetes intermedia sp.n. ; Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS So2. 5. i. 32 (day). Patagonian Shelf, north of Falkland Is. Haul A. NCS-T. ${ }^{128-1} 32 \mathrm{~m}$. : Mysidopsis acuta Hansen; Haul B. NCS-T. ${ }^{1} 3^{2-1} 39 \mathrm{~m}$. : Pseudomma minutum sp.n.; Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 806. 7. i. 32 (day). Patagonian Shelf, north-west of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 123-130 m.: Pseudomma minutum sp.n. \& Types; Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 8o9. 8. i. 32 (day). Patagonian Shelf, north-west of Falkland Is. NCS-T. 108-104 m.: Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 816. 14. i. 32 (day). Patagonian Shelf, west of Falkland Is. N 4-T. $150-150 \mathrm{~m}$. : Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 8I8. I7. i. 32 (day). Patagonian Shelf, west-south-west of Falkland Is. N 4-T. 272-278 m. : Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Pseudomma sarsi (W.-Suhm in MS.) G. O. Sars ; Pseudomma minutum sp.n.; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 820. I8. i. 32 (night). South-west of Falkland Is. N 4-T. $35 \mathrm{I}-368 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.
St. WS 821. 18. i. 32 (day). South of Falkland Is. N 4-T. $46 \mathrm{r}-468 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.
St. WS 834. 2. ii. 32 (day). Patagonian Shelf, west of Falkland Is. N 7-T. $27-38 \mathrm{~m}$.: Neomysis patagona Zimmer.
St. WS 837. 3. ii. 32 (day). Patagonian Shelf. N 4 -T. IO2-102 m.: Mysidopsis acuta Hansen.
St. WS 839. 5. ii. 32 (night). Patagonian Shelf, south-west of Falkland Is. N4-T. 503-534 m.: Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.; Boreomysis rostrata Illig; Amblyopsoides obtusa sp.n. Types; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 87I. I. iv. 32 (day). South-west of Falkland Is. BTS. $336-342 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
St. WS 976. 6. iii. 50 (day). Nearly 200 miles west of Walvis Bay. N 70 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$. : Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel. 250-100 m.: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni Illig. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Boreomysis rostrata Illig. $1000-750 \mathrm{~m}$. : Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall; Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall.
St. WS 977. 6/7. iii. 50 (night). Nearly 200 miles north-west of Walvis Bay. N 70 V. 250-100 m.: Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars. $500-250 \mathrm{~m}$. : Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann. $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$.: Boreomysis rostrata Illig.

St. WS 978. 7. iii. 50 (day). 150 miles west of Walvis Bay. N 70 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m}$.: Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars; Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel. 500-250 m.: Meterythrops sp.?. $750-500 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Boreomysis rostata Illig.
St. WS 979. 7. iii. 50 (night). West of Walvis Bay. N 70 V. $100-50 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Boreomysis insolita sp.n.
St. WS 986. 10. iii. 50 (day). West of Spencer Bay, South-west Africa. N 70 V. $50-0 \mathrm{~m}$. : Arachnomysis lenckartii Chun. $1000-750$ m.: Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel; Boreomysis rostrata Illig.
St. WS 987. 10. iii. 50 (day). South-west of Walvis Bay. N 70 V. 50-0 m.: Boreomysis insolita sp.n. 250-100 m.: Boreomysis insolita sp.n. Types.
St. WS 996. 12. iii. 50 (day). 200 miles west of Orange River estuary. N 70 V. $1000-750 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars.

St. WS 998. 13. iii. 50 (day). West of Orange River estuary. N 70 V. 100-50 m., 175-100 m.: Leptomysis megalops Zimmer.
St. WS 1000. 13. iii. 50 (night). About 100 miles west of Orange River estuary. N 70 V. $50-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Anchialina typica (Kröyer). $100-50 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Gastrosaccus sanctus (van Beneden); Anchialina typica (Kröyer); Leptomysis megalops Zimmer. 550-100 m.: Anchialina typica (Kröyer).
St. WS IOOI. 13/14. iii. 50 (night). West of Orange River estuary. N 70 V. $50-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Leptomysis larvae? megalops.
St. WS 1002. I4. iii. 50 (night). 8 miles off Orange River estuary. N 70 V. $50-0 \mathrm{~m}$.: Gastrosaccus sanctus (van Beneden).

## MARINE BIOLOGICAL STATION, SOUTH GEORGIA

St. MS 19. 9. iv. 25 (day). 3 miles south-west of Merton Rock, East Cumberland Bay. NC 50 V. 120-80 m.: Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.
St. MS 20. 9. iv. 25 (day). $5 \frac{1}{4}$ miles south-west $\times$ west of Merton Rock, East Cumberland Bay. NC 50 V. 200$160 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Mysidetes microps sp.n. $40-0 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall (Hansen MS.).
St. MS 22. 9. iv. 25 (day). I. 3 miles north of Dartmouth Point, East Cumberland Bay. NC 50 V. 40 (m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. MS 23. I2. iv. 25 (day). East Cumberland Bay. NC 50 V. 220-160 m.: Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.
St. MS 26. I5. iv. 25 (day). East Cumberland Bay. Haul B. NC 50 H. 10 m.: Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. MS 27. 29. iv. 25 (day). $1 \frac{1}{4}$ miles south-west $\times$ west of Merton Rock, East Cumberland Bay. NC 50 V 160-120 m.: Mysidetes microps sp.n.; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. MS 32. I. v. 25 (day). East Cumberland Bay, $4 \frac{\text { I }}{2}$ cables north-east of Hobart Rock to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ miles south-south-east of Hope Point. BTS. $40 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. MS 62. 24. ii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay. BTS. 3 I m.: Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.
St. MS 63. 24. ii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, $1 \cdot 3$ miles south $\times$ east to $1 \cdot 6$ miles south-east $\times$ south of Hope Point. BTS. $23 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n. $\%$ Types.
St. MS 65. 28. ii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, I•6 miles south-east of Hobart Rock to I cable north of Dartmouth Point. BTS. 39 m. : Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.
St. MS 66. 28. ii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay. $2 \frac{1}{4}$ miles south-east of King Edward Point Light to $1 \frac{1}{2}$ cables west $\times$ north of Macmahon Rock. BTS. $18 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n. ô Types.
St. MS 67. 28. ii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay. BTS. 38 m . : Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.
St. MS 68. 2. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay. NRL. $220-247$ m.: Pseudomma armatum Hansen; Mysidetes kerguelensis Illig; Mysidetes microps sp.n.; Mysidetes macrops sp.n.; Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.; Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall; Antarctomysis ohlimi Hansen.
St. MS 71. 9. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, $9_{4}^{1}$ cables east $\times$ south to $\mathrm{I} \cdot 2$ miles east $\times$ south of Sappho Point. BTS. $110-60 \mathrm{~m} .:$ Mysidetes microps sp.n. Antarctomysis maxima Holt and Tattersall.
St. MS. 74. I7. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, I cable south-east $\times$ south of Hope point to $3 \cdot 1$ miles south-west of Merton Rock. BTS. 22-40 m.: Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.

## GEOGRAPHICAL DISTRIBU'TION

This very rich collection has considerably extended our knowledge of the geographical distribution of most of the species represented in it. Although many of the species have been recorded from both northern and southern hemispheres, there is no definite evidence of bipolarity. On the contrary, a closer examination of the species, which had been regarded as common to the two hemispheres, has proved in several instances that those from southern waters differ from those of northern waters so consistently as to leave no doubt that they belong to different species.

Hansen (1908, p. 100) suggested that as the specimens of Boreomysis scyphops G. O. Sars, which had been recorded from off the coasts of Finmark, did not agree with specimens which had been recorded under that name from the south-west of Australia, they should perhaps be referred to a different species. W. M. Tattersall (1951, p. 46), when studying the rich material of the United States National Museum, was able to confirm Hansen's observations and separated the southern specimens under the name $B$. inermis. He found that although this new species differed from the north European B. scyphops, it had a very wide distribution in the Pacific and occurred at many stations along the west coast of California and northward to the Behring Sea in the east, and in the Sea of Okhotsk in the north-west. The previous records were all from far south in the southern hemisphere, from the Weddell Sea, from near the Crozet Islands and from two stations to the south-west of Australia. The record in the Discovery collection is from South Georgia. B. inermis is bathypelagic and in all probability has a very wide distribution in the deep waters of the world. I think that the fact that it occurs so far north in the Pacific and so far south in the southern hemisphere points, not so much to an exhibition of bipolarity, but rather to the possibility that further exploration of the intermediate localities will reveal that it is present in the deeper waters. Its occurrence near South Georgia, so far north of its previous record from the Weddell Sea, supports this suggestion.
Fage (1942, pp. 7-39) thoroughly revised all the literature and records concerning Lophogaster typicus-a species which was originally recorded from North European waters and which has since been recorded from such widely separated localities that it has been regarded as having a world-wide distribution. He found certain well-defined differences whereby these animals could be separated into four distinct species, and he noted further that these could be correlated with their geographical distribution. One of his new species, L. challengeri, which previously was only known from off Cape Town, is represented in the present collection. It was taken by the ships of the Discovery Investigations at several stations around the south coast of South Africa and on three occasions off Cape Lopez. This extension northward of its known range may possibly be due to the influence of the Benguela Current, but until all the material recorded under the name of L. typicus has been re-examined, it is impossible to be sure that specimens of $L$. challengeri may not have been recorded from other localities as typicus. A careful comparison of the Discovery specimens with those of L. typicus from the west of Ireland fully bears out the observations made by Fage, and I accept L. challengeri as a valid species which is distinct from the northern L. typicus.

Zimmer (1914, p. 392) founded the species Longithorax capensis on a single adult male from South African waters. Nouvel ( 1943, p. 75) doubtfully referred a damaged immature specimen from deep water off the Azores to this species and W. M. Tattersall (1951, p. 121) referred specimens from the Bermudas to the same species. Both authors recorded certain differences between their specimens and Zimmer's description of the type, but attributed these, in the one case to the immaturity and damaged condition of the specimens, and in the other to immaturity and difference in sex. A close examination of the records and figures, together with a study of the present material, has convinced me that Nouvel's specimen from the Azores and Tattersall's from the Bermudas belong to the same species
which is not the same as Zimmer's Longithorax capensis from the southern hemisphere. I have suggested the name of Longithorax nouveli sp.n. for this species from the northern hemisphere.

Many species in the Discovery collection are to be found in the tropical and temperate waters of both southern and northern hemispheres. A few, such as Gnathophausia gigas, G. zoea, G. ingens, Eucopia nnguiculata, E. grimaldi, E. australis and Boreomysis rostrata appear to have a very wide distribution in all the oceans of the world, except the Arctic and Antarctic from which some of them have not yet been recorded. It is interesting to note that, at any rate as far as the Discovery collection is concerned, the species with the widest range are almost invariably very deep water forms and belong to the more primitive groups of the Mysidacea.

One of the most remarkable captures of the whole collection is that of four specimens of Antarctomysis maxima at station 274, just south of the equator off St Paul de Loanda, Angola. This species has always been regarded as a purely Antarctic form. Its capture at many stations around the coasts of South Georgia and the Falkland Islands has extended its known geographical range considerably to the northward, but its occurrence in tropical waters off the west coast of Africa is most surprising. One other capture is worthy of especial comment, that of a species of Mysis taken off the coast of South Georgia. This is the first time that any species of this genus has been recorded from the southern hemisphere.

In order to show how far the Discovery collection has extended our knowledge of the distribution of mysids in the southern seas, I have drawn up a list of new records and, for purposes of comparison, I give also lists of those species which are known so far from the Atlantic Ocean only, from the Antarctic and Southern Seas only and from the Atlantic and Indian Oceans only. Full details of localities and the vertical distribution of species are given in the List of Stations and a note on the distribution is given at the end of the record of each species.

## NEW RECORDS IN THE DISCOVERY COLLECTION AND OTHER EXTENSIONS OF KNOWN RANGE

## (Locality given in brackets)

Chalaraspidum alatum. New to South Atlantic (west of Cape Town).
Gnathophausia ingens. New to western South Atlantic (off La Plata); new to southern temperate waters (Prince Edward Is. and off South Africa).
Gnathophausia gigas. Range extended considerably to southward in South Pacific (Bellingshausen Sea).
Gnathophausia zoea. New to central South Atlantic (west of Tristan da Cunha).
Gnathophausia gracilis. New to southern hemisphere (off St Helena).
Lophogaster schmidti. New to Indian Ocean (Arabian Sea, off Ras Hafun).
Lophogaster challengeri. Range extended considerably northward in eastern South Atlantic (off Cape Lopez).
Eucopia sculpticauda. Range extended southward in Indian Ocean (off East London and Durban).
Boreomysis rostrata. First record from surface waters; range considerably extended southward in Indian Ocean (off Heard Island).
Boreomysis sibogae (doubtfully). New to South Atlantic (west of Cape Town and off South Georgia).
Boreomysis atlantica. New to southern hemisphere (off Cape Town and off South Georgia).
Boreomysis inermis. Range extended considerably northward in South Atlantic (South Georgia).
Rhopalophthalmus egregius. Range extended northward in eastern South Atlantic (off Cape Lopez) and westward (off South Georgia).
Gastrosaccus sanctus. New to southern hemisphere (off west coast of South Africa and off South Georgia). Anchialina truncata. Range extended considerably northward in eastern South Atlantic (off Cape Lopez).
Anchialina typica. New to eastern South Atlantic (Benguela Current).
Gibberythrops acanthura. Range extended considerably southward in western Indian Ocean (off Durban).
Meterythrops picta. New to Indian Ocean (south of Madagascar).

Echinomysis chumi. Range extended southward in South Atlantic (Tristan da Cunha), new to western Indian Ocean (off Ras Hafun).
Longithorax capensis. Range extended westward in South Atlantic (off Tristan da Cunha).
Euchaetomera typica. Range extended considerably southward in Indian Ocean (north of Amsterdam Island).
Euchaetomera tenuis. Range extended considerably southward in South Atlantic (South Africa).
Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica. New to southern hemisphere (off west coast of Africa from Orange River estuary to Cape Lopez).
Euchaetomera intermedia. Range extended very considerably southward in Atlantic. First record south of equator in Atlantic (South Africa).
Mysidetes kerguelensis. New to South Atlantic (South Georgia).
Leptomysis apiops. First record outside Mediterranean Sea (off Cape Lopez).
Leptomysis megalops. First record outside Mediterranean Sea (off west coasts of Central and South Africa from Cape Lopez to Cape Town).
Afromysis hansoni. First record free swimming in plankton—previous records from stomach of Trigla capensis.
Antarctomysis maxima. Range extended northward in South Atlantic (South Georgia and one record from St Paul de Loanda).
Autarctomysis ohlimi. Range extended northward in South Atlantic (South Georgia).

## RECORDS FROM THE ATLANTIC OCEAN (NORTH AND SOUTH) AND MEDITERRANEAN SEA

| Species | Previous records | Discovery records, extending known range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lophogaster challengeri | South of Cape of Good Hope | Around coasts of South Africa to Cape Lopez |
| L. spinosus | Warm waters of North and South Atlantic in open ocean | Open ocean east of Rio de Janeiro |
| Boreomysis atlantica | Azores | Cape Town, Tristan da Cunha, northeast of South Georgia |
| Gastrosaccus sanctus | Europe, Mediterranean, Morocco, Canaries, Gulf of Guinea | Cape Town, South-west Africa, South Georgia |
| Anchialina truncata | Off Cape Town | South and south-west coasts of Cape Province, Cape Lopez |
| Longithorax capensis | Off Cape Town and east of Cape Verde Is. | Cape Town to Tristan da Cunha |
| Pseudomma armatum | South Georgia | South Georgia and South Orkney Is. |
| Euchaetomeropsis merolepis | Mediterranean, Gulf of Guinea, South Atlantic | Eastern South Atlantic |
| Arachnomysis megalops | Gulf of Guinea, St Paul de Loanda, southwest of Sierra Leone | Widely distributed in South Atlantic |
| Leptomysis apiops | Mediterranean only | Off St Paul de Loanda, off Cape Lopez |
| L. capensis | South Africa and off Cape Lopez | Cape Lopez, coasts of Cape Province |
| L. megalops | Mediterranean only | West coasts of Central and South Africa from Cape Lopez to Cape Town |
| Afromysis hansoni | Stomach of fish in Walvis Bay | Stomach of fish in Walvis Bay and free off Cape Lopez |
| Mysidetes crassa | North and west of Falkland Is. | Falkland Is., Patagonian Shelf |
| Mysidopsis similis | Off Angra Pequena, off coast of Cape Colony | Off Cape Town |
| Mysidopsis acuta M. schultzei | Falkland Is., east of Cape Horn Off Angra Pequena | Falkland Is., east of Strait of Nagellan Off Cape Town |
| M. major | Off Angra Pequena | Off Cape Town |
| Neomysis monticelli | Strait of Magellan | East of Patagonia, east end of Strait of Magellan |
| N. patagona | East of South Patagonia, Strait of Magellan | East of Tierra del Fuego, Strait of Magellan |
| Arthromysis magellanica | Strait of Magellan | Strait of Magellan and east of Patagonia |

## RECORDS FROM THE SOUTHERN OCEAN AS FAR NORTH AS SOUTH GEORGIA

| Species | Previous Records | Discovery records extending known range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hansenomysis antarctica | Circumpolar distribution in very cold waters south of $64^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. | Schollaert Channel (within known range) |
| Boreomysis brucei | Weddell Sea | South Shetland Is., South Orkney Is. and Palmer Archipelago |
| B. inermis | Weddell Sea and ? South Pacific | South Georgia-extends known range to northward |
| Pseudomma armatum | South Georgia | South Georgia and South Orkney Is. |
| $P$. antarcticum | Bellingshausen Sea | South Shetland Is. and Palmer Archipelago |
| P. sarsi | Kerguelen Is., south of South Georgia | Patagonian Shelf, South Shetland Is. South Orkney Is., Palmer Archipelago |
| P. belgicae | Antarctic | Palmer Archipelago, Bay of Whales |
| Dactylamblyops hodgsoni | Circumpolar in very cold waters | South Shetlands, South Sandwich Is., Ice Edge, south of South Georgia |
| Euchaetomera zurstrasseni | South Georgia, Falkland Is. and ? off Seychelles | Graham Land, Bellingshausen Sea, Ice Edge, South Africa |
| Mysidetes posthon | Circumpolar in Southern Seas | South Georgia, South Shetland Is., Falkland Is., South Sandwich Is. |
| M. crassa | North and west of Falkland Is. | Falkland Is., Patagonian Shelf |
| M. brachylepis | Ross Sea | South Georgia, South Shetland Is., north of Falkland Is. |
| Mysidopsis acuta | Falkland Is., off 'Tierra del Fuego | Falkland Is., east of Strait of Magellan |
| Neomysis patagona | Magellan Strait, South Patagonian Shelf | Magellan Strait, north-east of Tierra del Fuego |
| N. monticellii | Strait of Magellan | East of Strait of Magellan |
| Antarctomysis maxima | Antarctic only | Falkland Is., Scotia Sea, Bay of Whales, off St Paul de Loanda |
| A. ohlini | Antarctic, Ross Sea, South Georgia | Falkland Is., Scotia Sea, South Orkney Is., Bay of Whales |
| Arthromysis magellanica | Strait of Magellan | Strait of Magellan and Patagonian Shelf |


| Indian Ocean only | Atlantic and Indian Oceans | Atlantic and Pacific Oceans | Pacific and Indian Oceans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lophogaster rotundatus | Petalophthalnus oculatus <br> Pseudomma sarsi <br> Katerythrops oceanae <br> Echinomysis chuni <br> Euchaetomera typica <br> E. चurstrasseni <br> E. glyphidophthalmica <br> E. intermedia <br> Caesaromysis hispida <br> Arachnomysis leuckartii <br> Mysidetes kerguelensis | Boreomysis plebeja <br> B. rostrata | Lophogaster schmidti <br> Boreomysis sibogae <br> Siriella gracilis <br> S. acquiremis <br> Gibberythrops acanthura <br> Euchaetomera oculata |

## LIST OF NEW GENERA AND SPECIES AND THE LOCALITIES WHERE THEY OCCURRED

Eucopia lingnicauda. Open ocean west of Saldanha Bay, Natal.
Eucopia sp. West of Sierra Leone.
Hansenomysis falklandica. Around the Falkland Is.
Boreomysis illigi. Cape Verde Is., Canaries, Arabian Sea, Cape Town.
Boreomysis tattersalli. Arabian Sea.
Boreomysis bispinosa. Off Cape Town, St Helena, South Georgia.
Boreomysis insolita. Walvis Bay, South-west Africa.
Boreomysis acuminata. South and south-west of Sierra Leone, east and north-east of St Helena.
Pseudomma calmani. North of Falkland Is.
Pseudomma schollaertensis. Palmer Archipelago.
Pseudonma longicaudum. Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago.
Pseudomma magellanensis. Strait of Magellan.
Pseudomma minutum. Falkland Is.
Amblyops durbani. Off Durban.
Amblyops antarctica. South Shetland Is. and Bay of Whales.
Amblyops sp. near kempi. Strait of Magellan.
Amblyopsoides obtusa. Strait of Magellan.
Paramblyops brevirostris. Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago.
Gibberythrops megalops. West of Cape Town.
Katerythrops resimora. Off Cape Town.
Heteroerythrops purpura. Off Angra Pequena, South-west Africa.
Erythrops africana. Off Cape Lopez.
Mysidetes microps. South Georgia.
Mysidetes macrops. Falkland Is. and South Georgia.
Mysidetes internedia. Falkland Is. and Strait of Magellan.
Mysidetes patagonica. Strait of Magellan, Patagonian Shelf, Falkland Is.
Mysidetes anomala. Strait of Magellan.
Mysidetes dimorpha. South Georgia and Palmer Archipelago.
Mysidopsis camelina. False Bay, South Africa.
Mysis australe. South Georgia.
Longithorax nouveli. Azores and Bermudas. (See pp. 126-127 for discussion.)

## Order MYSIDACEA

The order Mysidacea falls naturally into two clearly distinct groups which differ so profoundly from one another that they have been given the status of suborders under the names Lophogastrida and Mysida.
The Lophogastrida show the following primitive characters which are absent in nearly all the Mysida:
(1) Large foliaceous gills present on the thoracic appendages.
(2) No statocyst on the endopod of the uropod.
(3) Pleopods of both sexes biramous, multiarticulate, natatory and unmodified.
(4) Marsupium composed of seven pairs of imbricating brood lamellae.
(5) Well-developed pleural plates present on the abdominal somites.
(6) A more or less well-marked transverse groove encircling the last abdominal somite and marking the incomplete fusion of the sixth and seventh abdominal somites of the embryo. A pair of lateral teeth immediately in front of this groove marks the postero-ventral angle of the sixth abdominal somite of the fossil forms and of the embryo.

The Mysida in some cases show traces of primitive characters as, for example, the presence of pleural plates on the first abdominal somite of the females in the Gastrosaccinae, and of the males in the genus Rhopalophthalmus, and the absence of a statocyst in the Petalophthalmidae.

The classification of the Mysidacea adopted in the present work is that drawn up by Hansen (igro, pp. 8-77), in which the more primitive forms are considered first and the more complex later according to their degree of specialization.

## LIST OF MYSIDACEA IN THE DISCOVERY COLLECTIONS ARRANGED IN SYSTEMATIC ORDER

## (with synonymies)

Order MYSIDACEA

## Suborder Lophogastrida

## Family Lophogastridae

Genus Chalaraspidum W.-Suhm, 1895 (from MS. dated 1874 ) $=$ Chalaraspis W.-Suhm, $1875=$ Eclytaspis Faxon, 1895.

Chalaraspidum alatum W.-Suhm (in MS. 1874) = Chalaraspis alata
Genus Gnathophausia W.-Suhm, 1873 .
Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn), $1870=$ G. inflata W.-Suhm, $1873=$ G. calcarata G. O. Sars, $1885=$ G. bengalensis Wood-Mason and Alcock, $189 \mathrm{I}=$ G. doryphora Illig, 1906.
Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm, $1873=G$. drepanephora Holt and Tattersall.
Gnathophausia zoea W.-Suhm, $1873=$ G. willemoësii G. O. Sars, $1885=$ G. sarsi Wood-Mason and Alcock, 1891.
Gnathophausia gracilis W.-Suhm, $1875=$ G. brevispinis Wood-Mason and Alcock, $189 \mathrm{I}=$ G. dentata Faxon, $1893=$ G. bidentata Illig, 1906 .

Genus Lophogaster M. Sars, $1857=$ Ctenomysis Norman, 1862.
Lophogaster challengeri Fage, $1942=$ L. typicus G. O. Sars, 1885.
Lophogaster spinosus Ortmann, 1906.
Lophogaster rotundatus Illig, $1930=$ L. typicus var. Tattersall, 1911 .
Lophogaster schmidti Fage, 1941.
Genus Eucopia Dana, 1852.

## Family Eucopiidae

Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm), $1875=$ E. australis (pars) G. O. Sars, $1885=$ E. hanseni Nouvel, 1942.
Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel, 1942.
Eucopia australis Dana, $1852=$ E. major Hansen, 1910.
Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon, $1893=$ E. equatoria Spence-Bate, $1885=$ E. intermedia Hansen, 1910 .
Eucopia linguicauda sp.n.

## Suborder Mysida

## Family Petalophthalmidae

Genus Hansenomysis Stebbing, $1893=$ Arctomysis Hansen, 1887 .
Hansenomysis antarctica Holt and Tattersall, 1906.
Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.
Genus Petalophthalmus W.-Suhm, 1875.
Petalophthalmus oculatus Illig, 1906 .

## Family Mysidae <br> Subfamily Boreomysinae

Genus Boreomysis G. O. Sars, $1869=$ Petalophthalmus (pars) W.-Suhm, $1875=$ Arctomysis Czerniavsky, 1883 $=$ Pseudanchialus Caullery, 1896 .
Boreomysis rostrata Illig, $1906=B$. inermis Hansen, 1910.
Boreomysis illigi sp.n. $=$ B. rostata (pars) Illig, 1906.
Boreomysis plebeja Hansen, 1910.
Boreomysis sibogae Hansen, $1910=B$. spinifera Coifmann, 1936.
Boreomysis brucei 'Tattersall, I9I3.
Boreomysis atlantica Nouvel, 1942.
Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars, $1884=$ B. subpellucida Hansen, 1905 .
Boreomysis tattersalli sp.n.
Boreomysis bispinosa sp.n.
Boreomysis inermis (W.-Suhm) as Petalophthalmus inermis W.-Suhm, $1874=$ Petalophthalmus armiger W.-Suhm, $1875=$ B. scyphops G. O. Sars, $1884=$ B. suhmi Faxon, $1893=$ B. distinguenda Hansen, 1908.
Boreomysis insolita sp.n.
Boreomysis acuminata sp.n.

## Subfamily Siriellinae

Genus Siriella Dana, $1850=$ Cynthia Thompson, $1829=$ Cynthilia White, $1850=$ Promysis Kröyer, $186 \mathrm{I}=$ Protosiriella, $1882=$ Siriellides, $1882=$ Rhinomysis, $1882=$ Heterosiriella Czerniavsky, $1883=$ Pseudosiriella Claus, I 884.
Siriella thompsonii (Milne-Edwards), $1837=S$. vitrea Dana, $1852=S$. brevipes Dana, $1852=C$. inermis Kröyer, $186 \mathrm{I}=S$. edwardsii Claus, $1868=S$. indica Czerniavsky, 1882.
Siriella gracilis Dana, 1852 .
Siriella aequiremis Hansen, 1910.

## Subfamily RhopalophthalminaE

Genus Rhopalophthalmus Illig, 1906.
Rhopalophthalmus egregius Hansen, 1910.

## Subfamily Gastrosaccinae

Genus Gastrosaccus Norman, $1868=$ Acanthocaris Sim, $1872=$ Haplostylus Kossmann, $1880=$ Pontomysis Czerniavsky, $1882=$ Chlamydopleon Ortmann, 1892.
Gastrosaccus sanctus (van Beneden), $186 \mathrm{I}=$ Mysis sancta van Beneden.
Genus Anchialina Norman and Scott, 1906=Anchialus Kröyer, 186 . .
Anchialina typica (Kröyer), i86ı.
Anchialina truncata (G. O. Sars), 1884.

## Subfamily Mysinae

Tribe ERYTHROPINI
Genus Psewdomma G. O. Sars, 1870.
Pseudomma armatum Hansen, 1913.
Pseudomma sarsi (W.-Suhm in MS.) G. O. Sars, 1884.
Pseudomma antarcticum Zimmer, 1914.
Pseudomma belgicae (Hansen in MS.) Holt and Tattersall, 1906.
Pseudomma calmani sp.n.
Psendomma schollaertensis sp.n.
Pseudomma longicaudum sp.n.
Pseudomma magellanensis sp.n.
Pseudonma minutum sp.n.

Genus Amblyops G. O. Sars, $1872=$ Amblyopsis G. O. Sars, 1869 .
Amblyops durbani sp.n.
Amblyops antarctica sp.n.
Amblyops sp. near $A$. kempi 'Tattersall, 1905.
Genus Amblyopsoides gen.n.
Amblyopsoides obtusa sp.n.
Genus Paramblyops Holt and Tattersall, 1905.
Paramblyops brevirostris sp.n.
Genus Dactylamblyops Holt and Tattersall, 1906.
Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall, 1906 = Dactylerythrops arcuata Illig, 1906.
Genus Gibberythrops Illig, 1930.
Gibberythrops acanthura (Illig), 1906 = Parerythrops acanthura Illig, 1906.
Gibberythrops megalops sp.n.
Genus Meterythrops S. I. Smith, $1879=$ Parerythrops (pars) G. O. Sars, 1879 . Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall, 1905.
Genus Katerythrops Holt and Tattersall, 1905.
Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall, $1905=$ K. dactylops Illig, 1906.
Katerythrops resimora sp.n.
Genus Heteroerythrops gen.n.
Heteroerythrops purpura sp.n.
Genus Erythrops G. O. Sars, $1869=$ Nematopus G. O. Sars, 1863. Erythrops africana sp.n.
Genus Echinomysis Illig, 1905.
Echinomysis chumi Illig, 1905.
Genus Longithorax Illig, 1906.
Longithorax capensis Zimmer, 1914.
Genus Euchaetomera G. O. Sars, $1883=$ Brutomysis Chun, $1896=$ Mastigophthalmus Illig, 1906.
Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars, $1884=$ B. vogtii Chun, $1896=$ E. limbata Illig, $1906=$ E. sennae Colosi, 1918.
Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars, $1883=$ Brutomysis Chun, $1896=$ E. fowleri Holt and Tattersall, 1905 .
Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig), 1906 as M. zurstrasseni $=$ E. pulchra Hansen, 1913 .
Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica Illig, 1906.
Euchaetomera oculata Hansen, i910.
Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel, 1942.
Genus Euchaetomeropsis W. M. Tattersall, 1909.
Euchaetomeropsis merolepis (Illig), 1908.
Genus Caesaromysis Ortmann, 1893.
Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann, 1893.
Genus Arachuomysis Chun, 1887.
Arachnomysis leuckartii Chun, 1887.
Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer, 1914.

## Tribe LEPTOMYSINI

Genus Mysidetes Holt and Tattersall, 1906=? Mysidopsis G. O. Sars, $1885=$ Mysideis (pars) Holt and Tattersall, $1905=$ Metamysidella Illig, 1906.
Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall, 1906.
Mysidetes kerguelensis (Illig), 1906 as Metamysidella kerguelensis.
Mysidetes crassa Hansen, 1913.
Mysidetes brachylepis 'Tattersall, 1923.

Genus Mysidetes microps sp.n.
Mysidetes macrops sp.n.
Mysidetes intermedia sp.n.
Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.
Mysidetes anomala sp.n.
Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.
Genus Mysidopsis G. O. Sars, 1864 = Paramysidopsis Zimmer, 1912.
Mysidopsis acuta Hansen, 1913.
Mysidopsis similis (Zimmer), 1912 as Paramysidopsis similis.
Mysidopsis major (Zimmer) as Paramysidopsis major, 1912.
Mysidopsis schultzei (Zimmer) as Paramysidopsis schultzei, 1912.
Mysidopsis camelina sp.n.
Genus Leptomysis G. O. Sars, 1869.
Leptomysis apiops G. O. Sars, 1877.
Leptomysis capensis Illig, 1906.
Leptomysis megalops Zimmer, 1915.
Genus Afromysis Zimmer, 1916.
Afromysis hansomi Zimmer, 1916.

## 'Tribe MYSINI

Genus Mysis Latreille, $1802-3=$ Megalophthalmus Leach, $1830=$ Onychomysis Czerniavsky, $1882=$ Michtheimysis
Norman, $1902=$ Mesomysis Norman, $1905=$ Pugetomysis Banner, 1953 .
Mysis australe sp.n.
Genus Neomysis Czerniavsky, $1882=$ Heteromysis Czerniavsky, 1882.
Neomysis patagona Zimmer, 1907.
Neomysis monticellii Colosi, 1924.
Genus Antarctomysis Coutière, 1907.
Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen in MS.) Holt and Tattersall, 1906.
Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen 1908=Antarctomysis sp. Tattersall, 1908.
Genus Arthromysis Colosi, 1924.
Arthromysis magellanica (Cunningham), 1871 as Macromysis magellanica=Antarctomysis sp. Zimmer, 1915b = Arthromysis chierchiae Colosi, 1924.

SYSTEMATIC REPORT<br>Order MYSIDACEA<br>\section*{Suborder Lophogastrida}<br>Family Lophogastridae<br>Genus Chalaraspidum W.-Suhm

1874 Chalaraspis W.-Suhm, p. 592.
1885b Chalaraspis, G. O. Sars, p. 50.
1895 Chalaraspidum W.-Suhm (in Murray, 1895, p. 521, from MS. dated 1874).
I895 Eclytaspis Faxon, p. 219.
1891 Chalaraspidum, W. M. Tattersall, p. I3.
Remarks. The genus Chalaraspidum very closely resembles Lophogaster, but may be distinguished from it by the form of the rostral plate which is broad and relatively short, with the transverse anterior margin either quite straight or very slightly emarginate in its median region. There is no trace of the tridentate form which is so characteristic of Lophogaster, but the antero-lateral angles may, at least in the females, be produced into an acute process on each side. The anterior margin and the lateral
margins which cover the eyes may be more or less serrated and there may be scattered spinules on the carapace behind and below the eyes.

The eyes are much reduced and very long, extending laterally at right angles to the main axis of the body, with the distal half of the cornea extending beyond the lateral margins of the rostral plate. There is a small, curved, finger-like ocular papilla on the inner distal margin of the eyestalk.

The uropods are relatively longer than in Lophogaster and the outer margin of the exopod is armed with two or three small spines in addition to the terminal one.

Only one species, Chalaraspidum alatum, has been recorded up to the present, but Zimmer (1914, p. 383 ) obtained a specimen from near the Azores which undoubtedly belongs to the genus. Owing to its damaged condition, Zimmer did not feel justified in founding a new species for it, but the form of the antennal scale which he figured and the shape of the telson indicate that in all probability it is a new species of Chalaraspidum.

Chalaraspidum alatum (W.-Suhm) in MS. I874
(Fig. I A-E)
1874 Chalaraspis alata W.-Suhm, p. 592.
1885b Chalaraspis alata, G. O. Sars, p. 51, figs.
I 895 Chalaraspidum alatum W.-Suhm (in Murray, 1895, p. 521 from MS. dated March, 1874).
1895 Eclytaspis alata, Faxon, p. 219.
1912 Chalaraspis alata, Hansen, p. 182, figs.
1939 Chalaraspis alata, Fage, p. 68, figs.
1941 Chalaraspis alata, Fage, p. 4, figs.
1951 Chalaraspidum alatum, W. M. Tattersall, p. I4.
Occurrence:
St. IOI. 14/15. x. 26 (night). West of Cape Town, $2580-2480 \mathrm{~m}$., 1 imm . $\circ$, 28 mm ., with very small oostegites. St. 253. 21. vi. 27 (day). About 1000 miles west of Cape Peninsula, $1050-1000 \mathrm{~m} .$, I adult $P, 38 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Remarks. Owing to the softness of the integument and to the great depths at which specimens have been taken, there are no records of a perfect example of this interesting species. The type had unfortunately been lost by the time Sars wrote his report on the Challenger Schizopods and his description of the species was compiled from the notes and letters written by Dr Willemoës-Suhm some eleven years earlier. The figures Sars gave were faithful copies of manuscript sketches made by W.-Suhm. Since its original discovery a few records of the species have been made from widely separated localities in the Pacific, and details of the morphology have been added. In some respects these later descriptions do not agree with that given by W.-Suhm and some suggestion has arisen that there may be more than one species involved.

The description of the rostral plate of the type is as follows: 'Anteriorly, it (the carapace) projects as a short, but very broad, frontal plate, abruptly truncate at the extremity, the anterior margin being slightly emarginate and finely serrate, the lateral corners somewhat extended.' Hansen (1912, p. 182), recording several immature specimens from the eastern Pacific off Peru, stated that the anterolateral corners of the rostral plate were broadly rounded. He made no mention of any serrulations along the anterior or lateral margins and, if they were present, it is most unlikely that so meticulous a worker would have overlooked them. Fage (1939, p. 68), recording specimens from the China Sea and from the Gulf of Panama, stated that the antero-lateral angles were rounded and very finely serrulated. Both authors recorded that the outer margin of the antennal scale was armed with 9-12 teeth in addition to the distal terminal tooth.

Tattersall (1951) recorded three specimens captured in the Pacific by the 'Albatross'. He stated that one of these, taken in the East Pacific off the coast of California, agreed very closely with the
descriptions and figures given by Hansen and Fage, but that the other two, which were captured off the south coast of Japan, differed as follows: '(1) The rostral plate has the outer antero-lateral angles drawn out into conspicuous spines; the margin between these spines is slightly concave in the centre and is microscopically serrulated; there are three or four spinules on the lateral margins of the rostral plate on each side and a prominent ocular spine on the carapace margin over the eye; there are also scattered microscopic spinules on the lateral area of the carapace below and behind the eyes.
(2) The outer margin of the antennal scale has only three or four teeth in addition to the terminal tooth.'

A glance at Fig. i A will show how very closely the Discovery specimens agree with this description-the only difference being that in them the serrations, which adorn the margins of the rostral plate, would appear to be coarser and probably fewer in number than in Tattersall's specimens. My figure is taken from the smaller of my two specimens. The larger animal is badly damaged, but the margins of the rostral plate are quite clear and show that there are rather more serrulations than in the smaller specimen, but not so pronounced. It is probable that there is considerable individual variation in this character. In both my specimens the outer margin of the antennal scale bears only two or three teeth in addition to the terminal one.

Hansen (1912) recorded that the apex of the telson in his specimens was truncate and lacked spines or hairs, adding that it was possible that these may have been lost. Fage (1939, 1941) recorded that the apex of the telson in his specimens was straight and armed with four short spines. Tattersall (1951) stated that both of his Japanese specimens had seven spines on each of the lateral margins of the telson and that in one of them the armature of the apex was almost intact, showing 'four rather stout and closely set spines, the inner pair nearly twice as long as the outer pair'.

The two specimens in the Discovery collection indicate very strongly, at least as regards the telson, that previously recorded variations can be attributed to the damaged con-


Fig. I. Chalaraspidum alatum (W.-Suhm). A, anterior end of immature female; B , right eye in dorsal view; C, labrum; D, telson and left uropod in dorsal view; E , telson in lateral view. $\mathrm{All} \times 9$. dition of the material available. In the larger Discovery specimen all the spines of the telson are missing, but there are seven notches on each lateral margin indicating where the spines were borne and the apex presents an appearance strikingly like that figured by Fage (1942) for his specimen. I feel convinced that the 'four small spines' which he noticed are really the broken pieces of the original armature of the telson apex. The smaller Discovery specimen has the telson intact, except for the loss of one of the larger apical spines. The high serrulated keels are in perfect condition and are exactly like those figured by Hansen (1912) for his specimen (Fig. rD-E).

It would thus appear that specimens hitherto referred to this species fall into two definite groups: (i) with the rostral plate serrulated along its anterior (and lateral) margins, the antero-lateral angles produced into acute processes and with the outer margin of the antennal scale armed with only

2-4 teeth, and (ii) with the anterior margin of the rostral plate smooth, the antero-lateral angles rounded (in Fage's description minutely serrulated) and with the outer margin of the antennal scale armed with 9-12 serrations. It may be that these two groups do represent two separate species, but Tattersall (1951) put forward the interesting suggestion that the differences between the groups might be sexual. He noted that the Challenger specimen was a female and that all the specimens examined by Hansen and Fage were male. All his own specimens were too immature for their sex to be ascertained, so that he was unable to obtain any evidence from them. Unfortunately both the Discovery specimens are female, but it is significant that they agree with the Challenger specimen, which was also a female.

The eyes in this species are very long and slender, nearly four times as long as broad. The cornea is no wider than the eyestalk and occupies about one-fourth of the whole organ. There is a well-marked finger-like ocular papilla on the distal inner margin of the eyestalk. Hansen figured a papilla in this position but made no mention of it in the text (Fig. i B). The labrum is flask-shaped and quite symmetrical, with its anterior end produced forward into a long acute process (Fig. I C).

Distribution. Chalaspidum alatum is known to have a very wide distribution in the deep waters of the Pacific. Since the type was taken in the Indian Ocean, off Kerguelen Island it has been recorded from four stations in the East Pacific, off the west coast of Peru (Hansen, 1912), from the China Sea, north of New Guinea and from the Gulf of Panama (Fage, 1939, 1941) and from two stations to the south of Japan and one to the west of San Diego, California ('Tattersall, 1951). Its occurrence in the South Atlantic considerably extends its known geographical range. The record of the type simply gives the depth as I 800 fm . and it is not stated whether a closing net was used. Hansen's specimens were taken in vertical hauls of 300 and 400 fm . to the surface. The records of the 'Dana', giving the length of the cable out and the depth of water over which it was fishing, indicate that the animals were living between depths of 300 m . and 2500 m . The capture of an immature female in a closing net fishing between 2400 m . and 2500 m . at Discovery station 101, and of an adult in a net fishing between 1050 m . and 1000 m . at Discovery station 253 proves that the species can live at great depths. The robust abdomen and the strongly developed pleopods suggest that it is a powerful swimmer and that in all probability its vertical range is considerable.

## Genus Gnathophausia W.-Suhm, 1873

## 1873 Gnathophansia (lapsus calami) W.-Suhm, p. 400. <br> 1874 Gnathophausia, Humbert, p. 206.

Remarks. The genus Gnathophausia very closely resembles the fossil genus Tellocaris (Peach, igo8, p. 9) as regards the telson, but even more closely in the form and armature of the carapace with its anterior margin serrated and produced into a long dentate rostrum and the posterior margin produced into a long median dorsal spine which is also frequently dentate. This spine is always present in young specimens and usually persists as a long projection, but in some species it becomes progressively shorter as the animals approach maturity and in large specimens may almost disappear.

The chief generic characters are the tough, parchment-like integument; the large, shield-like carapace adorned with strong raised keels which are often toothed; rostrum long and sharp with three longitudinal, dentate ridges making it triangular in section; posterior margin of carapace produced into a strong median spine; pleural plates of abdominal somites bilobed; eyes with well-developed papilla; sixth abdominal somite with well-marked groove running around its median region; maxillule with a two-segmented, setose, backwardly reflexed endopod; first thoracic exopod absent or reduced to a small unsegmented plate; exopod of uropod broad, two-segmented, outer margin of proximal segment naked and terminated by a strong spine; telson entire with a strong constriction near the apex which is armed with two very strong curved spines which together form a backwardly directed crescent.

Eight species have up to the present been referred to this genus, Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn), gigas W.-Suhm, zoea W.-Suhm, gracilis W.-Suhm, elegans G. O. Sars, longispina G. O. Sars, affimis G.O. Sars and scapularis Ortmann. These species fall very sharply into two groups according to the form of the antennal scale. In ingens and gigas the scale is lanceolate and unsegmented with an acutely pointed apex and with the outer margin armed with teeth. In the other six species the scale resembles that found in the Caridea. The outer margin is thickened to form a strong rib which is produced distally into a spine from the base of which an oblique suture runs across the scale. The outer margin bears a number of teeth and in one species the spine of the outer margin is dentate on both its margins.

A second character which separates ingens and gigas from the other species of the genus is the peculiar modification of the epimeral plates of the last abdominal somite. In the young, these are separate and distinct as in other species of Gnathophausia, but in ingens and gigas, as growth proceeds, they bend under the body to fuse eventually in the median line into a single epimeral plate.

The differences between the species are so marked that they might be considered as of generic significance, ingens and gigas being placed in a separate genus, were it not for the fact that another very profound difference occurs among the species which would divide the genus in quite another way. In ingens, gigas and gracilis the exopod of the first thoracic appendage is present in the form of a small, slender, unsegmented plate, adorned distally with a few very long plumose setae. In the remaining known species of the genus the exopod of this appendage is completely lacking, but its position is marked on the sympod by a shallow oval depression. If any subdivision of the genus were to be made, it would be logical to arrange the species into three distinct subgenera, separating ingens and gigas into a subgenus based on the form of the antennal scale, the ventral epimeral plate of the last abdominal somite and the presence of an exopod on the first thoracic appendage; placing zoea, longispinis, elegans and scapularis in a second based on the form of the antennal scale, the separate epimera of the sixth abdominal somite and the absence of an exopod on the first thoracic appendage; and gracilis in a third subgenus by itself, resembling the first in the form of the scale and epimera of the last abdominal somite and the second in having an exopod on the first thoracic appendage.
While so few species are included in the genus there is not much point in changing the present nomenclature, but if further exploration of the deep waters of the oceans should reveal many new forms there would be ample grounds for the division of the genus into subgenera.
The genus Gnathophausia includes the largest mysids which have ever been recorded. All the known species are bathypelagic and no specimen has ever been taken at the surface or in very shallow water.
Only four of the known species have been collected by the 'Discovery': G.ingens (Dohrn), G. gigas W.-Suhm, G. zoea W.-Suhm and G. gracilis W.-Suhm.

## Gnathophausia ingens (Dohrn), 1870

[^1]
## Occurrence:

Io. x. 25. $41^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ., 12^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. North-east of Azores, $0-900 \mathrm{~m}$. , i juv. 92 mm .
St. 76. 5. vi. 26 (day). $39^{\circ} 50^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ S., $36^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W., $1500(-0)$ m., i juv. 38 mm .
St. 8i. i8. vi. 26 (day). $32^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ S., $8^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ W. Mid-Atlantic between Cape Town and Monte Video, $650(-0) \mathrm{m} .$, I juv. 38 mm .
St. 86. 24. vi. 26 (day). $33^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ S., $6^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ E. West of Cape Town, $1000(-0) \mathrm{m}$., I $0^{\prime}, 96 \mathrm{~mm}$. (eye to telson).
('Deep scarlet red throughout, palest on scales, uropods and protopodites of pleopods'), i imm. ㅇ,, 60 mm ., I juv. 50 mm .
St. ıо0 B. 3. x. 26 (night). West of Cape Town, $1000-900 \mathrm{~m}$., 1 f, 132 mm . (from eye to telson, rostrum broken).
St. 100 C. 4. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, 2500 ( -0 ) m., I juv. 71 mm .
St. ıо . I 5. x. 26 (night). West of Cape Town, 2 hauls: (i) $350-400(-0) \mathrm{m} .$, I juv. Jt, 80 mm . (eye to telson) 1 juv. of, 73 mm ., I ${ }^{\wedge}, 148 \mathrm{~mm}$. (eye to telson); (ii) $2580-2480 \mathrm{~m} .$, I juv. 49 mm .
St. 107. 4. xi. 26 (day). South-south-west of Cape Town, $950-850 \mathrm{~m}$., I imm. $9,70 \mathrm{~mm}$. (eye to telson).
St. 256. 23. vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, i Ioo- $850(-0)$ m., i juv. 41 mm .
St. 257. 24. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape Town, $250(-0)$ m., I juv. 57 mm .
St. 285. 16. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea, $175-125(-0)$ m., I juv. $8,84 \mathrm{~mm} ., 5$ juv. $37-74 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 288. 21. viii. 27 (night), South-south-west of Monrovia, $250(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 5$ juv. $40-82 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2$ too damaged to measure.
St. 289. 24. viii. 27 (night). South-west of Monrovia, 225-125(-0) m., 2 juv. 41 mm .
St. 296. 26. viii. 27 (night). South-south-west of Cape Verde, 500-450(-0) m., I ${ }^{6}, 96 \mathrm{~mm}$. (eye to telson).
St. 407. I2. vi. 30 (day). South-west of Cape Town, $950-800 \mathrm{~m} ., 6$ juv. $65-98 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 440. 21. ix. 30 (dusk). East of Durban, $1000-0 \mathrm{~m}$., I ${ }^{\text {A }}, 103 \mathrm{~mm}$., J juv. 68 mm .
St. 69r. 8. v. 3 I (night). Equator, south-west of Cape Verde, $400-0 \mathrm{~m}$., i juv. 78 mm .
St. 1567. Io. iv. 35 (night). Between South Africa and Prince Edward Is., $1350-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 1$ juv. 35 mm .
St. 1571. 21. iv. 35 (night). South-west of Madagascar, $1400-1000 \mathrm{~m} .$, I juv. 30 mm . (eye to telson).
St. 1573. 22. iv. 35 (night). Mozambique Channel, $800-0 \mathrm{~m} .$, I ${ }^{1}, 91 \mathrm{~mm} .$, I juv. (eye to telson), 20 mm.
St. 1575. 24. iv. 35 (night). Mozambique Channel. Two hauls: (i) $400-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 2$ juv. 7 Imm . and 38 mm . ; (ii) $800-$ $550 \mathrm{~m} ., 2$ 옹, 146 mm . and 156 mm .
St. 1576. 25. iv. 35 (night). Between Madagascar and Mozambique, $400-0 \mathrm{~m}$., 1 juv. 3 Imm . (eye to telson).
St. 1578 . 26. iv. 35 (night). Midway between Comoro Is. and Cape Delgado, $500-0 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv., 52 mm . (eye to telson).

St. 1582. 29. iv. 35 (night). East of Zanzibar, $1900-1850(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2$ juv., $50 \mathrm{~mm} ., 41 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. I586. 2. v. 35 (night). North-west of Seychelles, $550-0 \mathrm{~m}$., i juv. 49 mm .
St. I590. I 3. X. 35 (night). South of Canary Is., 400-320 m., i juv. 84 mm .
St. 174 I. 18. iv. 36 (day). Indian Ocean, west of Perth, $900-0$ m., 2 juv. 37 mm .
St. 176i. 3. v. 36 (day). East of East London, $1800-650 \mathrm{~m}$. , i juv. 37 mm . (Colour note, 'Deep orange brown'.)
St. 1763. 5. v. 36 (night). South-east of Durban, $2000-0 \mathrm{~m}$. , I OT, 173 mm .
St. 1764. 6. v. 36 (night). South-east of Durban, $1000-0 \mathrm{~m} .$, I ㅇ, 124 mm ., with very small oostegites.
St. 1770. 2. v. 26 (night). West of Cape Town, $340-210 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv. 70 mm . (deep brilliant scarlet throughout), I juv. 38 mm . (orange brown).
Remarks. The Discovery collection contains over sixty specimens of Gnathophausia ingens, ranging in length from 34 to 173 mm ., measured from the tip of the rostrum to the apex of the telson. A complete series such as this shows the gradual changes which take place with growth and demonstrates clearly that only one species is involved. Not having access to such a series, workers in the past have founded new species on specimens which have subsequently been proved to be young forms of G.ingens. Ortmann (1906, pp. 27-52) was the first to show that several species of Gnathophausia had been founded in error in this way. It is now generally accepted that $G$. calcarata G. O. Sars (1885a, p. 35), G. bengalensis Wood-Mason and Aicock (189rb, p. 269) and G. dorymorpha Illig (1906b, p. 227) are all founded on different growth stages of G. ingens and if any further evidence were needed to prove this
fact, it is amply afforded by the rich range of the species in the Discovery collection. The specimens described by these earlier workers varied considerably in size, but if their descriptions and figures are compared with animals of about the same length in the present material they agree closely in every particular.

Growth changes. In G. ingens the following growth changes take place: (1) in the relative length of the rostrum and the various spines which arm the carapace, (2) in the number of teeth on the outer margin of the antennal scale, and (3) in the shape and size of the epimeral plates of the sixth abdominal somite. (1) In young specimens of less than 32 mm ., the rostrum is relatively very long, nearly $50 \%$ of the length of the animal. The branchiostegal spines are also very long and widely extended laterally; the posterior median spine extends backwards over the abdomen to the posterior margin of the sixth abdominal somite; and the postero-lateral spines are even longer, extending backwards in an arc to the level of the apex of the telson. As growth proceeds, the rostrum and all the spines on the carapace become relatively smaller, until in specimens of over 130 mm . the rostrum is only $16 \%$ of the length, ${ }^{1}$ the branchiostegal spines become shorter and are directed backwards and less sideways and the posterior dorsal spine is very short and may be almost obsolete. The postero-lateral spines extend backwards only to the level of the second abdominal somite. (2) In young specimens the outer margin of the antennal scale bears at most two small teeth in addition to the terminal spine. The number of these teeth increases as the animal grows until there are from 6 to 8. (3) Interesting changes in the shape and relative size of the epimeral plates of the last abdominal somite have been described by Ortmann (1906). In some species of Gnathophausia (ingens among them) these epimera bend under the body and meet in the mid-ventral line. At first they are simply contiguous, but soon become united to form a rectangular plate covering the anterior half of the ventral surface of the somite. The posterior margin of this plate is somewhat concave, the posterior angles not very acutely pointed and the line of fusion is marked only by a faint ridge. Gradually the posterior margin becomes more emarginate and the distal end of the whole plate narrows, while the two angles lengthen to form two acutely-pointed lappets. As these lengthen, their inner margins become straighter and the fissure between them is reduced to a narrow slit which is slightly dilated at its proximal end.

When the animal has reached a length of about 65 mm . a small outgrowth begins to develop on the inner side of each lappet near the distal end and, so narrow is the fissure at this stage, that the two lappets actually overlap distally. This secondary tooth-like outgrowth continues to grow, but does not equal the lappet in length and in really large animals the lappets appear asymmetrically bifid with the larger fork on the outer side.

After a length of over 100 mm . has been reached, there is no further change in the form of the epimeral plate.

Some confusion has arisen in the past because in the Challenger female specimen of $G$. ingens Sars ( $1885 a$ ) figured the fissure of the epimeral plate as fairly wide with straight inner margins and no dilatation at its proximal end. The lappets are shown as bifid, with the larger and longer point on the inner side. Hansen ( 1927, p. 16), when recording a gigantic male of 210 mm . captured by 'Talisman' south of the Azores, noted that its epimeral plate differed from that of the Challenger specimen. The fissure was narrow, so that the inner margins of the lappets were touching except proximally, where there was a definite dilatation. The lappets were bifid with the larger prong on the onter side. A re-examination of the Challenger specimen revealed that Sars's figure was correct for the fissure, but incorrect as regards the lappets which were actually similar in every way to those of Hansen's males. In the largest males in the Discovery collection, the whole form of the epimeral plate is similar to Hansen's figure of the Talisman specimen, but in the largest Discovery females the

[^2]slit is somewhat wider and I can find no trace of a proximal dilatation. None of the Discovery females is fully mature and, although this difference may be due to immaturity, I am inclined to think there is a slight sexual dimorphism in this structure.

Specimens of all sizes from 34 mm . to 173 mm . are present in the Discovery material and from the tabulated measurements given in Table r, it can be seen how the various growth changes progress.

The pleopods are alike in both sexes and no brush of setae is developed on the antennules of the males. Brood lamellae do not begin to develop until the females are about 60 mm . in length and it is difficult to see the male tubercle at the base of the eighth thoracic appendage until the males are even longer. Fage ( 1936, p. 146) recorded that in specimens of Gnathophausia each thoracic sternum bore a rounded boss, which differed in shape and armature in the two sexes. The Discovery specimens have been in preservative for a long time and any attempt to bend back the thoracic appendages to expose the sterna would cause damage to the animals. I have therefore not attempted to ascertain the sex of those specimens in which brood lamellae or the male tubercle are not easily visible.

Size. The largest specimen of $G$. ingens ever recorded was a male which measured no less than 210 mm . from the tip of the rostrum to the apex of the telson. The largest recorded female was adult with a very large empty brood sac and measured $\mathrm{r}_{57} \mathrm{~mm}$. The largest male in the Discovery collection has the tip of the rostrum broken, but I estimate that its full length was about $176-7 \mathrm{~mm}$. A slightly smaller undamaged male measures 173 mm . The largest female measures ${ }^{5} 56 \mathrm{~mm}$., but is still quite immature with small narrow oostegites.

Colour. There is some evidence that the colour becomes more red and less orange-brown as the animals mature. At station 1761 a young specimen of 37 mm . is described as 'Deep orange-brown' and at station 177 another young specimen of 38 mm . is said to be 'Orange-brown'. A large male of about 130 mm . from station 86 is labelled 'Deep scarlet red throughout, palest on scales, uropods and protopodites of pleopods' and at station 1770 an immature specimen of 70 mm . is said to be 'Deep brilliant scarlet throughout'.

Distribution. G. ingens has been recorded from widely separated localities in the tropical and subtropical waters of the world. The type was taken off the west coast of Africa and the Challenger specimen from between North Australia and New Guinea. Since then it has been recorded on a number of occasions off the coast of California, near the Hawaiian Islands, the Philippines and New Guinea in the Pacific (W. M. Tattersall, 195r); the Central Arabian Sea, off Zanzibar and near the Seychelles in the Indian Ocean (W. M. Tattersall, 1939); from the Gulf of Mexico and south of the Azores in the western North Atlantic and from off the coast of South Africa in the eastern South Atlantic.

The Discovery records, most of them from around the coast of South Africa, extend its known range in the south-west Indian Ocean. The capture of a specimen from east of La Plata is the first record from the western side of the South Atlantic. Its occurrence in latitudes $41^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and $37^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$. proves that it extends into the temperate waters of both northern and southern hemispheres.

Where closing nets have been used and it is possible to tell the exact depth of capture, it has been found to be most common between 600 m . and 1500 m . At station 101 it was taken between $2480-$ 2580 m . (Hansen, 1927 , records it from $2470-3990 \mathrm{~m}$.) while at station 1770 it was taken in a closing net fishing between $34^{0-210} \mathrm{~m}$. It is thus evident that it has a very considerable vertical range.

Table 1. Measurements to show Growth Changes in Gnathophausia ingens

| Station no. | Tip of rostrum to apex of telson (mm.) | 'Tip of rostrum to level of eye (mm.) | Proportion of rostrum to total length (\%) | Segment of abdomen over which dorsal spine extends | Segment of abdomen over which postero-lateral spines extend | No. of teeth on antennal scales |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1567 | 35 | 1.4 | 40 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| 1770 | 35 | 13 | 37 | 4 | Whole abd. | 2 |
| 1761 | *(22) | Br. | - | 4 | Whole abd. | 2 |
| 1741 | 37 | 15 | $40 \cdot 5$ | $4^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | Whole abd. | 2 |
| 8 I | 38 | 15 | $39 \cdot 47$ | 3 | 5 | 2-3 |
| 76 | 38 | 15 | $39 \cdot 47$ | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| 285 | 40 | 18 | 45 | $3{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | 5 | 2-3 |
| 1575 | *(23) | Br. | - | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 2-3 |
| 288 | 40 | 16 | 40 | 3 | Whole abd. | 2 |
| 289 | 41 | 16 | 39 | 3 | 5 | 2-3 |
| 256 | 41 | 16 | 39 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| 289 | 41 | 16 | 39 | 3 | 5 | 2-3 |
| 288 | 44 | 18 | 43 | 3 | Whole abd. | 2 |
| 1571 | *(30) | Br. | - | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | Whole abd. | 4-5 |
| 1571 | *(30) | Br. | - | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 1576 | *(31) | Br. | - | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 101 | 49 | 17 | 34.7 | 2 | Br. | 4 |
| 1582 | 50 | 18 | 36 | I ${ }_{2}$ | $4^{\frac{1}{4}}$ | 3 |
| 1586 | 49 | 16 | $32 \cdot 65$ | 2 | $4 \frac{1}{2}$ | 2-3 |
| 285 | 56 | 18 | 32 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 257 | 57 | 17 | 29.8 | 2 | $3{ }^{1}$ | 5 |
| 407 | *(45) | Br. | - | 2 | 32 | 6 |
| 440 | 68 | 20 | 29 | 2 | $3 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| 285 | 69 | 18 | 27 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 86 | 70 | 20 | $28 \cdot 5$ | I $\frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 |
| 1770 | 70 | 20 | $28 \cdot 5$ | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 1575 | *(50) | Br. | - | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | 3 | 5-6 |
| 100 C | 71 | 21 | 29 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 5-6 |
| 288 | 71 | 21 | 29 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 6 |
| 288 | 71 | 21 | 29 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 5-6 |
| 288 | 71 | 21 | 29 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 6 |
|  | 71 | 21 | 29 | 2 | $3{ }_{4}^{1}$ | 5-6 |
| $1578$ | *(52) | Br. | - | Br. | 4 | 6 |
| 285 | *(54) | Br. | - | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 407 | 78 | 20 | $25 \cdot 6$ | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | 2 | 5 |
| 691 | 83 | 25 | 30 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 86 | 83 | 23 | 27.7 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| $\text { I } 59^{\circ}$ | 84 | 21 | 25 | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 285 | 84 | 21 | 25 | $<\mathrm{I}$ | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ | 5-6 |
| 407 | 88 | 22 | 25 | I | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 5 |
| $407$ | 90 | 23 | $25 \cdot 5$ | I | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 |
| $41^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 12^{\circ} 30^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$. | 92 | 25 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 1580 | 94 | 2.4 | $25^{\circ} 5$ | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| $1585$ | 97 | 22 | $22 \cdot 7$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 5 |
| I 5So | 97 | 21 | 21.7 | 1 | $2 \frac{1}{4}$ | 5-6 |
| 407 | 99 | 21 | 2I.2 | $<\mathrm{I}$ | 2 | 8 |
| 101 | *(80) | Br. | - | $\underline{1}$ | $1{ }^{1}$ | 6 |
| I 580 | 110 | 25 | $22 \cdot 7$ | $<\mathrm{I}$ | 2 | 5-6 |
| ${ }^{1} 585$ | 113 | 25 | $22 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 1573 | 121 | 30 | $2.4 \cdot 8$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 6 |
| 296 | * 96 ) | Br. | - | I | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 6 |
| 86 | * 96 ) | Br. | - | 1 | $1{ }^{1}$ | 6 |
| $44^{0}$ | 133 | 30 | $22 \cdot 5$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 8 |
| 176.4 | 124 | 20 | $16 \cdot 1$ | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 1575 | 146 | 28 | 19.8 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 7-8 |
| I 575 | 156 | 26 | $16 \cdot 6$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 | 6 |
| 100 B | I 59 | 27 | 16.9 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 | 6 |
| ${ }^{1763}$ | 173 | 28 | 15 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 | 7 |
| 101 | * 148 ) | Br. |  | $\frac{1}{4}$ | I | $6-7$ |

[^3] have occupied.

## Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm 1873

1873 Gnathophansia gigas (lapsus calami) W.-Suhm, p. 400, figs..
1875 Gnathophausia gigas W.-Suhm, p. 28, figs.
1885 a Gnathophausia gigas, G. O. Sars, p. 33, figs.
1905 Gnathophausia drepanephora Holt and Tattersall, p. II3, figs.
1906 Gnathophausia gigas, Ortmann, p. 36, figs.
1941 Gnathophausia gigas, Fage, p. 24, fig.
1943 Gnathophausia gigas, Nouvel, p. 12, figs.
1951 Gnathophausia gigas, Tattersall and Tattersall, p. 77, figs.

## Occurrence:


St. 7I. 30. v. 26 (day). North-east of Falkland Is., $2000(-0)$ m., 2 juv. 47 mm .
St. 72. I vi. 26 (night). North-east of Falkland Is., $2000(-0)$ m., I juv. 57 mm . (blood red), I juv. 46 mm . (more orange tone).
St. 76. 5. vi. 26 (day). Midway between Gough I. and Bahia, Argentine, $1500(-0)$ m., i juv. 65 mm .
St. 86. 24. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, $1000(-0) \mathrm{m} .5$ small specimens too damaged to measure.
St. 100. 4. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, $2500-2000 \mathrm{~m} .$, I juv. 40 mm .
St. ioi. 15. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, 13 IO-I4IO, 3 juv. $60-34 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. II4. I2. xi. 26 (day). North-east of Bouvet I., $700-650 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv. 38 mm .
St. 239. 2. vi. 27 (day). North-east of South Georgia, 1350-1050(-0) m., 3 juv. $37-38 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 253. 2I. vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, $1050-1000 \mathrm{~m} ., 2$ juv. 37 and 45 mm .
St. 391. 18. iv. 30 (day). Midway between South Georgia and Cape Horn, 1300-1200(-0) m., carapace only.
St. 4 13. 21. viii. 30 (day). West of Saldanha Bay, South Africa, 2200-1600(-0) m., 2 juv. 34-37 mm.
St. 1298. 2. iii. 34 (day). Ice Edge, Bellingshausen Sea, 1000 ( -0 ) m., 4 juv. i io- 37 mm .
St. 1755. 29. iv. 36 (day). Indian Ocean, south-south-east of Mauritius, $1700-0 \mathrm{~m} .$, I juv. 46 mm .
St. 1775. 27. v. 36 (night). South-east of Tristan da Cunha, $1500-1000 \mathrm{~m}$., I damaged juv. ca. 35 mm .
St. 1798. 12. 6. 36 (night). North-east of Bouvet I., I000-750 m., i juv. (typical drepanephora stage) 39 mm .
St. 1991. ir. iii. 37 (day). South-east of South Georgia, $1500-1000 \mathrm{~m}$., I damaged juv. ca. 35 mm .
St. 2022. 28. iii. 37 (night). North-west of Bouvet I., $700-400 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv. 35 mm .
Remarks. Growth changes similar to those which occur in Gnathophansia ingens take place in G. gigas also. The relative length of the rostrum and of the spines arming the carapace tends to decrease, the number of teeth on the outer margin of the antennal scale increases from one or two to five or six and the epimera of the sixth abdominal somite, which are separate in young animals, gradually become confluent and then fuse on the ventral surface of the somite to form a single epimeral plate. This plate is of the same shape as that of G.ingens, but its lappets are not bifid and are well separated with a tendency to curve outward at their distal ends.

The postero-lateral spine of the carapace is at no time as long as it is in G. ingens. Even in very small animals it does not extend beyond the posterior margin of the first abdominal somite and in really large specimens it is practically obsolete. None of the Discovery specimens is mature and I can see no trace of incubatory lamellae in any of them, although many of them are larger than specimens which have been recorded as definite females by other workers. In Table 2, I give measurements of the Discovery specimens.

Distribution. Gnathophansia gigas is one of the most widely distributed of all mysids. The type was collected by 'Challenger' at 2200 fm . to the west of the Azores. Since then it has been recorded from many localities in the Atlantic from Greenland (Stephensen, 1933), the east coast of North America (Ortmann, 1906; W. M. Tattersall, 1951), the Azores, mid-Atlantic and Bermudas (Fage, 1941; Nouvel, 1943), west of Ireland (Holt and Tattersall, 1905), Madeira and Cape Verde Islands
(Fage, 1941) and off Sierra Leone (Illig, 1930); and from the South Atlantic, west of Cape Town (Tattersall, 1925), and south of Gough Island (Tattersall, 1913). It has been recorded from the northwest of the Indian Ocean (Tattersall, 1939) and from the south of the Indian Ocean between Kerguelen and South-West Australia (G. O. Sars, 1885 ). In the Pacific it has been taken as far north as the Behring Sea and off the coasts of British Columbia and the west coast of the United States (Ortmann, 1906; W. M. Tattersall, 1951), from the Gulf of Panama (Fage, 1941), the Hawaiian Isles (Ortmann, 1906; W. M. Tattersall, 1951), from Japan (W. M. Tattersall, 1951) and from many places in the China Sea, the Philippines, New Hebrides, New Caledonia and New Zealand (Fage, 1941).

Table 2. Measurements to show growth changes in Gnathophausia gigas

| Station no. | Length, tip of rostrum to apex of telson (mm.) | Rostrum tip to level of eye (mm.) | Percentage rostrum of total length | Abdominal somite over which postero-dorsal spine extends | Abdominal somite over which postero-lateral spines extend | No. of teeth on outer margin of antennal scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | 34 | 8 | 23.5 | I | 2 | 3 |
| 1775 | 37 | 10 | 27 | Br . | Br. | 2 |
| 253 | 37 | 10 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1298 | 37 | 10 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 237 | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \text { (eye to } \\ & \text { telson) } \end{aligned}$ | Br. | - | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 239 | 38 | 10 | $26 \cdot 2$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 3 |
|  | 38 | 9.5 | 25 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 3 |
| 114 | 38 | 10 | 26.2 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 3 |
| 1798 | 39 | 11 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 2-3 |
| 100 C | 40 | 10 | 25 | Br. | 3 | 3 |
| 253 | 45 | 11 | 2.45 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 72 | 46 | 12 | 23.9 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 |
| 71 | +7 | 13 | 25.5 | I | 2 | 3 |
| 413 | 47 | 13 | 25.5 | I | 2 | 3 |
| 9 | 48 | 11 | $22 \cdot 9$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 2 | 2 |
| 101 | 51 | 11 | 21.6 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | + |
| 72 | 57 | 13 | $22 \cdot 8$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | 3 |
| 101 | 60 | 13 | 21.7 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ | + |
| 76 | 65 | 15 | 23 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $1 \frac{1}{2}$ |  |
| 1775 | 97 | 22 | 22.7 | $1 \frac{1}{4}$ | 1 | 5 |
| 1298 | 105 | 23 | 21.9 | Very small |  | $+$ |
| 9 | 110 | 22 | $20 \cdot 0$ | Very small |  | 5 |
| 1298 | 110 | 20 | 18.2 | Very small | 1 | 5 |
| 1298 | 110 | 22 | 20.0 | Very small | 1 | +5 |

This species was taken by 'Discovery' and 'Discovery II' at eighteen stations of which sixteen were situated in the South Atlantic between latitudes $33^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. and $60^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$., extending from the west of Cape Town in the east to the Falkland Is. and South Georgia in the west. A single immature specimen was captured at station 1755 in the central Indian Ocean and four immature specimens at station 1298 in the Bellingshausen Sea in latitude $69^{\circ} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$. This is the most southerly record yet made of the species.
G. gigas has usually been taken singly or in very small numbers and consequently, in spite of the many records of its capture, few specimens have been collected. Of these only very few have been adults. The type, 142 mm . in length, was an adult male and Tattersall (1913, p. 868) recorded a female, presumably adult, of 160 mm . from the South Atlantic. Ortmann (1906, p. 36) recorded a female of 119 mm . from the coast of Alaska, stating that it had a fully developed marsupium, while
at the same time he recorded that a female of 90 mm . had very tiny oostegites. I can see no trace of brood lamellae in any of the Discovery specimens, although four of them measure over 100 mm . I can only conclude that these are immature males.
G. gigas is a bathypelagic form with a considerable vertical range. Captures by the use of closing nets which, while fishing at great depths, were still far from the bottom, prove that, at any rate when they are immature, the animals occupy levels between 650 m . and nearly 4000 m . The Challenger type and Tattersall's specimen from the Southern Ocean were captured in trawls at 1950 fm . and I 332 fm . respectively, and were presumably living at or close to the bottom. It would appear that, as the animals approach maturity, they occupy lower levels than the young.

## Gnathophausia zoea W.-Suhm, 1873

1873 Gnathophausia zoea W.-Suhm, p. 400, fig.
1885 a Gnathophausia zoea, G. O. Sars, p. 44, figs.
1885 a Gnathophausia willemoesii G. O. Sars, p. 38, figs.
189ı a Gnathophausia sarsii Wood-Mason and Alcock, p. 187.
1906b Gnathophausia cristata Illig, p. 319, figs.
1906 Gnathophausia zoea, sarsi Ortmann, p. 42.
1908 Gnathophausia $\approx o e a$, Hansen, p. 93, figs.
1941 Gnathophausia zoea, Fage, p. 34, figs.
1943 Gnathophausia zoea, Nouvel, p. 15, fig.
1951 Gnathophausia zoea, Tattersall and Tattersall, p. 82, figs.

## Occurrence:

St. 245. 10. vi. 27 (day). West of Tristan da Cunha, 2000-1 800 m ., I juv. badly damaged, estimated length 30 mm . St. 1582. 29. iv. 27 (night). East of Zanzibar, $1900-1850(-0) \mathrm{m}$. 1 juv. 40 mm .
St. 2064. 3. v. 37 (day). Just north of equator, north-north-east of Ascension I., $1600-1050 \mathrm{~m} ., \mathrm{I} \mathrm{J}^{2}, 70 \mathrm{~mm}$. (Colour note, 'brilliant scarlet'.)

Remarks. Gnathophansia zoea is one of the commonest species of the genus and it is somewhat surprising that it was only taken at three stations by the ships of the Discovery Investigations. In common with other species of Gnathophausia, very considerable changes occur in the relative lengths of the rostrum and of the postero-dorsal spine of the carapace, which have led workers to regard young specimens of the species as new forms. By a lapsus calami Tattersall and Tattersall, 1951, p. 86, give G. bidentata Illig as a synonym of G. zoea. It is, of course, a synonym of G. gracilis.

Distribution. G. zoea is widely distributed in the tropical and temperate waters of the globe. It has been recorded on many occasions from all parts of the North Atlantic, from Greenland, Iceland and the Faroes in the north; from the west of Ireland, the Bay of Biscay, off the Azores and Cape Verde Islands in the east; from mid-Atlantic and from the east coast of the United States, the Gulf of Mexico and off Dutch Guiana in the west. In the South Atlantic it has been recorded off the Cape Peninsula in the east and from off the coast of Brazil in the west. Its capture by the 'Discovery' at station 245 to the west of Tristan da Cunha and in the open ocean to the west of the Gulf of Guinea at station 2064 suggests that it is widely distributed in the South Atlantic.

It has been recorded from the middle of the Indian Ocean and from the Bay of Bengal and west of Sumatra in the east. Its capture to the east of Zanzibar at station 1582 considerably extends its known geographical range in this ocean.

There have been many records of the species from the North Pacific, especially in the west from Japanese waters, the China Sea, the Philippines and the East Indian Archipelago. In mid-Pacific it
has been recorded from the Hawaiian Islands and in the east from the west coast of the United States, the gulf of Panama and the Galapagos Islands. In the South Pacific it has only been recorded from Fiji and from the north of New Zealand.
G. zoea is a bathypelagic and mesoplanktonic form and from the records it appears that young specimens tend to inhabit higher levels than the adults.

Gnathophausia gracilis W.-Suhm, 1875

1875 Gnathophausia gracilis W.-Suhm, p. 33, figs.<br>1885 a Gnathophausia gracilis, G. O. Sars, p. 48, figs.<br>1891 a Gnathophausia gracilis var. brevispinis Wood-Mason and Alcock, p. 187.<br>${ }_{1} 89 \mathrm{I} b$ Gnathophausia brevispinis Wood-Mason and Alcock, p. 269.<br>1893 Guathophausia dentata Faxon, p. 217.<br>1895 Gnathophausia brevispinis Faxon, p. 216.<br>1900 Gnathophausia sp. Chun, pp. 289, 5 16, 531; 1903, p. 551, pl.<br>1906b Gnathophansia bidentata Illig, p. 229, figs.<br>1906 Guathophausia gracilis, Ortmann, p. 39.<br>1912 Gnathophausia gracilis, Hansen, p. 185, fig.<br>1930 Gnathophansia gracilis, Illig, p. 409, figs.<br>1941 Guathophausia gracilis, Fage, p. 27, figs.<br>1951 Gnathophansia gracilis, W. M. Tattersall, p. 28.

Occurrence:
St. 700. I8. v. 3 I (day). North-east of Cape Verde Is., $2025-0 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv. 24 mm .
St. 2057. 29. iv. 37 (day). North-east of St Helena, $1450-700 \mathrm{~m}$., I imm. ô, 47 mm . (rostrum II mm.).
St. 2059. 30. iv. 37 (day). North-north-east of St Helena, $1400-0 \mathrm{~m}$., I ô, $5^{8} \mathrm{~mm}$. (rostrum 13 mm .).
Remarks. There is probably no species of Gnathophausia in which the differences between very young specimens and more mature ones are so extensive as they are in G. gracilis. This species was first recorded by Willemoës-Suhm from the 'Challenger' and was fully described and figured by G. O. Sars ( $1885 a$, p. 48). The material at his disposal was not in very good condition and did not show the dentate crest on the dorsal surface of the carapace in the gastric region, which is so marked a character as the animals increase in size. In the specimen from station 700 this crest is very small with very tiny teeth, but in the largest specimen from station 2059 it stands up as a large, somewhat triangular plate with one very well-developed apical spine and clearly marked denticles. The first and second abdominal somites are each armed with two strong spines on the dorsal surface in the median line. The anterior spine on the first abdominal somite is very small, but the posterior one is very large, as are both the spines on the second abdominal somite. In lateral view, the great development of these spines gives the animals a most grotesque appearance. Fage (1941, p. 28) has recorded a character which has been overlooked by previous workers-the presence of six very strong short curved teeth on the inner margin of the sympod of the uropods. These spines are directed somewhat obliquely downward and are difficult to detect when the appendage is in situ.

Fage also recorded that on each of the thoracic sterna in the males there is a stout, median, somewhat forwardly directed spine, the one on the first thoracic somite being very small. These spines are clearly present in the two. larger specimens in the Discovery collection, but they become progressively smaller posteriorly and that of the eighth somite is almost imperceptible. My smallest specimen, measuring only 18 mm ., from the base of the rostrum to the apex of the telson, shows no trace of these spines and, since Fage recorded that they were clearly developed in his smallest male which measured ${ }_{2} 3 \mathrm{~mm}$., it is probable that mine is a young female.

The lower of the two postero-lateral spines of the carapace is small and quite well developed in all the Discovery specimens. In the smallest specimen the rostrum is $33.3 \%$ of the total length from its tip to the apex of the telson and in the other specimens it is $21.3 \%$ and $21.5 \%$ respectively.

Distribution. G. gracilis is widely distributed in the tropical waters of the world. Apart from four localities situated between $25^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and $34^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. and one from central California (Ortmann, 1906), it has never been taken north of the Tropic of Cancer. In the southern hemisphere it has never been taken south of $12^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$. It has been recorded on many occasions in the North Atlantic from the Canaries and Cape Verde Islands in the east (Fage, 1941); from the Gulf of Guinea (Illig, 1930); from mid-Atlantic and from off the West Indies and south of the Bermudas (Fage, 1941). In the Indian Ocean it has been recorded from north of Madagascar, the south of Ceylon and the Cocos Isles (Fage, 1941) and from the Arabian Sea and the Bay of Bengal (Wood-Mason and Alcock, $1891 b$ ). In the Pacific it has been recorded from many stations in the Gulf of Panama and around the Galapagos Islands (Hansen, 1912; Fage, 1941); twice in mid-Pacific and many times around the Philippines and East Indian Archipelago (Fage, 1941).

It has never, up to the present, been recorded from the South Atlantic and its capture at two stations to the N.E. and N.N.E. of St Helena considerably extends its known geographical range.
G. gracilis is essentially bathypelagic in habit. All the records of it are from between 1500 m . and 2000 m ., with the exception of two very young individuals, recorded one by Fage and one by Hansen, which were taken at about 800 m . By comparing the size of adult females captured in various parts of the world, Fage (1941) discovered that there is considerable diversity in the length at which sexual maturity is attained in different localities. In the Pacific, and especially in the eastern Pacific, females were ovigerous at only 39 mm ., while in the Indian Ocean they were still immature at $53-92 \mathrm{~mm}$. In the Atlantic females of $84-95 \mathrm{~mm}$. and even 100 mm . still had very small oostegites.

## Genus Lophogaster M. Sars, 1857

1857 Lophogaster M. Sars, p. 160.
1862 Ctenomysis Norman, p. 151.
Remarks. Workers in the past have had difficulty in deciding which characters could best be used in identifying the species of the genus Lophogaster. Most of these characters have subsequently been shown to be very variable, and specimens differing considerably from one another and collected from widely separated localities have been incorrectly referred to the type species L. typicus. Fage (1942, pp. 7-39) and Tattersall (1951, p. 16), have done much to clear up the existing confusion.

Four species of the genus are represented in the Discovery collection: L. challengeri Fage, L. spinosus Ortmann, L. rotundatus Illig and L. schmidti Fage.

## Lophogaster challengeri Fage, 1942

(Fig. 2A-D)
1885b Lophogaster typicus G. O. Sars, p. 14, figs.
1942 Lophogaster challengeri Fage, p. 16, figs.
Occurrence:
St. 277. 7. viii. 28 (night). Just off Cape Lopez. Two hauls: (i) 63 -o m., 8 juv., $5-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$., 3 juv. 4 mm .; (ii) 88 o m., 4 juv. $5^{-6} \mathrm{~mm}$.

St. 424. 4. ix. 30 (night). Off Port Elizabeth, $59-0 \mathrm{~m} .$, I juv. 7.6 mm ., 1 juv. 8.4 mm .
St. 443. 23. ix. 30 (night). South of Knysna, South Africa, 49 - m ., I imm. II. 5 mm .
St. 444. 24. ix. 30 (night). South-west of Cape Peninsula, $80-0 \mathrm{~m} .$, I juv. 8 mm .
St. 844. 8. iv. $3^{2}$ (night). South of Cape Town, $155^{-0} \mathrm{~m}$., I juv. 8 mm ., 1 juv. 7.6 mm .

Remarks. Characters used by M. Sars (1857) to define Lophogaster typicus have proved to be variable and unreliable. Fage (1942, pp. 7-39), in a survey of all the known material of the species, divided it into four distinct species: (1) typicus (for the forms from the northern hemisphere); (2) challengeri (for specimens collected by 'Challenger' in South African waters and described by G. O. Sars as typicus-the new specific name emphasizes the fact that these specimens are the true types of challengeri); (3) pacificus and (4) hazaiiensis.

Fage separated his four species on the following four salient characters of the carapace: (I) the tuberculation of the integument, (2) the presence or absence of a post-orbital spine, (3) the shape of the profile (in lateral view) of the dorsal margin of the free postero-lateral regions or ' wings', and (4) the size and angle of the postero-lateral spine. He showed, moreover, that these characters were correlated with geographical distribution and conditions of the habitat.

At first sight it may seem that these characters are too trivial to warrant the separation of challengeri from typicus, but examination side by side of specimens from the northern and southern hemispheres leaves no doubt that they do represent two different species and I have no hesitation in accepting challengeri as valid.


Fig. 2. Lophogaster challengeri Fage. A, anterior end in dorsal view, $\times 10 ;$ B, lateral view of postero-lateral region of carapace ('wing'); C, telson and right uropod in dorsal view, $\times 10 ; \mathrm{D}$, distal end of telson (enlarged), $\times 19$. Imm.. Spec.. 8 mm .

The integument of the carapace, especially in the mid-dorsal area, is covered with more or less well-developed tubercles in both typicus and challengeri. This condition is much more pronounced in juveniles and as growth proceeds the tubercles tend to disappear. In the Discovery specimens these tubercles are comparatively few in number and very large. The animals are all small and very immature and since neither Sars nor Fage mentioned the tuberculation of the integument of the carapace in their larger specimens of challengeri, I assume that, as in typicus, the tubercles become less pronounced with growth.

In small juveniles of both species the margin of the carapace bordering the eye is fringed with a close row of fine teeth which disappear completely with growth. In L. typicus this pectination can still be seen in animals of 12 mm . in length but in L. challengeri it disappears much earlier. At station 277 (haul r) all the specimens of less than 5 mm . in length had marked pectination but in those of $5-5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. only very faint traces of it remained. I could not see any sign of the pectination of the margins of the epimeral plates such as occurs in juveniles of L. typicus.
G. O. Sars ( 1885 b, p. 14) neither mentioned nor figured a post-orbital spine in his Challenger specimens, although M. Sars made a special point of it in his original description of L. typicus. Examination of the Challenger specimens confirms that they have no such spine and I am unable to find one in the Discovery specimens, which I here refer to challengeri. In all the European specimens
which I have examined, the post-orbital spine is so marked, even in juveniles, that it cannot be overlooked.
The spines at the postero-lateral angles of the carapace are larger than in L. typicus and as a result of the greater concavity of the dorsal margins of the free carapace lobes, they are directed somewhat upward (Fig. 2B). In L. typicus they are horizontal and so small that they can hardly be regarded as spines at all.

The lobes from the inner distal margins of the third segment of the antennular peduncle are well produced and evenly rounded at their anterior end, their margins smooth and each armed with a single small bristle (Fig. 2 A).

The small apical plate between the two large apical spines of the telson is very pronounced and much longer than recorded by other workers, but this may be a sign of immaturity (Fig. 2C-D).

The length of adults of this species has been recorded as 25 mm . for males and 24 mm . for females.
Distribution. L. challengeri is essentially a coastal, shallow-water form. It has usually been taken at depths of $150-50 \mathrm{~m}$. but has been captured at 274 m . It appears to prefer temperate conditions and the fact that it was taken by 'Discovery' as far north as Cape Lopez may be due to the cold waters of the Benguela Current. The Challenger specimens (referred to L. typicus by G. O. Sars) were taken off the south coast of South Africa.

## Lophogaster spinosus Ortmann, 1906

1906 Lophogaster spinosus Ortmann, p. 26, figs.
1914 Lophogaster spinosus, Zimmer, p. 382.
1926 Lophogaster spinosus, Tattersall, p. 7 and 1937, p. I.
1942 Lophogaster spinosus, Fage, p. 23, figs.
1951 Lophogaster spinosus, W. M. Tattersall, p. 21.

## Occurrence:

St. 679. 29. iv. 3 I (night). East of Rio de Janeiro, $300-0 \mathrm{~m}$., I imm. (measured from tip of rostral spine to apex of telson) 14.4 mm ., I small juv.
St. 680. 30. iv. $3^{I}$ (night). South of Ilha da Trinidade, $260-0 \mathrm{~m}$., 2 small juv. (one with telson missing).
Remarks. This species can readily be recognized by its extremely long, strong median rostral spine, by the very long spinous prolongation of the postero-lateral angles of the carapace, by the long spines on the postero-lateral angles of the tergum of the last abdominal somite and, especially, by the long triangular shape of the antennal scale with its almost straight internal margin. Tattersall (1951, p. 21) recorded that the postero-lateral spines of the carapace extend backward to 'the end of the second abdominal somite in the smaller specimens and to the end of the third abdominal somite in the larger specimens'. None of the Discovery specimens is mature, but in the largest, these spines extend well beyond the posterior margin of the second abdominal somite. The length of this specimen is 14.4 mm . from the tip of the rostrum to the apex of the telson and, since the type specimen measured 39 mm ., it is obviously far from adult.

Distribution. L. spinosus has been recorded from the northern hemisphere at various stations in the West Atlantic between $34^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. and $19^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. and in the southern hemisphere in mid-Atlantic between $16^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ S. and $3^{\circ} 21^{\prime}$ S. The two stations at which it was taken by 'Discovery II' are west of the stations from which it has previously been recorded in the South Atlantic. Both stations are far out to sea to the east of Rio de Janeiro (lat. $30^{\circ}$ W.). Fage pointed out ( 1942, p. 25) that, although one cannot state categorically that it does not occur in equatorial waters, it is significant that 'Dana' in 1941 made collections at eighteen stations, while crossing the Atlantic from Cape Verde to Guiana, without taking a single Lophogaster. L. spinosus, in contrast to all the other species of the genus, inhabits the
open ocean far from land, and it is remarkable that the species has not been taken in the equatorial waters between the northern and southern zones from which records are known.

The vertical distribution is significant and may explain to some extent its horizontal distribution. With one exception all the specimens of $L$. spinosus have been taken at relatively shallow levels over great depths, in the North Atlantic over the great North American trough which surrounds the Bermudas and in the South Atlantic over the Brazilian trough. The Discovery specimens were taken in oblique hauls of $300-0 \mathrm{~m}$. and $260-0 \mathrm{~m}$. over depths of 5095 m . and 5272 m . respectively. Fage states that the records from the northern hemisphere prove that adults of this species inhabit by preference levels of $330-700 \mathrm{~m}$. over depths of about 5000 m ., while juveniles inhabit somewhat higher levels. In the southern hemisphere the Dana captures were made in open vertical hauls, so that it was not possible to ascertain the actual depths at which the animals were living, but one haul was made at night from only 10 m . to the surface.

Both the hauls in which this species was captured by 'Discovery II' were taken at night and at somewhat shallower depths than most of the previous records. It may be that these animals perform vertical migrations to higher levels during hours of darkness as is the habit of many species of mysids.

Lophogaster rotundatus Illig, 1930
(Fig. 3 G-K)
191 Lophogaster typicus var. Tattersall, p. 120.
1930 Lophogaster rotundatus, Illig, p. 405, figs.
1939 Lophogaster rotundatus, Tattersall, p. 224.
1942 Lophogaster rotundatus, Fage, p. 15, figs.

## Occurrence:

St. 440 . 21. ix. 30 (day). East of Durban, $1050-950(-0)$ m., I imm., 14 mm .
Remaris. Tattersall (r9ifa, p. 120 ) recorded an ovigerous female of 20 mm . from south of the Saya de Malah Bank in the western Indian Ocean as Lophogaster typicus var. He pointed out certain differences between it and the published descriptions of $L$. typicus but, with only a single specimen available, he did not feel justified in instituting a new species for it. Illig ( 1930 , p. 405) founded a new species, L. rotundatus, for a female of 17 mm . captured in the Zanzibar Channel and Tattersall (1939, p. 204), when recording three specimens of this species captured by the 'John Murray' Expedition, stated that on re-examination he found that his L. typicus var. belonged to the same species.

A single immature specimen captured in a vertical haul off the east of Durban by the 'Discovery' very closely resembles L. rotundatus, but shows some few differences which may be due to immaturity. The central rostral spine extends only slightly beyond the lateral ones and extends barely to the middle of the third segment of the antennular peduncle whereas in Illig's type it is longer than the lateral ones and extends beyond the distal margin of the antennular peduncle. The integument of the carapace is devoid of tubercles and the postero-lateral angles are evenly rounded as in the type. The antennal scale has the same very broad shape as in the type but, although the animal is immature, there are four teeth on the outer margin, whereas Illig's much larger specimen had only three (Fig. 3 H ).

The lobe on the inner side of the distal margin of the third segment of the antennular peduncle is so short as to be almost obsolete and its anterior margin is very finely serrated (Fig. ${ }_{3} \mathrm{G}$ ).

The uropods are relatively much shorter and the endopod stouter in this specimen than in the type and the armature of the telson is somewhat different. Illig figured two very small lateral spines and two very strong large spines at the distal end near the apical spine. Tattersall recorded that in his specimens there were three small spines along the lateral margins and only one large lateral spine at the distal end near the apical spine. The Discovery specimen has exactly the arrangement described by

Tattersall. The arrangement of the spinules arming the apex of the telson is somewhat irregular and asymmetrical. There is no prolongation of the apex between the two very long apical spines and the almost straight posterior margin bears six small, evenly spaced spinules with five tiny spinules interspersed irregularly among them (Fig. $3 \mathrm{~J}-\mathrm{K}$ ). The sub-apical spines of the telson are much smaller and more slender than those figured by Illig. In spite of these small differences, I somewhat dubiously refer the Discovery specimen to $L$. rotundatus.





Fig. 3. Lophogaster schmidti Fage (A-F). A, antennular peduncle of immature female; B, right antenna; C, fourth thoracic appendage of immature female with oostegite; D, eighth thoracic appendage of immature female with oostegite; E, telson and right uropod in dorsal view. All $\times 16 . \mathrm{F}$, distal end of telson (enlarged).

Lophogaster rotundatus Illig (G-K). G, antennular peduncle of immature male; H, left antenna; J, telson and left uropod in dorsal view. All $\times 16 . \mathrm{K}$, distal end of telson (enlarged).

Distribution. This species has only been recorded on three occasions before the present record. All of these were from tropical waters of the western Indian Ocean and in each the captures were made at the bottom in depths between 263 m . and 463 m . The present record considerably extends both its horizontal and vertical range. Fage has suggested that temperature is the limiting factor in its distribution but, if I am right in referring the present specimen to rotundatus, the fact that it was taken in
latitude $30^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. in a haul (in which the net failed to close) from 1050 m . proves that it can tolerate much lower temperatures than Fage supposed. It has previously been taken at the bottom and if it was taken at the bottom in the haul at station $44^{\circ}$ it would have been living at a very much greater depth than has previously been known for it. The temperature at 1000 m . was $7.67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. at the time of capture.

## Lophogaster schmidti Fage, 1941

$194^{1}$ Lophogaster schmidti Fage, p. 34, figs.

```
(Fig. 3A-F)
```


## Occurrence:

St. 1585 . I. v. 35 (night). South east of Ras Hafun, $500-0$ m., I ovig. $8,19.2 \mathrm{~mm}$.
 oostegites, 14 mm., 4 juv.; (ii) $1250-800 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv., iI mm.

Remarks. These specimens agree in every respect with the types. Fage (1942, p. 34 ) stated that in the female there were ro-ri spinules arming the apex of the telson between the long apical spines but that in the male there were only $9-10$. The three males in the Discovery collection are very immature but there are eight spinules on the apex of the telson with two minute traces of spinules. In most species of the genus the eighth thoracic appendage is smaller than the preceding ones. In L. schmidti the reduction of this appendage is particularly marked and its exopod is extremely small (Fig. 3 D, cf. C). The four pairs of spines arming the lateral margins of the telson are very small and in most cases the three anterior pairs can only be seen with difficulty, their presence being indicated by the small indentations which mark their sockets. When they do attain any length they are very fine and delicate. The penultimate pair near the apical spines are smaller than is usual in other species of the genus (Fig. $3 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}$ ).

Distribution. The types were captured in tropical waters of the Pacific at several stations to the north and west of New Guinea. Fage records that they were pelagic at $100-200 \mathrm{~m}$. over great depths. The Discovery specimens were taken in the West Arabian Sea, off Ras Hafun in oblique hauls from 500 m . and 450 m . to the surface. The depth of the sounding at these stations was 5046 m . and 5098 m . respectively, so that precisely the same conditions prevailed as those in which the type specimens were captured.

1852 Eucopia Dana, p. 609.

## Family Eucopidde

Genus Eucopia Dana, 1852
Remarks. A great deal of confusion has arisen in the identification of specimens of Eucopia, much of it due to the fact that as growth proceeds considerable changes take place in the proportions and armature of the various parts. Even after sexual maturity has been attained, growth and the accompanying growth changes continue.

I have discussed the validity of the various species of the genus in the 'Monograph on British Mysidacea' (Tattersall and Tattersall, 1951, pp. 97-9), especially with regard to those forms which occur in British waters. I regard the following four species as established: australis, unguiculata, sculpticauda and grimaldii. The Discovery material furnishes additional evidence of the variations due to growth which have caused so much confusion in the past, and includes forms not recorded from British waters. Some further remarks on the subject are therefore necessary.

Of the four species named above, E. sculpticauda is the most easily recognized, thanks to the careful work of Illig (1930, p. 400) who described and figured the growth changes which occur with the onset of maturity. These result in the clearly defined specific characters of the adults, which differ widely from those of very immature animals. E. sculpticauda can be readily identified at all ages by the
absence of a spine or thorn at the distal end of the naked portion of the outer margin of the antennal scale, by the persistence of colour in the cornea of the eye even after prolonged preservation, by the shorter, more robust endopods of the 2nd to the 4 th thoracic appendages and by the presence on the eighth thoracic appendages of well-developed branchiae (borne in front of the appendage instead of behind, as in the other limbs). The distinctive shape and ornamentation of the telson, whereby larger animals can at once be identified, does not develop until a length of $12-15 \mathrm{~mm}$. has been reached and even in specimens of 20 mm . the well-known constrictions of the telson and its honeycomb ornamentation are only faintly marked.

Identification of the other three species presents greater difficulty. Owing to the softness of the integument and the fragility of the animals, well-preserved specimens are rarely obtained. Even in the extensive Discovery collections, I found (as have so many previous workers on other material) that, among all the hundreds of specimens of Eucopia, there was not one undamaged individual nor a single female with eggs or embryos in the brood sac.

The size of adult animals is one of the most useful guides in the separation of australis, unguiculata and grimaldii, although it must be borne in mind that in all three species the animals tend to be more precocious in warmer waters. In the Discovery collection females of australis $37-44 \mathrm{~mm}$. in length, have small, not fully developed oostegites with the fringes only just beginning to appear and at station 245 a specimen of 47 mm . was still immature. At station 2065, however, a female of 45 mm . had fully developed oostegites. The average size of adult females of australis is 51 mm . E. grimaldii is a smaller form than australis; females of less than 27 mm . are quite immature and fully adult specimens range from 29 mm . to 38 mm . E. unguiculata is definitely a smaller, more slender form than either of the preceding species; females of 17 mm . to 23 mm . are immature but have well-formed oostegites and adult females range from 22 mm . to 29 mm .

Text-figs. $4^{-5}$ show the anterior end, the telson and a uropod of each of the three species. In the case of australis I have figured what might be described as an average specimen, for nearly all the animals which I have referred to this species have the telson as in Fig. 4D. However, there are a few individuals which, while agreeing with australis in all other respects, have the spines arming the telson arranged more like those of grimaldii. Other specimens show some characters intermediate between australis and unguiculata or grimaldii, affording further evidence of the variability of the three species.

Hansen (i910, p. 21) separated a species, E. major, from E. australis on three characters: (1) its smaller size, (2) eyes scarcely twice as long as broad, (3) terminal segment of the exopod of the uropod broader than long. In 1912 (p. 188) he referred specimens of over 60 mm . captured by 'Siboga', to E. major, and, although they were as large as adults of australis, he considered that the other characters were sufficient to justify the species. Fage (1942, p. 40) pointed out that the species australis possessed particularly thin chitin and that, since the animals were bathypelagic, the net brought them to the surface in poor condition. Consequently, accurate measurement of the various parts was very difficult, the eyes in particular being very distorted. Fage therefore considered that Hansen (I910) was not justified in separating the species E. major from E. australis.

Nouvel ( $1942 a, b$; 1943) followed Hansen in regarding the length/breadth ratios of the eye, the distal segment of the antennal scale and the distal segment of the exopod of the uropod as of specific significance. These characters are extremely variable and, after examining the Discovery material I can fully endorse Fage's opinion and I accept his view that E. major and E. australis are synonymous. Of seventy-five measured adult specimens, only eight could with certainty be referred to australis on Hansen's diagnosis and seven to major. It is obvious that these characters are too variable to be of specific value. Nor can the variations be attributed to geographical location, for the same diversity occurs among individuals in the same haul. My measurements are given in Table 3.

Having decided to refer all these specimens to E. australis I now submit the following broader definition of that species:
I. Anterior margin of the carapace evenly rounded or showing a slight tendency to develop an obtusely rounded median angle; the whole anterior margin markedly convex with an average ratio of length/breadth 0.3 (the breadth was measured at the deepest point before the margin curves forward towards the antero-lateral angles; the length was measured from the mid-point of this distance to the middle of the anterior margin).
2. Antennular peduncle robust; third segment articulated obliquely with the second, its inner margin sinuous, considerably longer than the distal margin and produced at its distal end into a strong setiferous lobe.
3. Antennal scale only very slightly longer than antennular peduncle; twice as long as its greatest breadth; showing marked sexual dimorphism-the outer margin in males and young females sinuous, very convex proximally, becoming concave in the middle of its length and less concave distally; in adult females convex proximally, thence almost straight to its distal end. Distal segment in both sexes about half as long as broad at the base; apex asymmetrical. Antennular peduncle relatively larger in males than in females (Fig. 4 C).
4. Eyes robust, about half as broad as long; cornea terminal with its proximal margin either straight or very slightly oblique (Fig. 4C).

Table 3. Measurements of seventy individuals to compare those characters given by Hansen for the separation of E . australis and E . major

| Eyes more than twice as broad as long |  |  | Eyes twice as broad as long |  |  | Eyes less than twice as broad as long |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Uropod longer than broad | Uropod as long as broad | Uropod shorter than broad | Uropod longer than broad | Uropod as long as broad | Uropod shorter than broad | Uropod longer than broad | Uropod as long as broad | Uropod shorter than broad |
| $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ \text { N.B.Typical } \\ \text { australis } \end{gathered}$ | 26 | 8 | ${\underset{c}{\text { N.B. Typical }}}_{\text {major }}^{3}$ | 2 | 5 | $\text { N.B. } \stackrel{4}{T y p i c a l}^{\text {and }}$ <br> major | 6 | 8 |

5. Endopods of 2 nd-4th thoracic appendages long and slender; more robust than in unguiculata and grimaldii but much longer and more slender than in sculpticauda; $4^{\text {th }}$ pair the largest with carpopropodus more than three times as long as broad, its inner margin concave for the distal third of its length forming a depression into which the strong long dactylus and nail fold; third and second pairs progressively smaller and their nails relatively smaller.
6. Distal segment of exopod of uropod about as long as broad at its base (Fig. 4 D).
7. Telson with narrowly rounded apex armed with a pair of long spines; proximal third of lateral margins unarmed; middle region armed with a few widely spaced spines; distal portion armed with many spines arranged in series composed of $5^{-9}$ long spines with small, slightly graduated spines in the spaces between them. The number of small spines occupying the distal space usually 9 -18 but there may be fewer; slightly graduated, becoming progressively larger distally (Fig. 4D).
8. Size: Females are usually sexually mature at a length of about 50 mm . but specimens have been recorded up to nearly 70 mm .

Both Nouvel ( 1942 ) and Fage (1942, pp. 43, 58) have described very interesting secondary sexual characters in the armature of the thoracic sterna in species of the genus. Fage in the same work made
a careful analysis of the horizontal and vertical distribution of all the species of Eucopia and the present collections do not add materially to his findings.

I have added a fifth species, E. linguicaudata, to the genus. Unfortunately there is only one specimen of this interesting form, but the shape and armature of the telson are so distinctive, that it cannot be referred to any of the known species.

## Eucopia australis Dana, $185^{2}$ <br> (Fig. $4 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{D}$ )

1852 Eucopia australis Dana, p. 609, figs. (probably $=$ E. unguiculata).
1885a Eucopia australis G. O. Sars (pars), p. 55, figs.
1905 a Eucopia australis, Hansen, p. 6.
1910 Eucopia australis, Hansen, p. 20.
1910 Eucopia major Hansen, p. 21, figs. and 1912, p. 188.
1930 Eucopia australis, Illig, p. 404.
1942 a Eucopia australis, Nouvel, pp. 1-7. 1943, p. 20.
1942 Eucopia australis, Fage, p. 41, figs.

## Occurrence:

St. 78. 12. vi. 26 (day). North-west of Tristan da Cunha, $1000(-0)$ m., I imm. $\stackrel{\odot}{\circ}, 33 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2$ small juv.
St. 85. 23. vi. 26 (night). West of Cape Town, $2000(-0)$ m., 1 adult ${ }^{7}$, $5^{1} \mathrm{~mm} ., 4$ adult + to, $4^{6-49 \mathrm{~mm} \text {., fragments. }}$
St. 100C. 4. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, $2500-2000 \mathrm{~m}$., 2 䑙, 53 mm . and 58 mm ., 2 imm . $97,37 \mathrm{~mm}$., fragments.
St. 101. 14. x. 26. West of Cape Town. Two hauls: (i) $1410-1310 \mathrm{~m}$. (day), fragments of about 6 specimens; (ii) $2580-2480 \mathrm{~m}$. (night), I of, 50 mm ., 2 adult $ㅇ+9,44 \mathrm{~mm}$. and 50 mm ., I juv. ㅇ, fragments.

St. 245. 10. vi. 27 (day). West of Tristan da Cunha, $1800-2000 \mathrm{~m}$., 1 do, 60 mm . (broken), i di, 53 mm ., 2 of (not fully mature) larger 47 mm ., fragments.
St. 28ı. 12. viii. 27 (day). West of Cape Lopez, $950-850(-0)$ m., I imm. $9,44 \mathrm{~mm}$.
 38 mm . and 40 mm .
St. 295. 25 . viii. 27 (day). West of Sierra Leone, $2700-2500(-0)$ m., I adult to, 47 mm .
 53 mm ., 26 juv.
 I3 juv., largest 38 mm .
St. 4 13. 21. viii. 30 (day). West-north-west of Cape Town, $1600-1000 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv.
St. 590. 14. i. $3^{1}$ (day). West of Graham Land, $1400-1150 \mathrm{~m} ., 6$ juv., largest 21 mm .
St. 661. 2. iv. $3^{1}$ (night). South-east of South Georgia, $3000-2000 \mathrm{~m}$. , I adult $9,47 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 675. 26. iv. 3r (day). West-north-west of Tristan da Cunha, $1500-1000 \mathrm{~m} .$, I imm. ㅇ. ${ }^{2}$
St. 687. 5. v. $3^{1}$ (day). South-east of Pernambuco, $1500-1000 \mathrm{~m} .$, I juv. $3_{2} \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 693. 10. v. 3 (day). Mid-Atlantic, near equator, $2000-1500 \mathrm{~m}$. , I juv. 20 mm .
St. 699. 14. v. 31 (day). West of Cape Verde Islands, $1000-750$ m., I juv.
St. 700. 18. v. $3^{1}$ (day). North-east of Cape Verde Islands, $2025-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 3$ juv., largest 37 mm .
St. 1298. 2. iii. 34 (day). Ice Edge, Bellingshausen Sea, $1000(-0) \mathrm{m}$., 9 imm., largest 38 mm . with very small oostegites.
St. 1574. 23. iv. 35 (night). Mozambique Channel, inoo-450 m., I \& 43 mm .
St. 1576. 25. iv. 35 (night). Mozambique Channel, $1100-400 \mathrm{~m} ., 1$ ô, 43 mm .
St. 1582. 29. iv. 35 (night). East of Zanzibar, $1900-1850(-0)$ m., i juv. 9 , anterior end only of very large 9.
St. 1919. 4. xii. 36 (day). Off South Georgia, $1800-\mathrm{I} 300 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv. ㅇ, 39 mm .
St. 1970. 18. ii. 37 (day). Scotia Sea, $1800-1500 \mathrm{~m}$., I adult $\mathrm{O}, 50 \mathrm{~mm}$. (Note on label, 'thin delicate integument, translucent and a lovely delicate purple pink'.)
St. 2053. 27. iv. 37 (day). South-east of St Helena, $900-550 \mathrm{~m} .$, I ${ }^{\circ}, 45 \mathrm{~mm}$. (Colour note, 'deep brilliant scarlet, delicate carapace, translucent.')
St. 2057. 29. iv. 37 (day). North-east of St Helena, $1450-700 \mathrm{~m} ., 2$ adult $9 \circ, 45 \mathrm{~mm}$. and 48 mm . (Colour notes, 'brilliant scarlet, integument translucent', 'orange red, translucent, especially carapace.')

St. 2059. 30. iv. 37 (day). North-north-east of St Helena, $1900-1400 \mathrm{~m} .$, I ${ }^{2}$, 50 mm .
St. 2061. I. v. 37 (day). North-east of Ascension I., I $900-1500 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv. f, 30 mm .
St. 2063. 2. v. 37 (day). North-east of Ascension I., 2 hauls: (i) $600-0 \mathrm{~m}$., I imm. $9,41 \mathrm{~mm}$.; (ii) $1150-600 \mathrm{~m}$., I juv.
St. 2064. 3. v. 37 (day). North-north-east of Ascension I., $1600-1050 \mathrm{~m} .$, I juv. 30 mm .
St. 2065. 4.v. 37 (day). N. of Ascension I., i $600-\mathrm{I} 400 \mathrm{~m}$. I adult $9,45 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 2066. 5. v. 37 (day). South of Sierra Leone, 1950-1 550 m., I juv.
Remarks. Dana's original description of E. australis is short and not at all precise and his figures are small and lacking in detail.

I have given on p. 47 the main characters by which E. australis may be distinguished from E. unguiculata and E. grimaldii, but it must be stressed that the antennal scale is one of the most useful guides in the determination of the species. In australis it is relatively very broad, only twice as long as broad and is shorter than in the other species, extending forward for only about one-seventh of its length beyond the anterior margin of the antennular peduncle, while in unguiculata and grimaldii it is more than twice as long as broad and extends beyond the antennular peduncle for more than one-fourth of its length. The sexual dimorphism, shown in the sinuous curve of the outer margin of the scale in the male only and in the relative size of the antennular peduncle, does not occur in the other species (Fig. $4^{C}$ ). In some specimens of australis the dividing line between the cornea and the eyestalk is somewhat oblique, but never to the same extent as in the other two species. The specimens are very fragile; the eyes in particular are very liable to distortion and in a surprising number of individuals the cornea and distal end of the eyestalk are actually invaginated.

The antennular peduncle is much more robust than in unguiculata and its third segment is articulated very obliquely with the second. The inner margin of this segment is considerably longer than the distal margin and is produced at its distal end into a strong setiferous lobe, as in grimaldii.

The armature of the telson in the great majority of the specimens which I have here referred to australis is shown in Fig. 4D, and resembles that of unguiculata in having a large number of spines in the space between the distal large marginal spine and the apical spine. There are, however, some few specimens which agree with australis in all other points but have these spines arranged more as in grimaldii.

Distribution. This species is, like unguiculata and grimaldii, a bathypelagic form, widely distributed in nearly all the oceans of the world. It was first recorded from the Antarctic and was for a long time regarded as essentially a southern form, but it has now been recorded, either as australis or as major, from all the oceans of the world except the North Atlantic, the Arctic Ocean and the Mediterranean. It has most frequently been recorded from the tropical and sub-tropical waters of the Atlantic and Pacific, but also as far north as the Behring Sea, the coasts of Japan and the coasts of California (Ortmann, 1906), and as far south as Graham Land and the Ice Edge in the Bellingshausen Sea.
Of the thirty-two stations at which it occurs in the Discovery Collection, ten are in the South Atlantic or the Antarctic, fifteen are in the tropical waters of the Atlantic (one of them in the West Atlantic off Pernambuco) and four from the Atlantic near Cape Town. Three stations occur in the Indian Ocean, off Madagascar. The greatest depth at which it was captured in this collection was between 2480 m . and 2580 m . at station ror and the least at station 2063 between 600 m . and the surface. It has been recorded in very great depths in the western Pacific and was taken by 'Dana' at depths of over 6000 m . to the west of New Guinea.

# Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm) 1875 

(Fig. 4A, B)
1852 Eucopia australis Dana, p. 609, figs.
1875 Chalaraspis unguiculata W.-Suhm, p. 37, fig.
${ }_{1} 885$ a Eucopia australis (pars.) G. O. Sars, p. 55, figs.
1905a Eucopia australis, Hansen, p. 5; 1905b, p. 3.
1910 Eucopia unguiculata, Hansen, p. 19, figs.
1942 a Eucopia hanseni Nouvel, p. 3; 1943, p. 30, figs.
$194^{2}$ Eucopia hanseni, Fage, p. 47.
1951 Eucopia unguiculata, Tattersall and Tattersall, p. ıor, figs.

## Occurrence:

$13^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 18^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W} .28$. x. 25. West of Gambia, $900-\mathrm{om}$., I adult $q$.
$6^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$ N., $15^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ W. 2. xi. 25. South-west of Monrovia, $800-0$ m., $1 ठ^{\wedge}, 23 \mathrm{~mm}$., 4 adult $0 \uparrow+25-29 \mathrm{~mm}$., 8 juv., fragments.
St. 86. 24. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, rooo(-0) m., i juv.
St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). Off Cape Town, iooo( -0 ) m., I juv.
St. 100 C. 4. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, 2500-2000 m., I adult $q, 29 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 253. 2I. vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, $1050-1000 \mathrm{~m} .$, I juv.
St. 281. 12. viii. 27 (day). West of Cape Lopez, $950-850(-0) \mathrm{m}$., I adult \&, 31 mm .
St. 295. 25. viii. 27 (day). West of Sierra Leone, 2700-2500(-0) m., 1 imm. $\uparrow, 23 \mathrm{~mm}$., anterior end of $q$.
 i juv. + , 4 small juv.
St. 405. 4. vi. 30 (day). West of Cape Town, $1200-0 \mathrm{~m} .$, I imm. $9,23 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 407. 12. vi. 30 (day). South-west of Cape Town, $950-800 \mathrm{~m} .$, I imm. $\&$ (damaged) 20.5 mm .
St. 440. 21. ix. 30 (day). East of Durban, $1050-950(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 1$ juv. 16 mm .
St. 693. ro. v. 3 I (day). Mid-Atlantic, equatorial zone, $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$., I adult ㅇ, 23 mm ., 6 very small juv.
St. 696. 12. v. 3 I (day). South-west of Cape Verde Is., $1000-750$ m., I very small juv.
St. 700. 18. v. 3 I (day). North-east of Cape Verde Is., $2025-0 \mathrm{~m}$., 1 J', $32 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2$ adult $9 \uparrow, 27-28 \mathrm{~mm}$., 2 imm . ¢ $¢, 23 \mathrm{~mm}$., I small juv.
St. 1574. 23. iv. 35 (night). Between Madagascar and Beira, $1100-450 \mathrm{~m} ., 2 \mathrm{imm}$. $99,17 \mathrm{~mm}$. and 2 Imm ., anterior end of adult 9.
St. 1580.27 . iv. 35 (night). North of Comoro Is. $1300-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 5$ juv.
St. 1582. 29. iv. 35 (night). East of Zanzibar, $1900-1850(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2$ adult $98,28 \mathrm{~mm}$., 1 imm.
 22 mm . and 17 mm . 1 juv.
 4 imm . 여, 21 mm .
 21-23 mm., 2 juv. 우, fragments.
St. 1970. I 8 . ii. 37 (day). Scotia Sea, $1800-1500$ m., 2 juv.
St. 1974. I. iii. 37 (day). Scotia Sea, r600-1000 m., i juv.
St. 2035. 7. iv. 37 (day). Off Cape Town, $950-750 \mathrm{~m}$., I imm. $9,17 \mathrm{~mm}$. (Colour note, 'orange red'.)
St. 2065. 4. v. 37 (day). North of Ascension I., $1600-1400 \mathrm{~m} .$, I adult,+ 25 mm ., I anterior end.
St. 2066. 5.v. 37 (day). West of Gulf of Guinea, 1950-1 $550 \mathrm{~m} ., 2$ adult 9 早, 26 mm . and 25 mm ., I nearly adult $\circ$, 23 mm .
St. 2682. 8. vi. 50 (night). South of Aden, $750-500 \mathrm{~m}$., I imm. 오, 22 mm .
Remarks. This species closely resembles $E$. grimaldii in the shallow convexity of the anterior margin of the carapace and in the size and shape of the eyes, with the corneal elements occupying the outer distal region of the eyestalk, so that they look essentially outward and the proximal margin of the cornea is decidedly oblique (cf. Figs. 4A and 5A). It may be distinguished from grimaldiï (i) by its smaller, more slender form, (ii) by the shorter inner margin of the third segment of the antennular peduncle
with its small setiferous distal lobe, (iii) by the straighter outer margin of the antennal scale, and (iv) by the armature of the telson. In addition, the apex of the antennal scale is, as a rule, more rounded than in grimaldii and is almost symmetrical (cf. Figs. 4A and 5 A).

The form of the telson is one of the easiest methods of recognizing this species. The spines arming the distal portion of the lateral margins are arranged in a series of a few very long spines with a varying number of small spines in the spaces between them. These small spines are sub-equal in size and the number in the distal space varies between 8 and 24 . In grimaldii, the smaller spines are not very much shorter than the long spines and there are rarely more than three or four of them in the distal space (Figs. 4 B and 5 B).


Fig. 4. Eucopia unguiculata (W.-Suhm) (A, B). A, anterior end of male in dorsal view, $\times 6 ; \mathrm{B}$, telson and right uropod in dorsal view, $\times 6$.

Eucopia australis Dana (C, D). C, anterior end of male in dorsal view, $\times 6$; D, telson and right uropod in dorsal view, $\times 6$.

Distribution. I think that in all probability the specimen described by Dana as E. australis was really $E$. unguiculata and it is certain that a re-examination of the material, which in the past has been referred to various species of the genus Eucopia, would reveal that in many cases the animals have not been correctly assigned. Nouvel showed that specimens previously referred to unguiculata can be separated into two distinct species, unguiculata and grimaldii. I have myself confirmed his observations by an examination of the material labelled E. unguiculata in my late husband's collections from the waters of the North Atlantic. It is therefore not possible to accept early records as reliable guides for the geographical distribution of this species. On the other hand the distribution of the three species unguiculata, australis and grimaldii seems to be very much the same. In the Discovery collec-
tion, E. unguiculata was taken at twenty-five stations. At ten of these it was captured with E. australis, at nine with grimaldii and at three stations all three species were taken together in the same haul.

The Discovery records show that the species is widely distributed in the waters of the Atlantic, from the Scotia Sea to the N.E. of Cape Verde Islands. It has been recorded from British waters and from the Greenland and Iceland coasts, but the majority of captures have been made in tropical and sub-tropical waters of the Atlantic and Indian Oceans.
E. unguiculata is bathypelagic in habit and is most abundant at about 2000 m ., though it may occur in much shallower water. The greatest depth at which it was taken in the Discovery collection was between 2000 m . and 2500 m . at station 101 C and the least between 700 m . and 500 m . to the surface at station 281.

## Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon, 1893

1885 a Eucopia australis (pars) G. O. Sars, p. 55, figs.
1885 Eucopia equatoria Spence-Bate, see G. O. Sars, ibid. p. 55 , nomen nudum.
1893 Eucopia sculpticauda Faxon, p. 218.
1895 Eutcopia sculpticauda, Faxon, p. 292, figs.
1905a Eucopia intermedia Hansen, p. 5, figs.
1905 a Eucopia sculpticauda, Hansen, p. 7, fig.
1930 Eucopia sculpticauda, Illig, p. 400, figs.
1942 Eucopia sculpticauda, Fage, p. 56, figs.
1951 Eutcopia sculpticauda, Tattersall and Tattersall, p. 109, figs.

## Occurrence:

$4^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N} ., 12^{\circ} 36^{\prime} 20^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$. io. x. 25. West of Portugal, $900-0 \mathrm{~m}$. , I d̊, 35 mm .
$13^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$. , $18^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. 28. x. 25. West of Gambia, $900-\mathrm{m}$., I adult $\mathrm{O}, 44 \mathrm{~mm}$.
$6^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{N} ., 15^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$ W. 2. xi. 25. South-east of Monrovia, $800-0 \mathrm{~m}$., I imm. $8,33 \mathrm{~mm}$., I juv., 25 mm ., fragment.
St. 86. 24. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, $1000(-\infty)$ m., I adult $\varphi, 51 \mathrm{~mm}$., fragments of smaller female.
St. 100 C. 4. x. 26 (day). West of Table Bay, $2500(-0)$ m., 5 small juv.
St. 101. 15. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town. Three hauls: (i) $950-850 \mathrm{~m}$., I of, 55 mm .; (ii) $1410-1310 \mathrm{~m}$., anterior end only of very large specimen; (iii) $2580-2480 \mathrm{~m}$., night, large $q$ with telson missing.
St. 256. 23 . vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, $1100-850(-0)$ m., I ${ }^{\text {® }}, 47 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 281. 12. viii. 27 (day). West of Cape Lopez, $950-850(-0)$ m., 2 ơ $^{\circ}$, larger, $32 \mathrm{~mm} ., 8$ of, largest, 38 mm ., io juv. and fragments.
St. 287. 19. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea, $1000-800(-0)$ m., 4 adult 99 , largest $36 \mathrm{~mm} ., 4$ juv., fragments.
St. 295. 25. viii. 27 (day). West of Sierra Leone, 2700-2500(-0) m., i juv. P, i small juv.
St. 298. 28. viii. 27 (day). West of Cape Verde, $1200-900(-0)$ m., I ${ }^{\circ}, 38$ mm., 3 fof, largest 32 mm ., 8 juv.
St. 414. 28. viii. 30 (night). South of Cape Town, 1700-1000 m., I juv.
St. 440. 21. ix. 30 (day). East of Durban, $1050-950(-0)$ m., I đ̂, 36 mm ., I \&, 43 mm ., I juv.

St. 699. 14. v. 31 (day). West of Cape Verde Is., $1000-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 2$ small juv. and fragment.
St. 700. 18. v. 31 (day). North-east of Cape Verde Is., $2025-0 \mathrm{~m} .$, I $9,41 \mathrm{~mm}$., I juv.
St. 1574. 23. iv. 35 (night). Between Beira and Madagascar, $1100-450 \mathrm{~m} .$, I $\quad$, 40 mm ., fragments of smaller 9.
St. 1576. 25. iv. 35 (night). West of Madagascar, $1100-400 \mathrm{~m}$. , I fo, 42 mm ., 1 small $\circ$.
St. 1580. 27. iv. 35 (night). North of Comoro 1s., $1300-0$ m., I adult $q, 47 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 1582. 29. iv. 35 (night). East of Zanzibar, 1900-1850(-0) m., I adult $q$ \&, 37 mm ., I adult $q$ with telson missing, 7 juv., fragments.

St. 1586. 2. v. 35 (night). East of Mogadishu, $1650-950$ m., I $\&, 35 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2$ juv.

St. 1765. 7. v. 36 (day). East of East London, $1350-800$ m., i small juv. (Colour note, 'brilliant scarlet'.)
St. 2055. 28. iv. 37 (day). East-north-east of St Helena, 2000-1 400 m ., I ô, 28 mm ., 2 small juv. (Colour note, 'deep scarlet'.)

St. 2057. 29. iv. 37 (day). North-east of St Helena, I450-700 m. Four tubes: (i) 1 juv., 'crimson, anterior part of thorax and anterior thoracic legs darker'; (ii) i ㅇ, 36 mm ., 'rich deep scarlet', 1 juv.; (iii) I ㅇ, 35 mm ., 'deep scarlet'; (iv) i juv. o, 'bright scarlet'.

 'deep scarlet'; (v) I ơ, 37 mm ., 'brilliant scarlet'; (vi) I juv. of, $23 \mathrm{~mm} ., 3$ small juv.
St. 2061. I. v. 37 (day). North-east of Ascension I., $1900-1500 \mathrm{~m}$., I adult ㅇ, 37 mm ., 2 imm . 아아, I juv. Second tube, f 900 - 500 m ., I juv.
St. 2063. 2. v. 37 (day). North-east of Ascension I., Two hauls: (i) $600-0 \mathrm{~m}$. , 1 for $31 \mathrm{~mm} ., 1 \mathrm{imm} .{ }_{9}, 27 \mathrm{~mm}$; (ii) $1150-600 \mathrm{~m} .$, I,+ 32 mm . (with large empty brood sac).

St. 2064. 3. v. 37 (day). Open ocean west of Gulf of Guinea, $1600-1050 \mathrm{~m}$. , I adult of, 32 mm .
 note, 'very deep rich purple red; partially translucent'.)
St. 2066. 5. V. 37 (day). South of Sierra Leone. Two hauls: (i) $1550-0$ m., I adult $\&$, 52 mm . (Colour note, 'crimson;
 $3_{1} \mathrm{~mm}$., I juv. \& +27 mm ., I small juv.
Remarks. E. sculpticauda differs so profoundly from all the known species of the genus that, although considerable growth changes do occur after sexual maturity has been attained, there is no difficulty in recognizing it. The anterior region of the carapace is deeply vaulted and produced forward into a definite obtuse triangle; there is no tooth or spine terminating the unarmed portion of the outer margin of the antennal scale; the eyes are well developed with the deeply pigmented cornea situated terminally on the eyestalks. It is interesting to note that in the Discovery material, much of which has been preserved for nearly thirty years, the members of this species may be picked out readily from among other species of the genus by the colour of the eyes. The second to the fourth thoracic endopods are much more robust and shorter than in any of the other species and it seems clear that the figures given by Sars ( $1885 a$, pl. x, figs. 14 and 16 ) for a young female of E. australis were, in fact, taken from an immature female of $E$. sculpticauda. The most outstanding character of the adults of this species is the shape of the telson and the elaborate sculpturing of its dorsal surface.

In young animals the telson is similar in shape to that of E. australis, but differs in that the rounded apex and the distal portion of the lateral margins are armed with only 8 -10 small regular spines. In addition, the pair of long apical spines, which are present in all the other known species of Eucopia, are lacking. As growth proceeds the surface of the telson becomes raised up into ridges, arranged in a honeycomb pattern in the median region, with radiating ridges running outward to the base of each of the large spines arming the lateral margins. At the same time, a constriction appears just behind the apex and proximal to the insertion of the last pair of large lateral spines. In older animals, a second constriction may develop proximal to the first in the next space between the large marginal spines. The apex of the telson remains evenly rounded and although the spines upon it increase in number to as many as $18-20$, they are approximately of equal size. Illig ( 1930 , p. 402) gave a full account with figures of these growth changes. Fage (1942, p. 58) observed that the oostegites in adult females of E. sculpticauda were much longer, more slender and more acutely pointed than in other species of the genus. After a close examination of the very large number of specimens collected by 'Dana', he found that there were no sexual differences to be found in the form of the antennular peduncle and the antennal scale in this species, but that the sterna of the thoracic somites were armed with long silky hairs, which were quite different in the sexes. In this respect they closely resembled the conditions found in species of Gnathophausia.

In the Discovery material the specimens are very broken and I have not found a single female carrying eggs or embryos. Small females, only $30-32 \mathrm{~mm}$. in length, which appeared to be adult with large oostegites with well-developed fringes, occurred at stations 281 ( 32 mm .), 287 ( 30 mm .),
$298(32 \mathrm{~mm}$.), 2063 ( 32 mm .), and 2064 ( 32 mm .), while at other stations adult females of over 50 mm . were taken. This difference in size does not appear to be correlated with locality in any way and apparently it is normal for considerable growth to take place long after sexual maturity has been reached.

Distribution. E. sculpticauda is essentially a warm-water species and has been recorded on many occasions in the tropical waters of the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. It has occasionally been taken in temperate waters and has been recorded as far north as the west of the British Isles, ${ }^{1}$ possibly under the influence of the Gulf Stream. In the southern hemisphere there has been only one previous record as far south as Cape Town. The Discovery records do not extend its known geographical range in the Atlantic, but its occurrence around Madagascar, off Durban and off East London is considerably farther south than any previous records in the Indian Ocean. Of the thirty-two stations at which it was taken by 'Discovery' and 'Discovery II', twenty-four are in tropical waters of the Atlantic and Indian Oceans, seven are situated around the coast of South Africa and one is in the North Atlantic, west of Portugal.
E. sculpticauda is a bathypelagic form and has been taken at great depths. Many of the captures in the Discovery collection were made with nets open to the surface from depths of 2500 m . and less. The greatest depth at which it was taken in a closing net was $2580-2480 \mathrm{~m}$. at station IoI and the least was $950-850 \mathrm{~m}$. at the same station. In each case the haul yielded a single large adult female. All the captures made by the ships were at shallower depths than those recorded by 'Dana' and it is evident that the species has a considerable vertical range in the deeper waters of the warmer regions of the world. At station 2063 two immature specimens of this species were taken in a vertical haul from only 600 m . to the surface.

Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel, 1942
(Fig. $5 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ )
1942 a Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel, p. 5, figs.; 1942b, p. 10.
1942 Eucopia grimaldii, Fage, p. 47, figs.
1943 Eucopia grimaldii, Nouvel, p. 40, figs.
1951 Eucopia grimaldii, Tattersall and Tattersall, p. 106, figs.

## Occurrence:

St. 71. 30. v. 26 (day). North of Falkland Is., $2000(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2$ ỡ $^{\top}, 29 \mathrm{~mm}$. and 30 mm ., 1 , , 21 mm .
St. 86. 26. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, $1000(-0) \mathrm{m} .$, I adult $q$ (broken). Estimated length 33 mm .
St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). Off Cape Town, $1000(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2$ adult $ㅇ ㅗ, 31$ and 33 mm .
St. Ior. 15. x. 26. North of Cape Town. Two hauls: (i) $950-850 \mathrm{~m}$. (day), I adult $\widehat{\delta}, 32 \mathrm{~mm}$., 1 adult 9 , broken, I imm. ㅇ; (ii) $2580-2450 \mathrm{~m}$. (night), I adult + , 36 mm ., fragments.
St. 15 1. 16. i. 27 (day). North of South Georgia, $1275^{-1025}$ m., 1 Jt, 32 mm .
St. 239. 2. vi. 27 (day). North-east of South Georgia, 1350-1050(-0) m., 2 adult $99,38 \mathrm{~mm}$. and 35 mm .
St. 253. 21. vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, $1050-1000 \mathrm{~m}$. , I adult $q, 34 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 256. 23, vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, $1000-850(-0) \mathrm{m}$., I adult $9,33 \mathrm{~mm}$., I small juv.
 3 juv. 우, 22-26 mm., I small juv.
St. 287. 19. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea, $1000-800(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2$, $99,27 \mathrm{~mm}$., i juv. 아.
St. 298. 29. viii. 27 (day). West of Cape Verde Is., I 200-900(-0) m., 2 adult $98,30 \mathrm{~mm}$., 2 juv.
St. 395. 13. v. 30 (day). North-east of South Georgia, $1600-1500 \mathrm{~m} ., 1$ adult 9.37 mm .
St. 679. 29. iv. $3^{1}$ (night). East of Rio de Janeiro, 2000-1500 m., I adult $i$, 35 mm .
${ }^{1}$ Fage (footnote on p. 60) noted that Tattersall (1939, p. 228) had in error given the northern limit of this species as the east of Greenland based on a record of Stephensen. This record was in fact of E. unguiculata. Unfortunately in Tattersall and Tattersall (1951, p. I12) I repeated this error.

St. 700. 18. v. $3^{1}$ (day). North-east of Cape Verde Is., $2025-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 1 \mathrm{imm} .0$, 25 mm ., 1 very imm. ${ }^{\circ}, 23 \mathrm{~mm}$.
St. 1 582. 29. iv. 35 (night). East of Zanzibar, 1900-1850(-0) m., I juv.
St. 1585. I. v. 35 (night). North-west of Seychelles, $1400-700 \mathrm{~m} ., 3$ ôJ, $26 \mathrm{~mm} .$, I juv., 23 mm ., 1 small juv.
St. 1586 . 2. v. 35 (night). North-west of Seychelles, just north of equator, $165^{\circ} 950 \mathrm{~m} ., 2$ ôd 29 mm ., 1 imm . i, with parasite.
St. I869. I I. xi. 36 (day). Scotia Sea, $1550-1000 \mathrm{~m} .$, I ${ }^{\text {J. }} 33 \mathrm{~mm}$. (Colour note, 'A delicate purple pink throughout'.)
St. 2057. 29. iv. 37 (day). North-east of St Helena, 1450-700 m., I juv., 23 mm . (Colour note, 'Brilliant scarlet, integument translucent'.)
St. 2059. 30. iv. 37 (day). North-north-east of St Helena, 1900-1400 m., I 0 , 28 mm ., I imm. if, 27 mm ., I badly damaged juv.
St. 2064. 3. v. 37 (day). North-north-east of Ascension I., 1600-1050 m., I §̂, 30 mm .
St. 2065. 4. v. 37 (day). North of Ascension I., $1600-1400 \mathrm{~m} ., 1$, $9,29 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2$ juv.
St. WS 986. 10. iii. 50 (day). West of South-west Africa, $1000-750 \mathrm{~m}$., I small juv.
Remarks. My figures of the anterior end, the telson and a uropod of an adult female of this species are drawn to the same scale as the corresponding parts of adult specimens of Eucopia unguiculata and


Fig. 5. Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel. A, anterior end of female in dorsal view, $\times 6$; B, telson and right uropod of female in dorsal view, $\times 6$.
E. australis in order to show the salient differences between the three species. The thoracic endopods resemble those of unguiculata, being long and comparatively slender, but in australis the carpopropodus of the fourth thoracic endopod is markedly stronger and more robust than that of the second and third appendages.

In many respects this species occupies an intermediate position between unguiculata and australis. It is larger and more robust than the former, but does not attain to a size comparable with the latter. The antennular peduncle resembles that of australis, the inner margin of the third segment being much longer than the distal margin and produced distally into a pronounced setiferous lobe. It is less robust, however, than in australis and the articulation of the second and third segments is not so oblique (cf. Figs. 4 C and 5 A ). The antennal scale has much the same proportions as in unguiculata, but its outer margin is markedly sinuous. I cannot find any definite sexual dimorphism, such as is found in the scale of australis. The apex is asymmetrical. The most outstanding difference between this species and the other two lies in the shape and armature of the telson. This is more rounded at the apex and the lateral spines are very long. The small spines are not much shorter than the long ones and there are only two or three in the distal space between the distal long lateral spine and the long apical spines (cf. Figs. 4 B, D and 5B). The spines at the distal end of the proximal segment of the exopod of the uropod are very long.

Distribution. E. grimaldii was taken at twenty-two stations by the ships of the Discovery Investigations. At nine of these it was taken with E. unguiculata and at nine with australis, while at three stations the three species were taken together. It would thus appear that there is very little difference between its distribution and that of the other two species. Many records of E. unguiculata, made before Nouvel separated grimaldii from it in 1942 (1942a, pp. $5^{-8}$ ), refer in all probability to the latter species and it is not possible to define its geographical distribution accurately until all this earlier material has been re-examined. From Nouvel's records of the extensive Monaco material and from the present records, it is evident that E. grimaldii is widely distributed in the tropical and temperate waters of the Atlantic and Indian Oceans, occurring less frequently as far south as the Scotia Sea. Nouvel records that it does not occur in the Mediterranean, although E. unguiculata has been taken there. Its vertical distribution is similar to that of ungniculata. The greatest depth at which it occurs in the Discovery Collection was between 2450 m . and 2580 m . at station ror and the shallowest water in which it was captured was from 850 m . to 950 m . at the same station.

## Eucopia linguicauda sp.n.

(Fig. 6A-H)

## Occurrence:

St. 413. 21. viii. 30 (day). Open ocean, west of Saldanha Bay, $1600-1000 \mathrm{~m}$., i imm. 9 , 4 I mm . Type.
Description. Carapace extremely soft and transparent; anterior margin convex, evenly rounded; antero-lateral angles bluntly pointed and well produced (Fig. 6A). Anterior region of head produced to beyond half the length of the eyes. Antennular peduncle robust with the first segment longer than the second and third together and very broad; second segment triangular in dorsal view with its distal margin markedly oblique; third segment with inner margin only slightly concave with the inner distal angle produced forward into a large, well-developed setiferous lobe; distal margin considerably shorter than the inner margin; proximal margin oblique (Fig. 6A). Antenmular peduncle small, less than half as long as the scale; scale short and broad, only slightly longer than the antennular peduncle; more than twice as long as broad at its broadest point; outer margin somewhat sinuous. Both scales are damaged in the only specimen available, but in one there appears to be a trace of a small spine or tooth at the distal end of the outer margin. Distal segment asymmetrical; slightly less than half as long as broad at its base (Fig. 6A). Eyes more than twice as long as broad; cornea large, occupying the whole of the distal region of the organ; small ocular papilla present on the inner distal margin of the eyestalk. This papilla is very difficult to see as it is slender and small and only reaches to one-third of the length of the cornea (Fig. 6A). Mandibles of the type usual in the genus, but with particularly slender palps. Maxillule and maxilla as in other species of the genus (Fig. 6B, C). First thoracic appendage with very large epipod and of the same form as in other species of the genus (Fig. 6D). Second to fourth thoracic appendages very long and strong, especially the third and fourth; carpopropodus of the fourth pair robust; inner margin with deep emargination one-third from its proximal end; dactylus and nail one-third as long as the carpo-propodus (Figs. 6E, F). The inner margin of the emargination is armed with about twenty short peculiar spines (Fig. 6G). Uropod exopod longer than the telson; terminal segment slightly shorter than broad at the base; only one spine at distal end of outer margin of first segment, but the specimen is damaged and there appears to be the scar of a second spine which has been lost. Only one exopod has the terminal segment present and both endopods are broken (Fig. 6H). Telson broad and linguiform with the apex broadly and evenly rounded; no trace of sculpturing on dorsal surface, but the whole telson is hollowed from above like a trowel; lateral margins unarmed distally for nearly half their length, then armed with many small spines which become more crowded towards the apex. These spines appear to be of two kinds. Some of
them are short and thick, showing a slight tendency to be arranged in series, but there is little difference in their size. Among these spines there are longer spines which are soft and membranous and as these are bent or twisted, the margin of the telson appears to be armed with a ragged short fringe. In the middle of the apex there is a small rounded protuberance which may be a distorted spine (Fig. 6H).

Length of immature female, 41 mm . The oostegites are moderately large but the fringes are only just beginning to develop (Fig. 6E).




Fig. 6. Eucopia linguicauda sp.n. A, anterior end in dorsal view, $\times 10 ; B$, maxillule, $\times 16 ; \mathrm{C}$, maxilla, $\times 16$; D, first thoracic appendage with epipod, $\times 10$; E, third thoracic appendage with oostegite, $\times 10 ; F$, fourth thoracic endopod, $\times 10 ; G$, enlarged spine from inner margin of distal end of carpo-propodus of fourth thoracic appendage; $H$, telson and exopod of left uropod in dorsal view, $\times 10$.

Remarks. This species differs from all the other known species of the genus in the shape and armature of the telson. It resembles $E$. sculpticauda in having no long spines arming the apex but, although the specimen is nearly adult, there is no trace of the constrictions and the sculpturing which are so characteristic of sculpticauda. It might be thought that these features are not present because the specimen is immature, but the length and proportions of the second to the fourth thoracic endopods serve to distinguish it at once from sculpticauda. The specimen is in very bad condition and in
the circumstances I have removed some of the appendages in order to make accurate drawings of them.

Distribution. Open ocean to the west of Saldanha Bay, South Africa, captured in a closing net fishing between 1600 m . and 1000 m .

## Occurrence:

## Eucopia sp.

(Fig. $7 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$ )
St. 295. 26. viii. 27 (day). West of Sierra Leone, 2700-2500( -0 ) m., 1 damaged imm. specimen, estimated length 12 mm .

Remarks. This specimen is too damaged to be described fully. The anterior end of the carapace and the eyes are distorted so that their normal form cannot be ascertained. The antenmule is somewhat slender, articulation between second and third segments not oblique; inner margin of third segment slightly longer than the distal margin with only a very small setiferous lobe at the distal end. The antennal scale is less broad than is usual in other species of the genus; the spine marking the distal end of the unarmed portion of the outer margin is extremely small, but quite clearly developed; distal articulation very oblique; apex bluntly pointed and markedly asymmetrical (Fig. 7A). Endopods of the second to the fourth thoracic appendages long and slender with the dactylus and nail relatively very long (Fig. 7 B).


Fig. 7. Eucopia sp. A, right antenna; B, distal end of endopod of fourth thoracic appendage; C , telson and right uropod in dorsal view. Uropods with the exopods shorter than the endopods and the terminal segment half as long again as broad at its proximal end. Telson slightly longer than the uropods; apex bluntly and evenly rounded. (Fig. 7C).

## Suborder Mysida <br> Family Petalophthalmidae Czerniavsky

This family is characterized by the absence of gills on the thoracic appendages; the presence of seven pairs of oostegites in the female; the undivided propodus of the thoracic endopods; the biramous pleopods of the male (with the endopod of the fifth pair modified in the genus Hansenomysis) and the reduced pleopods of the female; the absence of a statocyst on the endopod of the uropod; the twosegmented exopod of the uropod and (with the one exception of Petalophthalmus oculata Illig), by the rudimentary eyes, lacking all traces of visual elements and reduced either to flat plates or to more or less spiniform processes.

I should like to take this opportunity of correcting a typographical error which occurs on p. in 4 of the recently published monograph on British Mysidacea (Tattersall and Tattersall, 1951). The sentence beginning 'A second species. . ' should read 'Only one species of the family Petalophthalmidae, Petalophthalmus oculata Illig (1906, p. 194), has the eyes...'.

## Genus Hansenomysis Stebbing, I893

1887 Arctomysis Hansen, p. 210.
1893 Hansenomysis Stebbing, p. 268.
Remaris. Only two species are at present included in this genus, H. fyllae Hansen and H. antarctica Holt and Tattersall. I am now able to add a third species $H$. falklandica, which agrees with the other two species in the rudimentary form of the eyes. It would appear that this character can be
regarded as of generic significance and the definition of the genus may be summarized as follows: Anterior margin of carapace rounded and uptilted, forming a very short upturned rostrum; proximal segments of the outer flagellum of the antennule in the male very enlarged; antennal scale oval, unsegmented, outer margin armed with spines among the setae or with an evenly graduated row of spines and no setae; exopods of first pair of thoracic appendages absent; endopods of third to fifth pairs slender, each terminating in a minute 'chela', formed by the dactylus impinging on a strong spine at the distal end of the propodus; endopods of sixth to eighth pairs terminating in a long slender claw; pleopods in the male biramous with the endopod modified in the first and fifth pairs, in the female reduced and uniramous; outer margin of proximal segment of the exopod of the uropod armed with spines among the setae, or with a close row of spines and no setae.

In all the known species of the genus there is a peculiar rounded depression partially covered by a thin membranous flap on the dorsal surface of the proximal end of the first segment of the antennular peduncle.

The genus has certain primitive characters, such as the absence of an exopod on the first thoracic appendage, the absence of a statocyst on the uropods and the presence of seven pairs of oostegites in the marsupium of the female. It is less primitive than genera of the family Lophogastridae in the absence of gills on the thoracic appendages and in the reduction of the pleopods in the female and the modification of certain pairs in the male.

Hansenomysis antarctica Holt and Tattersall, 1906
(Fig. 8A-F)
$1906 b$ Hansenomysis antarctica Holt and Tattersall, p. 6.
1908 Hansenomysis antarctica, 'Tattersall, p. 23, figs.
1913 Hansenomysis antarctica, Hansen, p. 8, fig.
1914 Hansenomysis antarctica, Zimmer, p. 385, fig.

## Occurrence:

St. 181. 12. iii. 27 (day). Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago, 335-160 m., I adult ot (the distal end of the telson is missing and the length from the anterior margin of the carapace to the fractured margin of the telson is 23 mm .).
Remarks. Tattersall (1908, p. 23) gave a very full account and clear figures of this species, which had been founded on two adult females, captured off Coulman Island in the Antarctic. Unfortunately the posterior end of the telson was missing in both specimens and the figure of this organ given by Tattersall was a composite one built up from these specimens and from fragments of the same species taken in the same haul. Since then only two specimens of the species have been recorded, an adult male off Graham Land (Hansen, 1913, p. 8) and a single specimen, of which the sex was not recorded, in $66^{\circ} 2^{\prime}$ S., $89^{\circ} 3^{8 \prime}$ E. (Zimmer, 1914, p. 385 ). In Hansen's specimen the distal portion of the telson was missing. Zimmer's record was very brief and no mention was made of the form of the telson.

Only one specimen of the species was collected by 'Discovery', an adult male from the Schollaert Channel in the Palmer Archipelago, and, unfortunately, in this specimen also the distal portion of the telson is lacking. However, a considerable portion is present and shows the lateral margins to be straight and almost parallel with no sign of a broadening towards the apex, such as is shown in Tattersall's figure.
The Discovery specimen shows certain differences from the published descriptions and figures and, had the species been based upon perfect animals, I should have hesitated to refer this present example to $H$. antarctica. However, I think that these differences can be attributed to the mutilation of previous
material. In the Discovery specimen the form of the antennule agrees precisely with that found in males of $H$. fyllae, the proximal $16-18$ segments of the outer flagellum being enormously enlarged, especially proximally (Fig. 8A). The antennal scale agrees closely with that of Tattersall's specimens, but the antennal peduncle differs in that the third segment is larger than the second and in his description the reverse is the case (Fig. 8A, C). The thoracic appendages and, as far as can be ascertained without dissection, the mouth parts are similar to Tattersall's description. The endopods and exopods of the uropods are sub-equal in length. The outer margin of the proximal segment of the exopod bears no setae, but is armed along the distal two-thirds of its length with a row of 25-26 evenly graduated spines which increase in size distally (Fig. 8 F). The portion of the telson which is present differs in shape from Tattersall's figure, but he himself pointed out that his representation of this organ was largely conjectural (Fig. 8 F).

The chief difference shown by this specimen is in the form and armature of the carapace and of the posterior somites of the thorax. The carapace is extremely short, leaving the whole of the last three thoracic somites completely exposed. The anterior end is evenly arcuate with distinctly upturned rim. The antero-lateral angles, which Tattersall describes as 'evenly rounded', are produced into acute angles which extend forward beyond the anterior margin of the rostrum. The most remarkable feature of this specimen is the moulding of the surface of the carapace. On each flank it is thickened and rises into two distinct longitudinal keels. Posterior to the cervical sulcus, there is an oval, level, depressed region, marking the area of attachment of the carapace with the thoracic somites, bounded by a curved keel on each side. Half-way along this keel, there is a sharp spine. The keel is marked at its anterior end by a second spine and then turns and runs transversely downward toward the lateral margin of the carapace. A second keel runs longitudinally, close to the posterior half of the lateral margin. In addition a number of spines adorn the carapace as shown in Fig. 8 A, B.

The large hepatic spines are particularly robust and appear to be freely articulated in a kind of ball-and-socket joint (Fig. 8A, B). The dorsal anterior margin of the sixth thoracic somite is produced forward into a strong membranous flap, which appears in lateral view as a robust blunt process. The terga of the seventh and eighth somites are enlarged and thickened and override the posterior margins of the preceding somites (Fig. 8 B). The first abdominal somite is larger and longer than the others and thickened on its dorsal surface to form a saddle similar to that described by Tattersall. The remaining abdominal somites taper considerably towards the telson.

The spines (Fig. 8A, B) on the carapace and the four very strong, median denticles are so conspicuous that it is surprising that other workers mention only a median tooth with a smaller one posterior to it. It may well be that, as all previous specimens were mutilated, some of the spines had been broken off, but it is difficult to understand why neither 'Tattersall nor Hansen commented upon the strong keels, which are so conspicuous a feature of the Discovery specimen.

Hansen (1913, p. 8), who described the first male seen, stated that the eyes were reduced to two separate, extremely small triangular lappets barely extending beyond the anterior margin of the rostrum. He suggested that the differences between them and the type specimens might be sexual. In the Discovery specimen, which is also an adult male, the eyes are almost precisely as described and figured by Tattersall for his females and it seems probable that the eyes of Hansen's male had been partially torn away.

The male pleopods of this specimen have not previously been figured, but Hansen (1913, p. 8) recorded that they are similar to those of $H$. fyllae, except that in the fifth pair the endopod is considerably longer than the exopod (Fig. 8E). The first pair of pleopods in the Discovery specimen appears to differ from the other species in the genus in having the endopod two-segmented, but I have not dissected it and it may be that the articulation is incomplete (Fig. 8D).

Distribution. H. antarctica has never been recorded north of $64^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$. and would appear to have a circumpolar distribution in very cold waters. It has a relatively small vertical range. The types were taken at a depth of 100 m ., Hansen's specimen at 400 m ., Zimmer's at 385 m . and the Discovery specimen between 160 m . and 385 m .


Fig. 8. Hansenomysis antarctica Holt and Tattersall. A, anterior end in dorsal view (adult male); B, anterior two-thirds of male in lateral view; $C$, left antenna; $D$, first pleopod of adult male; $E$, fifth pleopod of adult male; $F$, anterior end of telson and right uropod in dorsal view. All $\times 12$.

## Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n.

## Occurrence:

(Fig. 9A-P)
St. WS 212.30 v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., $242-249 \mathrm{~m} .8$ ôd 28 of (largest 12.5 mm .), il imm.

St. WS 214. 30. v. 28. (day). North of Falkland Is., 208-219 m., 1 of, $14 \mathrm{~mm} . ; 4$ tof, largest 15.5 mm ., carrying eggs; i imm.
St. WS 227. 12. vi. 28 (night to dawn). East of Falkland Is., 320-298 m., 8 ôd ${ }^{3}, 9$ 오, largest 13.2 mm . (ovig.), 3 imm . and fragments.

St. WS 229. I. vii. 28 (day). North-east of Falkland Is., $210-271 \mathrm{~m} ., 3$ ôot, 2 وf (I ovig.), 23 imm., largest adult I 5 mm .
St. WS 233. 5. vii. 28 (day). North-east of Falkland Is., $185^{-1} 75 \mathrm{~m}$., I ㅇ, 12 mm .


St. WS 239. 15. vii. 28 (night). West of Falkland Is., 196-193 m., I ㅇ, 12 mm .
St. WS 244. 18. vii. 28 (day). West of Falkland Is., 253-247 m., 2 đ才すt, 2 아, 2 imm., largest adult 12 mm .
St. WS 245. 8. vii. 28 (night). South-west of Falkland Is., $304-290 \mathrm{~m}$., I damaged $\&$ probably about 14 mm .
 I2.8 mm.; 7 juv. 09 up to 10 mm .
St. WS 749. I8. ix. 3 I (day). Magellan Strait, $40(-0)$ m., 2 fragments.

St. WS 818. 17. i. 32 (day). Patagonian Shelf, west-south-west of Falkland Is., 272-278 m., $3 \mathrm{~J}^{7} \mathbf{J}^{7}, 2$ 98f, all about
14 mm .
St. WS 820. 18. i. 32 (night). South of Falkland Is., $35 \mathrm{I}-368 \mathrm{~m} ., \mathrm{I}$, 15 mm .
St. WS 821. 18. i. 32 (day). Haul A, South of Falkland Is., $46 \mathrm{I}-468 \mathrm{~m} ., \mathrm{I}$, 14 mm .
St. WS 839. 5. ii. 3 I (dusk to night). South-west of Falkland Is., $503-534 \mathrm{~m}$., I 9 , 15 mm ., and I 9 in two pieces.
Description. General form very long and slender, not tapering markedly towards the posterior end. Carapace short, leaving the whole of the last two thoracic somites exposed; anterior end evenly convex with no rostral projection, but with a well-defined upturned rim; antero-lateral angles bluntly pointed and produced forward beyond the centre of the anterior margin; carapace inflated anterior to the well-marked cervical sulcus and rising to a definite peak in the median line just behind the anterior margin. Posterior to the sulcus, the lateral regions of the carapace are thickened up to the level of two longitudinal keels, which rise to a strong tooth-like projection in the middle of their length and turn with a sharp angle at their anterior end to run downwards and forwards in a shallow concave arc as seen in Fig. 9A. The dorsal median region of the carapace is somewhat hollowed, but there are two elevated portions which appear as blunt projections in lateral view. I can see no trace of hepatic or gastric spines (Fig. 9A). The anterior margins of the exposed thoracic somites are produced upwards and forwards, so that they override the posterior margins of the preceding somites. Pleon not so tapering posteriorly as in H. antarctica, first somite with the tergum thickened and raised above the level of the neighbouring somites giving the appearance of a saddle; sixth somite half as long again as the fifth (Fig. 9A). Antemnular peduncle robust, with the first segment in both sexes larger than either of the other two, and bearing at the proximal end of the dorsal surface a very wellmarked pit-like depression, similar to that found in other species of the genus. In H. falklandica this peculiar organ shows three denser areas within the pit and these stain more darkly than the surrounding tissues. From the region of the insertion of the antennule, a well-developed rounded flap projects forward and forms a kind of shield or lid, partially covering the depression. The flagella are not very long; in the female they are about equal in thickness, but in the male the proximal fourteen or fifteen segments of the outer flagellum are enormously thickened and densely hirsute, especially on their outer margins (Fig. 9A-D). Antennal scale long and narrow, $6 \frac{1}{2}$ times as long as broad and half as long again as the antennular peduncle; outer margin armed with $9-10$ strong spines among the setae; peduncle four-fifths as long as the scale; second segment $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times as long as the third; strong spine present on the outer distal corner of the sympod (Fig. 9F). Eyes. The fleshy pad which represents the eyes is relatively wider than in $H$. antarctica and the projecting distal corners more widely apart. The slightly bilobed anterior margin of the head projects and can be seen below and a little beyond the distal margin of the eyes (Fig. 9B). First thoracic appendage very similar in form to that of $H$. fyllae, consisting of a short robust endopod and no exopod. The three large spines arming the distal end of the endopod are unusually large and robust (Fig. 9G). Second thoracic appendage similar to that of H. fyllae
(Fig. 9H). Third to the fifth thoracic appendages rather short and very slender, terminating, as in other species of the genus, in a minute chela which is hidden by a dense mass of fine setae (Fig. 9J). Sixth to the eighth thoracic appendages very long and slender, dactylus fused with the long nail to form a long slender claw (Fig. 9 K ).


Fig. 9. Hansenomysis falklandica sp.n. A, ovigerous female in lateral view, $\times 10 ; B$, anterior end of carapace and bases of antennules in dorsal view, $\times 12 ; \mathrm{C}$, peduncle of left antennule of adult female, $\times 16$; D, peduncle of left antennule of adult male, $\times 16$; E, proximal end of antennule in lateral view, $\times 16 ; \mathrm{F}$, right antenna, $\times 16$; G, endopod of first thoracic appendage, $\times 20$; H , second thoracic appendage, $\times 20$; J , distal end of third thoracic appendage (enlarged); K , eighth thoracic appendage of male, $\times \mathbf{1}_{3}$; L, first pleopod of the male, $\times 20 ; \mathrm{M}$, fifth pleopod of the male, $\times 20$; N, fifth pleopod of the female, $\times 13$; P , telson and right uropod in dorsal view, $\times 16$.

In the published descriptions of $H$. fyllae and $H$. antarctica, no mention is made of the form of the carpo-propodus of the thoracic endopods. Figures of these appendages given by the authors, Hansen (1887, p. 210, fig. viI, $5^{-5}$ e) and Tattersall (1908, p. 23, pl. v, figs. 1-19) show the carpo-propodus as a single segment with no trace of secondary articulations. I can find no comment on these append-
ages in any subsequent literature. In the endopods of the sixth to the eighth thoracic appendages of H. falklandica, there are three distinct segments distal to the 'knee', in addition to the dactylus which is fused with the nail. The proximal two of these segments are short and the articulation by which they are separted is oblique as seen in Fig. 9 K . On close examination of stained preparations, a strong flexor muscle can be clearly seen inserted on the outer margin of the third segment and running obliquely through the segment to the inner base of the claw. No definite musculature appears in the other two segments, though in one preparation there seems to be a feeble muscle running unbroken through them. Following the procedure adopted by Hansen (1925, p. ifo) of determining the homology of the segments in the thoracic endopods of the Mysidacea by their musculature, it would seem that here we have the very unusual arrangement of a distinct unsegmented propodus and it is the carpus which has become secondarily divided by an oblique articulation. I have examined specimens of $H$. fyllae from the west coast of Ireland and find that in them also the endopods of the posterior three pairs of thoracic appendages have three segments distal to the 'knee' precisely similar to those of this species. The male genital organ is small and bulbous and faintly two-lobed at its distal end (Fig. 9L). Pleopods of the male differ only in small details from those of $H$. fyllae; the fifth pair has a slender sympod and the endopod in adult males is longer than the exopod (Fig. 9 M ); of the female first pair composed of a single segment; remaining four pairs two-segmented, becoming progressively longer on the posterior somites; fifth pair very long, more than one-fourth as long again as the long sixth abdominal somite (Fig. 9 N). Uropods small and slender, only three-fourths as long as the telson; exopod with the proximal fourth of the outer margin of the proximal segment naked; remaining three-fourths armed with about nine spines among the setae, the spines becoming progressively longer posteriorly; distal segment equal in length to the terminal spine of the outer margin; endopod slender and tapering, slightly longer than the exopod (Fig. 9 P). Telson very long and narrow, more than twice as long as the sixth abdominal somite, nearly four times as long as broad at the base; lateral margins almost parallel for over half their length, then narrowing in a slightly convex curve to the narrowly-rounded, entire apex, armed on the distal four-fifths of their length with a dense row of spines arranged in a series of large spines with small ones in the intervals between them. These large spines are extremely long and slender, especially towards the apex of the telson and the margin shows marked constrictions at their points of insertion; apex armed with two long spines with a single shorter spine between them (Fig. ${ }_{9} \mathrm{P}$ ).

Length. Largest male 14 mm .; ovigerous females from 12.2 mm . to 15 mm .
Remarks. This species shows a closer resemblance to the northern species $H$. fyllae than to the antarctic species, H. antarctica. The size, proportions and armature of the antennae and the uropods are very similar in the two species and differ from H. antarctica in bearing both spines and setae on the outer margin of the scale and of the exopod. All the recorded specimens of $H$. antarctica have lost the distal end of the telson, but from the proximal portions which are present it is evident that the armature of this organ in H. falklandica more closely resembles $H$. antarctica than H. fyllae. The spines of the lateral margins form a close series almost from the base to the narrowly rounded apex, whereas in H. fyllae they are confined to the distal fourth of the margins.

This new Antarctic species may readily be distinguished from the northern H. fyllae by the wellmarked ridges or keels which outline the hepatic area of the carapace and by the absence of an hepatic spine on each side; by the larger number of spines on the outer margin of the scale and of the exopod of the uropod; by the larger eyes forming a median eyepad with the antero-lateral angles produced into bluntly rounded, diverging processes; by the more developed pit-like structure on the proximal dorsal surface of the antennular peduncle; by the form of the slender fifth pair of pleopods in the male with the exopod shorter than the endopod, whereas in $H$. fyllae it is considerably longer; and,
finally, by the armature of the telson. In H. fyllae, the proximal two-thirds or more of the lateral margins are unarmed and the distal third is armed with only three, or occasionally four, long spines on each side with small spines in the spaces between them. In H. falklandica the lateral margins are armed along the distal four-fifths of their length with spines in series and there may be seven or eight very long spines with smaller ones between them on each side. The armature of the distal fourth of the telson in the two species is almost identical.

Distribution. Of the eighteen stations at which this species has been taken, sixteen are situated around the Falkland Isles. It was not until after I had described and named the species, that I received a further instalment of material and found that it had been taken also at two stations in the Magellan Strait. The material is not in very good condition and it has not been easy to find perfect specimens. Although the types, from which the details of the appendages are taken, came from station WS 213, the drawing of the adult female (Fig. 9A) is reconstructed from specimens from stations WS 214 and WS 229. The majority of the animals occurred at depths ranging from around 200 m . to about 400 m . Only at station WS 749, where two fragments were taken at a depth of $40(-0) \mathrm{m}$. were any captures made at a depth of less than 195 m . The greatest depth at which they were taken was 403-430 m. at station WS 839 .

## Genus Petalophthalmus W.-Suhm, I875

1875 Petalophthalmus W.-Suhm, p. 23.
Remarks. The name of this genus is derived from the peculiar leaf-like form of the eyes in the type species, $P$. armiger. A second species, $P$. oculatus, in which the eyes are better developed with functioning ocelli has since been added to the genus. The most outstanding character of the genus is the long, very powerful, prehensile mandibular palp.

## Petalophthalmus oculatus Illig, 1906

(Fig. io)
1906a Petalophthalmus oculatus Illig, p. 194, figs.
1930 Petalophthalmus oculatus, Illig, p. 411, text-figs.
1939 Petalophthalmus oculatus, Tattersall, p. 229, fig.
Occurrence:
St. 1586. 2. v. 35 (night). North-west of Seychelles Is. $550-0 \mathrm{~m} .$, I $q \mathrm{imm} ., 9.6 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Remares. This is the only species of the genus, or indeed of the family at present known, in which normal functioning eyes are present. It was founded by Illig for a single female specimen of 18 mm . with well-developed marsupium, captured in 1200 m . near Aden. Though it is by no means adult I have no hesitation in referring this specimen taken by 'Discovery' II to this species. It agrees very closely with the description and figures given by Illig as regards general form, the shape of the rostrum and carapace, the form of the antennal scale, the uropods and the telson. Such variations as it shows in armature may be attributed to its immaturity.

The apex of the telson is emarginate as shown by Illig, the spines arming the lateral margins are fewer and confined to the distal half of the telson leaving the proximal half of the margin naked. In Illig's specimen there were seventeen spines evenly spaced along the whole margin on each side, but in the Discovery specimen there are only seven. The apex is armed with five pairs of spines on each side and a strong median spine. There are also two pairs of long plumose setae with somewhat bulbous bases borne in the spaces between the median spine and the innermost spines and between these and the next pair. The main difference between this specimen and Illig's lies in the number and length
of these apical spines. The outermost pair is extremely long, measuring rather more than one-third of the length of the telson, the next two pairs are progressively shorter and the inner two pairs are small and almost equal in length. Illig figures these spines as much smaller, the longest being less than one-fourth of the length of the telson, and he describes and figures three pairs of very small spines along the middle of the apex flanking the median spine, making six pairs in all. All these apical spines are finely spinulose in the Discovery specimen.

Tattersall ( 1939, p. 230, text-fig. 3) referred an immature specimen of 7 mm ., taken from N.E. of Seychelles, to this species. In his specimen the apex of the telson was truncate with no trace of emargination. It bore five pairs of spines like the Discovery specimen but the outer two pairs were almost equal in length, while the inner three pairs were all of equal length and very small with plumose setae in the spaces between them (Fig. 10).

The Discovery specimen measures 9.6 mm . The brood lamellae are not yet developed and the differences it shows from Tattersall's smaller specimen and from the adult of Illig are due probably to growth changes.

The eyes are well developed with normal ocelli and a golden


Fig. io. Petalophthalmus oculatus Illig. Telson and uropods of female in dorsal view, $\times 26$. brown pigment. They appear to be somewhat shorter and thicker than those figured by Illig. I am unable to make out the small finger-like process which Tattersall recorded on the inner face of the eye.

Distribution. With the exception of the one record by Tattersall (1937, p. i) from the Caribbean Sea, all records of this species are from deep water in the Indian Ocean near Aden or from the N.E. of Seychelles. The Discovery specimen was taken in much shallower water at a depth of $55^{0-0} \mathrm{~m}$., at station 1586 half-way between Seychelles and Obbia on the coast of Africa.

Family Mysidae
Subfamily Boreomysinae
Genus Boreomysis G. O. Sars, 1869
1869 Boreomysis G. O Sars, p. 330.
${ }_{1} 873$ Petalophthalmus (pars), W.-Suhm, p. 40, figs.
1883 Arctomysis Czerniavsky, p. 8.
1896 Pseudanchialus Caullery, p. 368.
Remarks. Seven of the species which have been referred to the genus Boreomysis are represented in the Discovery collections. In addition I am obliged to add five new species, B. illigi, B. acuminata, B. tattersalli, B. insolita and B. bispinosa. There has been, and still is, much confusion in this difficult genus due, to some extent, to the fact that several of the described species have been founded on one, or possibly two, immature individuals. A close study of the genus proves that there is considerable variation among its members and, unless a very large number of species is to be erected, the species must be defined along broad lines, with a good deal of latitude allowed as regards minor features. The species $B$. rostrata is an ontstanding example (see p. 70).

I accept the suggestions of previous workers that the following synonymies occur in the genus:

> B. sibogae Hansen $=B$. spinifera Coifmann.
> B. californica Ortmann $=B$. media Hansen $=1$. kinkaidi Banner.
> B. rostrata (pars) Illig $=B$. inermis Hansen.
> B. aretica $($ Kröyer $)=B$. tregouboffi Băcesco.

[^4]I can find no valid reason for separating B. kinkaidi Nouvel from B. californica Zimmer and, since they were taken in approximately the same localities, I suggest that they are synonymous. ${ }^{1}$ B. richardii was founded on two immature females and has not since been recorded. In the type specimens the distal portion of the telson was missing so that the form of the cleft could not be ascertained. B. vanhoeffeni was founded on a single adult female and the distal end of both the antemal scales was missing. It was, therefore, impossible to make a complete comparison between the two forms and such small differences as they show can, in my opinion, be attributed to growth changes.

The genus is thus reduced to twenty species which have already been described, in addition to the five new species mentioned above. I have drawn up an artificial key to these species and hope that it may help workers to identify them.

## Key to the species of the genus Borcomysis

| Spines arming the lateral margins of telson arranged in series; cleft with dilatation at its base. |
| :--- |
| Spines arming lateral margins of telson sub-equal or in weak series; cleft without dilatation at base. |
| I $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Carapace smooth. } \\ \text { Carapace covered with small tubercles. }\end{array}\right.$ |
| Distal segments of 2nd thoracic endopod modified to form a sub-chela. Berrucosa Tattersall |

2 Distal segments of 2nd thoracic endopod not sub-chelate; rostral plate narrow and produced into long acute rostrum.
B. rostrata Hlig = B. inermis Hansen

Inner distal margin of propodus of 2nd thoracic endopod emarginate.
4
3 Inner distal margin of propodus of and thoracic endopod not emarginate but armed distally with two large spines with the dactylus fitting down between them; rostral plate very short.
B. bispinosa sp.n.

Emargination of propodus of 2 nd thoracic endopod armed proximally with one strong spine among a group of plumose setae; eyes small and downwardly directed.
B. microps G. O. Sars

4 Emargination of propodus of 2 nd thoracic endopod marked proximally with a hump armed with plumose setae but no spine; rostral plate well produced with distinct shoulders.
B. tattersalli sp.n.

5 \{ Distal segments of 2nd thoracic endopod sub-chelate.
5 \{Distal segments of and thoracic endopod not sub-chelate.
Emargination of 2nd thoracic endopod armed proximally with a group of plumose setae and one slender barbed spine; cornea occupying only half of distal end of eyestalk.
B. semicaeca Hansen

6 Emargination of 2nd thoracic endopod very deep with no spine at proximal end; rostrum long and acute; lateral spines of telson sub-equal.
B. incisa Nouvel
\{Eyes without pigment or visual elements; eyestalks cup-shaped.
8
7 \{yes normal with functioning visual elements.
9
8 \{
Eyestalk cup with thin marginal rim (northern form).
B. scyphops G. O. Sars
|Eyestalk cup quadrangular with thick marginal rim (southern form).
B. inermis (W.-Suhm)
$9\left\{\begin{array}{cr}\text { Anterior margin of carapace with upturned rim and no rostral projection; antennal peduncle four- } \\ \text { segmented; telson lobes with one very long spine at apex. } & \text { B. insolita sp.n. } \\ \text { Anterior margin of carapace not produced; rounded with extremely small rostral projection. } & \text { Io } \\ \text { Anterior margin of carapace produced into well-developed rostral projection. }\end{array}\right.$
Apex of antennal scale much longer than spine terminating outer margin; ocular papilla large; cornea small,
10 Apex of antennal scale shorter, equal to, or only very slightly longer than spine terminating outer margin; ocular papilla small or absent; cornea large and globular.

[^5]```
(Eyes extremely large; no ocular papilla; rostral projection nearly obsolete; propodus of 3rd-8th thoracic endopods undivided.
B. megalops G. O. Sars
II \(\{\) Eyes with large cornea somewhat flattened dorso-ventrally; small ocular papilla; propodus of 3rd-8th thoracic endopods two-segmented.
B. obtusata G. O. Sars
\(14\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\text { Lateral spines of telson sub-equal with only a tendency to an arrangement in series; ocular papilla, when } \\ \text { present, shorter than cornea. }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}15 \\ \text { Lateral spines of telson arranged in more or less definite series; ocular papilla extending beyond anterior } \\ \text { margin of eyes. }\end{array}\right.\)
Eyes large; no ocular papilla; rostrum long, acute, horizontal; scale acutely pointed with apex obsolete; lateral margins of telson almost straight.
B. nobilis G. O. Sars

15 Eyes small; cornea narrower than eyestalk; small ocular papilla; apex of scale slightly longer than terminal spine of outer margin; rostrum uptilted; lateral margins of telson somewhat concave.
B. plebeja Hansen
(Rostrum long, extending forward almost to anterior margin of ist segment of antennular peduncle; eyes large; ocular papilla very long; telson cleft to more than \(\mathbf{I} / 4^{\text {th }}\) of its length; lateral spines with only a tendency to arrangement in series. B. illigi sp.n. = B. rostrata Illig (ô only)
16 Rostrum only reaching \(1 / 4\) th of the length of the first segment of the antennular peduncle; apex of scale slightly longer than spine terminating outer margin; eyes moderately large; papilla finger-like; telson cleft to \(\mathrm{I} / 6\) th of its length with lateral spines arranged in series.
B. californica Ortmann \(=\) B. media Hansen \(=\) B. kinkaidi Banner
\({ }_{17}\) (Cornea narrower than eyestalk; ocular papilla very long. 18
17 Cornea equal to or wider than eyestalk; ocular papilla small or finger-like.
Rostrum acute and very long, especially in 9 , extending to anterior margin of eyes or beyond; apex of scale shorter than spine of outer margin; eyestalks very wide distally; lateral spines of telson sub-equal; cleft I/3.5 of length of telson.
B. acuminata sp.n.

Rostrum extending half-way along eyestalks; apex of scale equal in length to terminal spine; eyestalks very wide distally; lateral spines of telson in series; cleft I/5 th of length of telson.
B. fragilis Hansen

Rostrum extending to anterior margin of eyes; spines arming lateral margins of telson proximally in weak
I9 series but equal distally.
Rostrum extending only half-way along eyestalks.
\(20\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Apex of scale shorter than spine terminating outer margin; ocular papilla almost obsolete. } \\ \text { B. arctica (Kröyer) = B. tregouboffi Băscesco } \\ \text { Apex of scale longer than spine terminating outer margin; ocular papilla small but well developed. } \\ \text { B. brucei Tattersall }\end{array}\right.\)
Apex of scale shorter than spine terminating outer margin; ocular papilla very small; lateral spines of telson arranged in definite series.
B. sibogae Hansen = B. spinifera Coifmann

21 Apex of scale longer than spine terminating outer margin; ocular papilla long and finger-like; lateral spines of telson almost equal.
B. dubia Coifmann

\section*{Boreomysis rostrata Illig, 1906}

1906 a Boreomysis rostata Illig, p. 196, fig. 2; 1930, p. 414, figs. 22-35 ( \(q\) only).
1910 Boreomysis inermis Hansen, p. 26, pl. 2, figs. \(4^{a-4 c}\).
1951 Boreomysis rostrata, W. M. 'Tattersall, p. 56, figs. \(11 a-b\), 12a-d, 13.

\section*{Occurrence：}

St．71．30．v． 26 （day）．Open ocean north of Falkland Is．， \(2000(-0)\) m．，i imm．ot．
 7 adult \(\circ P\) ，largest 17.5 mm ．， 2 juv．\(\circ, P\) ，fragments．
St．81．I8．vi． 26 （day）．North of Tristan da Cunha， \(650(-0)\) m．，I very damaged 9 ，doubtfully．
St．83．21．vi． 26 （night）．North－east of Tristan da Cunha， \(650(-0)\) m．，I adult \(\circ, 14.5 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．86．24．vi． 26 （day）．Open ocean，west of Cape Town， \(1000(-0) \mathrm{m}\). ，I damaged \(\circ\) ．
 largest \(17.5 \mathrm{~mm} ., 3\) juv．웅．（Colour note，＇Pinkish red throughout—eyes black＇．）

St．100．2／3．x． 26 （night）．West of Cape Town， \(475(-0)\) m．， 2 juv．， 5 mm．，probably rostrata．
St． 100 B．3／4．x． 26 （night）．West of Cape＇Town， \(1000-900 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) adult 9 아，largest 17 mm ．
St． 100 C．4．x． 26 （day）．West of Cape Town， \(2500-2000 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) juv．and posterior end of large 9.
St．ioo D．2．x． 26 （day）．West of Cape Town，675－625 m．，I badly damaged specimen．
St．101．14．x． 26 （day）．West of Cape＇Town，1410－1310 m．，posterior end of large \(\delta^{\wedge}\) ．
 \(17 \mathrm{~mm} ., 3\) juv．와．
St．267．23．vii． 27 （night）．North－west of Angra Pequena， \(550-450(-\mathrm{o}) \mathrm{m} ., 3\) very small juv．probably rostrata．
St． 405.4 vi． 30 （day）．Off Cape Peninsula， \(1200-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 4\) 顷，largest \(18 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) 우，larger 16.5 mm ．

St．413．21．viii． 30 （day）．West of Saldanha Bay，South Africa， \(1600-1000 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) ㅇㅇ，larger 17 mm ．
St．663．5．iv． 31 （day）．East－north－east of South Georgia，500－250 m．，I juv．，bad condition．
St．671．22．iv． 31 （night）．Open ocean，west of Gough Island， \(1000-0 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 1 adult \(q, 17.8 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．673．24／25．iv． 31 （night）．West of Tristan da Cunha， \(1500-1100 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 1 badly damaged \(\circ\) ．
St．942．31．viii． 32 （night）．East of Cook Strait，New Zealand， \(350-110 \mathrm{~m} ., 2 \mathrm{imm}\) ．すठす， 1 imm ．우．
St．I 566．9．iv． 35 （night）．North of Prince Edward Is．， \(1350-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) juv．우아．
St．1568．II．iv． 35 （night）．South of South Africa， \(400-0 \mathrm{~m} .\), I juv．of，I adult ㅇ， 144 mm ．，I juv．우．
St．1602．27．x． 35 （night）．West of South Africa， \(470-300 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 1 imm ．\({ }^{\text {T，}} 12.8 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．1604．29．x． 35 （night）．West of South Africa，620－500 m．，i imm．ó， 14 mm ．
St．i606．31．x． 35 （night）．West of Orange River estuary，South Africa，600－500 m．， 6 juv．and fragments．
St．1753．27．iv． 36 （day）．South Indian Ocean，2900－1 \(400 \mathrm{~m} ., 2 \mathrm{imm}\) ． \(9 f, 12 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．I 802．16．ix． 36 （day）．Off Cape Town， \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 1 \mathrm{imm}\) ．ㅇ， 12.5 mm ．
St．2035．7．iv． 37 （day）．Off Cape Town， \(950-750 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I adult \(9,16 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．（Colour note，＇deep orange＇．）
St．2038．19．iv． 37 （day）．West of Cape Town， \(1200-850 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I imm．ㅇ， 12 mm ．（Colour note，＇brilliant red＇．）
St．WS IIo．26．v． 27 （dusk to dark）．Off South Georgia， \(980-750\) m．，i large adult \(¢, 20 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．，i juv．아．
St．WS 582．30．iv． 31 （day）．Magellan Strait， 110 m ．，I imm．ㅇ， 15 mm ．

I2 small juv．
St．WS 749．I8．ix． 3 I（day）．Magellan Strait， \(40(-0) \mathrm{m}\) ．，I ㅇ， 18 mm ．，fragments of imm．\({ }^{\text {o }}\) and 운ㅇ․
St．WS 839．5．ii． 3 I（night）．South－west of Falkland Is．， \(503-534 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I adult ㅇ， 20 mm ．
St．WS 976．6．iii． 50 （day）．West of Walvis Bay， \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 1 juv．in very bad condition（doubtfully）．
St．WS 977．6／7．iii． 50 （night）．West－north－west of Walvis Bay， \(75^{\circ}-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 1 juv．ơ， 10 mm ．
St．WS 978．7．iii． 50 （day）．West－north－west of Walvis Bay， \(75^{\circ}-500 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\)＋ \(9,9.4^{-12} \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．WS 986．10．iii． 50 （day）．West of Spencer Bay，South－west Africa， \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 1 adult \({ }^{7}, 14.5 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
Remarks．The literature of \(B\) ．rostrata has been confused by the fact that Illig（1930，p．414），when more fully describing the species which he had founded in 1906，was evidently dealing with material which included more than one species．

Tattersall（1951，p．57）drew attention to the striking differences between the males and females in Illig＇s description and figures，and concluded that these differences were not sexual，but specific． He accepted Illig＇s female as the type for \(B\) ．rostrata and suggested that the males should be referred to another species．

The Discovery material contains some specimens which closely conform to the description and figures which Illig had given for the males of B. rostrata and I have followed Tattersall's suggestion and founded a new species, \(B\). illigi for them (see p. 72).

Even within the narrower definition of B. rostrata, Tattersall found that considerable variation occurred. He recorded: 'The female specimens have a longer rostral process than the male though in no case is it as long as shown by Illig. Incidentally the rostral plate is relatively longer in young specimens than in adults and appears to undergo progressive reduction with growth.'

In the Discovery collection I have not found such a definite sexual difference as Tattersall described, although a considerable amount of individual variation in the length of the rostrum exists. At station WS 748 many adults of both sexes occurred, among which no appreciable difference in rostral length was found. At stations 78 and 87 , however, specimens showed definite sexual differences and in all the females the rostrum was longer than in the males. The collection provided additional evidence of the growth changes which were noted by Tattersall. In immature specimens from stations 942,1566 and 1753 , the rostrum was long and extended forward beyond the anterior margin of the cornea.

The specimens, which I have here referred to B. rostrata Illig, show a certain amount of deviation from the description given by Illig (for his females) in the length of the rostrum and the apex of the antennal scale and considerable variation in the relative size of the eyes. I have examined the rich Discovery collection with the greatest care and find that the animals show every gradation in these characters, it being quite impossible to separate them into definite groups. I have tried, with very little success, to correlate the variations with geographical distribution, since wide variation may occur among individuals from the same localities. In view of the very constant form of the tail fan, the endopods of the thoracic appendages and the pleopods, I feel that the simplest solution is to refer them all to rostrata and to accept a certain amount of latitude in the definition of that species in respect of these variable characters. I therefore put forward the following five definitive characters as a guide to identification: (I) rostral process of the male relatively short, usually shorter than the eyes, with acutely pointed apex and anterior margin of carapace with no definite 'shoulders'; of the female, long and acutely pointed and no trace of 'shoulders'; (2) large eyes with well-developed ocular papilla and cornea wider than eyestalks; (3) a non-chelate termination to the endopod of the second thoracic appendage; (4) a long telson with the spines arming the lateral margins arranged in series, cleft narrow and marked by a distinct 'dilatation' at its base; (5) unarmed portion of the outer margin of the exopod of the uropod very short. There is, however, much variation in all these characters.

The uropods are very long and slender with the exopod only slightly longer than the endopod. The unarmed portion of the outer margin of the exopod of the uropod is only one-tenth, or less, of the total length and is terminated distally by a single small spine. The endopod is armed on its inner margin, just distal to the statocyst, with a single long, slender, curved spine. The telson is quite characteristic, long and narrow, equal in length to the last three abdominal somites together. The lateral margins are unarmed for the proximal fourth of their length, the remaining three-fourths being densely armed with a series of eight to ten long, strong spines with graduated series of from four to eight small spines in the spaces between them. The cleft is deep and narrow with a characteristic dilatation at its base.

In most of the specimens the eyes are as figured in Illig's type, but the relative size varies considerably. The colour of the pigment in these preserved animals ranges from black to golden brown.

Hansen (1910, p. 26) founded a new species, \(B\). inermis, on a single male specimen from near the Dutch East Indies. Tattersall ( \(195^{1}\), p. 57) pointed out that the only real difference between this
species and the descriptions of \(B\). rostrata was in the length of the rostral plate. Since the type of rostrata was a female, he suggested that the difference shown by Hansen's specimen was a sexual one and that the two species should be united. Although I have found no specimen in the Discovery collection with the rostrum quite so short or its margins quite so convex as shown in Hansen's figure ( 19 ro, p. II, fig. \(4^{a}\) ) for \(B\). inermis, I have found so much variation in this character that I agree with Tattersall's suggestion that the two species are synonymous.

I doubtfully refer three specimens from station 492 to rostrata. In them the rostrum is unusually long, extending beyond the anterior margin of the eyes, the ocular papilla is unusually large and the apex of the antennal scale is considerably shorter than the spine terminating the naked portion of the outer margin. The dilatation at the base of the cleft of the telson is present, but is not very clearly marked. None of the specimens is adult and the variations may be due to their immaturity.

Distribution. Illig's females were taken at three stations in the Atlantic-off Bouvet Island, off Cape Town and west of Angra Pequena-and at one in the Indian Ocean, N.E. of New Amsterdam. Hansen's B. inermis, which I regard as synonymous with B. rostrata, was taken in the waters of the Dutch East Indies. W. M. Tattersall (1951, p. 56) recorded the species from Alaska and Japanese waters. Of the thirty-eight stations at which it was taken by 'Discovery', 'Discovery II' and 'William Scoresby', thirty-two are situated in the South Atlantic between \(25^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\). and \(55^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\)., three are in the Strait of Magellan and one in the Pacific to the east of New Zealand. Most of the hauls in which it was taken were oblique from varying depths to the surface, but captures in closing nets prove that it is mesoplanktonic with a considerable vertical range between 300 m . and 1300 m .

I have recently, through the courtesy of Dr P. G. Law, Director of the Antarctic Division of the Department of External Affairs, Australian National Antarctic Research Expedition, had an opportunity of examining some mysids collected in plankton off Heard Island by Mr E. H. M. Ealey. There were six specimens in all, only one of which was adult, and, although in the smallest specimens the rostrum is unusually long, I have no hesitation in referring them to \(B\). rostrata. The single adult is a male measuring 19 mm . and is in perfect condition. It is interesting that these specimens occurred in plankton taken at or very near to the surface and thus the record extends its known vertical range into shallower depths than hitherto known.

Boreomysis rostrata var. Illig
Variety with unusually large eyes and small ocular papilla.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 42. I. iv. 26 (day). Off Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, 120-204 m., I adult \& \(8,20 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 129. 19. xii. 26 (dusk to dark). Off South Georgia, \(950-750 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 adult \(\$, 24 \mathrm{~mm}\).

St. WS 29. 19. xii. 26 (day). Off South Georgia, \(600-500\) m., 2 small juv.
St. WS 330. 27. xii. 28 (day). Off South Georgia, \(900-760 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult of, 27 mm .
Remarks. The specimens from the five stations given above agree closely with \(B\). rostrata except in the unusually large size of the eyes and the small ocular papilla. The eyes are so conspicuous, nearly twice the size of those in other specimens which I have referred to rostrata, that the animals can be recognized at once with the naked eye. The cornea is globular and is considerably wider than the eyestalk; in all the specimens the pigment is a pale golden yellow. The papilla is unusually small and insignificant and may easily be overlooked. Nevertheless, I do not feel that there is sufficient justification for the foundation of a new species, especially as among the many specimens which I have already referred to B. rostrata, there is a great deal of variation in the relative size of the eyes.

Since all these animals with unusually large eyes come from the waters off South Georgia, I suggest that they may represent a geographical race. All the specimens except those from station WS 29 are fully adult and are considerably larger than adults from other localities-their average length being 22.47 mm . compared with an average of about 18 mm . from other stations.

\section*{Boreomysis illigi sp.n.}

\section*{(Fig. i i B-E)}

1930 Boreomysis rostrata Illig, pp. 214-19, figs. 28-35 (ơđơ only).
Occurrence:
St. 87. 25. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, rooo( -0 ) m., I imm. \({ }^{\circ}\), 14 mm .
St. 298. 29. viii. 27 (day). West of Cape Verde, \(1200-900(-0)\) m., I imm. ©̂, 2 adult \(99,16 \mathrm{~mm}\). (Colour note, 'Clear scarlet throughout'.) Types.
St. 696. 12. v. 31 (day). Between Cape Verde Is. and St Paul's Rock, \(1000-750\) m., I badly damaged imm. + .
St. 1602. 27. x. 35 (night). West of Cape Frio, \(470-300 \mathrm{~m} ., 2 \mathrm{imm}\). \({ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}^{\circ}\).
St. 2034. 6. iv. 37 (night). West of Cape Town, \(162-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I damaged + , 16 mm .
Description. Rostrum acutely pointed with the lateral margins convex for most of their length but concave near the distal end; extending forward slightly beyond the middle of the eyes (Fig. in B, C). Eyes well-developed; papilla very long, extending forward beyond the anterior margin of the cornea (Fig. I I C). Antennal scale four times as long as broad at its widest part; outer margin slightly sinuous; apex well-developed and extending beyond the tooth which terminates the unarmed outer margin (Fig. in D). Thoracic appendages small and slender; distal portion of the endopod of the second pair not prehensile but exactly as figured by Illig (1930, p. 417). Uropods long and slender; exopod longer than the endopod; unarmed portion of the outer margin nearly one-fifth of the total length, terminated by two small unequal spines, the inner nearly twice as long as the outer; endopod slightly longer than the telson, armed with two long slender spines on the inner margin distal to the statocyst (Fig. in E). Telson three times as long as broad at the base; spines arming the lateral margins slightly unequal, but with no trace of the eight or ten very long spines which are present in B. rostrata; cleft deep and narrow, one-fourth of the length of the telson in depth, base of the cleft without the lateral notches, which produce the characteristic dilatation at the proximal end of the cleft of the telson in B. rostrata (Fig. IIE).

Length of adult female, 16 mm .; none of the males is adult.
Colour. Clear scarlet throughout.
Remarks. When Illig (1906a, p. 196; 1930, pp. 414-19) founded the species B. rostrata, he recorded and figured quite considerable differences between the males and the females. He regarded these as sexual variations. Later workers considered that these differences were too fundamental to be explained on these grounds and it has long been felt that Illig was really dealing with two distinct species. W. M. Tattersall (1951, p. 58) accepted Illig's female specimens as the types of B. rostrata, but considered that the males should be referred to another species, although he did not suggest a name for it.

In the Discovery collections there are a few specimens of both sexes, which agree remarkably closely with the description and figures given by Illig for the male of B. rostrata. The larger females are adult with the brood sac fully developed and the males, though not mature, are sufficiently wellgrown to show that there are no appreciable differences between the sexes, and to leave no doubt that they belong to the same species, which is distinct from B. rostrata. I suggest that these specimens should be placed in a new species under the name of B. illigi, since I regard the males of Illig's \(B\). rostrata as the prototypes of the species.

In \(B\). rostrata the lateral margins of the telson are armed with eight to ten very long strongly developed spines, the spaces between them being occupied with a number of much smaller spines in graduated series. In B. illigi the lateral spines are shorter and relatively stout and, although they do show some arrangement in series, there is no very marked difference between the sizes of the large spines and the small ones. The form of the cleft of the telson is the most striking difference between the two species. In B. illigi it is deeper than in B. rostrata and its proximal end is rounded without lateral slits or a dilated area. The unarmed portion of the outer margin of the exopod of the uropod is relatively much longer than in B. rostrata and its distal end is marked by two spines instead of one. The endopod


Fig. 11. Boreomysis plebeja Hansen. A, telson and right uropod of male in dorsal view, \(\times 16\). Boreomysis illigi sp.n. (B-E). B, anterior margin of carapace of female in dorsal view, \(\times 12\); C, anterior end of female in lateral view, \(\times 12 ; \mathrm{D}\), right antenna, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{E}\), telson and right uropod in dorsal view, \(\times 16\).
is armed with two long spines distal to the statocyst; in B. rostrata there is only one spine. The form of the eye is very characteristic in B. illigi. It is larger than in B. rostrata and the long, strong ocular papilla, with a large ganglion clearly visible at its base, projects forward beyond the anterior margin of the cornea.

Distribution. Illig's male specimens were taken at two stations in the Atlantic, one to the south of the Canary Isles and the other to the south of Sierra Leone, and at one station in the Indian Ocean near Ras Hafun. The Discovery records extend its known geographical range considerably to the southward in the eastern waters of the South Atlantic.

It appears to have a considerable vertical range. Illig's specimens were all taken in vertical hauls, but at Discovery station 696 it was taken in a closing net fishing between 1000 and 750 m . and at station 1602 in a closing net fishing between only 300 and 470 m . At station 2034 an adult female was captured in a night haul from 162 m . to the surface.
(Fig. IrA)
1910 Boreomysis plebeja Hansen, p. 24, pl. 11, figs. 2a-2d.
1930 Boreomysis plebeja, Illig, p. 4 I. 4.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 1604. 29. x. 35 (night). West of Walvis Bay, \(620-500 \mathrm{~m}\)., I nearly adult \({ }^{\circ}\), 12.4 mm .
St. 1606. 31. x. 35 (night). West of Orange River estuary, \(600-500 \mathrm{~m} ., 1 \mathrm{imm} .{ }^{t}, 1 \mathrm{imm}\). ㅇ, 14 mm .
St. 1989. ıo. iii. 37 (day). Off S. Georgia, \(1500-1200 \mathrm{~m} .\), I juv. \(9,8.8 \mathrm{~mm}\). (Colour note 'rich red head anteriorly, shading off posteriorly to very pale pink; eyes brilliant orange'.)

Remarks. This species was founded by Hansen on a single immature female with the marsupium not yet developed. The Discovery collection contains one adult male (unfortunately with the pleopods badly damaged), one immature male and an immature female with small but well-developed marsupium. These specimens are considerably larger than the type and the slight differences in proportions which they show are probably due to growth changes.

The species can be recognized by the long acute rostrum, the small eyes with very long ocular papillae (which in these specimens extend considerably beyond the anterior margin of the cornea), the long slender uropods and the comparatively broad telson with no trace of a dilatation at the base of the cleft. In these specimens the antennal scale is broader than in the type, being only three times as long as broad at its widest part. The apex, however, agrees with the type, being relatively long and extending clearly beyond the tooth which terminates the unarmed outer margin of the scale.
Hansen did not figure the uropods, but recorded that the exopods were long and slender and that the proximal fourth of the outer margin was naked and terminated by a single spine. The Discovery specimens agree with this description and I can now add the fact that the endopods, which are also very slender, are armed on the inner margins, just distal to the statocyst, with two long delicate spines (Fig. if A).

Distribution. The type was taken off the west of the Moluccas. Illig (1930, p. 414 ) recorded a single small immature female from the Benguela Current to the north-west of Cape Town. The Discovery specimens were also taken in the Benguela Current, somewhat farther north-from west of the Orange River estuary and from west of Walvis Bay.

\section*{Boreomysis sibogae Hansen, 1910}

1910 Boreomysis sibogae Hansen, p. 25, pl. ii, figs. \(3^{a-c}\).
1951 Boreomysis sibogae, Tattersall, p. 5I.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 85. 23. vi. 26 (night). West of Cape Town, \(2000(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I imm. f, 18 mm .
St. 693. Io. v. 31 (day). Mid-Atlantic, equatorial zone, \(250-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. ㅇ, 20 mm .
St. WS 26. I8. xii. 26 (night). North of Bird I., South Georgia, \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 1 \mathrm{imm}\). i, 7 mm .
St. WS 29. 19. xii. 26 (day). North-west of South Georgia, \(50-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 imm . \(\mathcal{F}, 22 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Distribution. This species has been recorded from the Dutch East Indies (Hansen, 1910), Indian Ocean off Ras Hafun (Illig, 1930) and the Sea of Okhotsk (Tattersall, 1951). I somewhat doubtfully refer the specimens from stations 85 and WS 29 to \(B\). sibogae. They are damaged, but they appear to resemble this species more closely than any other. If my identification of them is correct, the known geographical range of the species is considerably extended to the southward.

\section*{Boreomysis brucei Tattersall, 1913}
1913. Boreomysis brucei W. M. Tattersall, p. 869, figs. II-I3.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 208. 7. iv. 27 (day). Off Livingstone I., South Shetlands, 800 (-0) m., I imm. \(9,25 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 590. I4. i. 3 I (day). West of Graham Land, I400-1150 m., I very young + , 14 mm . (Colour note, 'Red with golden eyes'.)
St. 661. 2/3. iv. 3 I. South Sandwich Is., three hauls: (i) 3000-2000 m. (night), I adult \(9,3 \mathrm{Imm}\). ; (ii) 2000-1500 m. (night), I juv. đै, 16 mm . ; (iii) \(1500-1000 \mathrm{~m}\). (day), I very young specimen, 9 mm .
St. 1702. 17. iii. 36 (day). Ice Edge off Wilkes' Land, \(2000-1250 \mathrm{~m}\)., I badly damaged juv. 아.
St. I 869 . i I. xi. 36 (day). East of South Shetlands, \(1550-1000 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(9,25 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) juv. 9 . 9 . (Colour note, 'Bright orange red throughout'.)
St. I871. I2. xi. 36 (day). East of South Shetlands, 1450-1000, 3 small imm. \(\circ\) 우.
St. 1966. I6. ii. 37 (day). Scotia Sea, I \(800-1500 \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. 25 mm . (Colour note, 'Red, dark head'.)
St. 1991. II. iii. 37 (day). South-east of South Georgia, 1500 -I000 m., 3 very badly damaged immature specimens.
(Colour note, 'Deep red anteriorly, shading off to abdomen which is pale red; eyes dull yellow ochre'.)

St. WS 385. 16. ii. 29 (night). Bransfield Strait, South Shetlands, \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\)., I almost adult \(0,30 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Remarks. These specimens differ only in one small detail from the description of B. brucei. Tattersall (1913, p. 869) stated that there were no spines arming the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod. In the Discovery specimens there is one small very inconspicuous spine just distal to the statocyst.

Distribution. The types of this species were taken in the Weddell Sea by the Scottish National Antarctic Expedition. The Discovery records indicate that it is a deep-water form confined to the Antarctic and the colder waters of the South Atlantic.

\section*{Boreomysis atlantica Nouvel, 1942}

1942 c Boreomysis atlantica Nouvel, p. 3, figs. 5-8.
1943 Boreomysis atlantica, Nouvel, p. 55, figs. 66-76.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 100 C. 4. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, \(2500-2000 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(9,17 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 245.10. vi. 27 (day). West of Tristan da Cunha, 2000-1 800 m ., I imm. \(\begin{aligned} & \text { t, } 22 \mathrm{~mm} \text {. }\end{aligned}\)
St. 395. 13. v. 30 (day). North-east of South Georgia, \(1600-1500 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult,+ 21 mm .
Remarks. Nouvel's type was a very badly mutilated adult female whose length he estimated as approximately 23 mm . The only detail in which the Discovery specimens differ from the type is in the cleft of the telson which is slightly deeper-rather more than one-fifth of the length of the telson compared with one-sixth in Nouvel's female.

Distribution. The Discovery records from the South Atlantic considerably extend its known geographical range, the type having been captured off the Azores.

\section*{Boreomysis inermis (W.-Suhm) 1874}

\footnotetext{
1874 Petalophthalmus inermis W.-Suhm, p. xv; 1876, p. 575.
1875 Petalophthalmus armiger () () W.-Suhm, p. 7, pl. 41, figs. 1, 3-14.
1884 Boreomysis scyphops G. O. Sars, p. 34; 1885a, p. 178, pl. 32, figs. 10-20.
1893 Boreonusis suthmi Faxon, p. 218.
1908 Boreomysis distinguenda Hansen, p. 100, fig. 2a-b.
\({ }^{1913}\) Boreomysis distinguenda, W. M. Tattersall, p. 869.
\(195^{1}\) Boreomysis inermis W. M. Tattersall, p. 46.
}

Occurrence:
St. 146. 8. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia, 728 m., I imm. of, 24 mm .
Remarks. W.-Suhm gave a description of a very large mysid collected off the Crozet Islands by the Challenger Expedition in 1873. On account of the peculiar form of the eyes he referred it to the genus Petalophthalmus and, because it lacked the strong prehensile mandibular palp found in that genus, he provisionally gave it the specific name inermis.
G. O. Sars later founded the species Boreomysis scyphops on some specimens from the waters to the north-west of Finmark and gave a very full description and figures of it ( 1885 b, p. 56, pl. vi, figs. \(1-22\) ). When writing the Challenger Report ( 1885 , \(c\) p. 178) he referred W.-Suhm's specimen to this new species and, although he admitted that the name inermis had priority, he decided that in view of the fact that W.-Suhm had referred it to the genus Petalophthalmus and that the specific name he had suggested could have no significance if the specimen did not belong to that genus, he retained his own name of scyphops=cup-like eyes. At the same time he referred specimens from two Challenger stations in latitudes \(53^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\). and \(50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\)., south-west of Australia, to Boreomysis scyphops. Records under this name have since been made from various localities in the North Atlantic and the Arctic seas.

In 1908 Hansen, who did not accept the idea of bipolarity, made a complete study of all the specimens available from the northern hemisphere and compared them very carefully with those from Antarctic waters. He found (1908, p. 100) that there were consistent differences between them in respect of the shape of the eyes and in the proportions of the antennal scale and concluded that there were in fact two separate species. He retained the name of \(B\). scyphops for those of the northern hemisphere, since these were the first to be fully described, and instituted the name \(B\). distinguenda for the Antarctic form.

From his study of the rich material of the United States National Museum, W. M. Tattersall (195I, p. 46) endorsed Hansen's finding that the specimens from the south Pacific and the Weddell Sea (W. M. Tattersall, 1913) belonged to a different species from those from the northern hemisphere. He found that the Antarctic form was by no means confined to southern waters but that it had in fact a very wide distribution in the deep waters of the eastern Pacific from California to the Behring Sea and westward to the Sea of Othotsk. Tattersall considered that, since it is possible to identify the Challenger specimens from the Antarctic with W.-Suhm's Petalophthalmus inermis, that specific name has priority over the later name of distinguenda even though no formal and complete description of it was given at first and he recorded the American captures under the name of Boreomysis inermis.

Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars, 1884
1884 Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars, p. 35.
1885 a Boreomysis microps, G. O. Sars, p. 184, pl. 33, figs. 7-10.
1905 a Boreomysis subpellucida Hansen, p. 8, figs. 5-8.
1933 Boreomysis microps, Stephensen, p. ir.
1951 Boreomysis microps, W. M. and O. S. Tattersall, p. 138, figs. 21 D, 25 A-F.
1951 Boreomysis microps, W. M. 'Tattersall, p. 55.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 100 B. 3/4. x. 26 (night). West of Cape Town, \(1000-900 \mathrm{~m} ., 1 \mathrm{imm}\). ㅇ, 15 mm .
St. 287. 19. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea, \(1000-800(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 3\) ôô, \(21 \mathrm{~mm} . ; 29 \%, 19 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 2063. 2. v. 37 (day). North-east of Ascension I. 2 hauls, (i) \(600-0 \mathrm{~m}\). fragments of O , (ii) \(1150-600 \mathrm{~m}\).,

St. 2064. 3. v. 37 (day). South of Monrovia, \(1600-1050 \mathrm{~m} .\), I Jt, I + , both juv., 15 mm . (Colour note, 'Deep orange'.)

St. 2065. 4. v. 37 (day). South-west of Sierra Leone, \(1600-1050 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 of with large parasite, 18 mm . (Colour note, 'Orange pink'.)
St. WS 996. 12. iii. \(5^{\circ}\) (day). Open ocean west of Orange River estuary, \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 1 \mathrm{imm}\). f , in two pieces, 12 mm . (Benguela Current Survey).

Remarks. This species is widely distributed in the warmer waters of the Atlantic Ocean from Britain in the north to the south of South Africa in the south and has not been taken in any ocean other than the Atlantic. \({ }^{1}\)

With the specimens from stations 2063 and 2064 there is a colour-note 'deep orange' and with the specimen from station 2065 a colour-note 'orange pink'. W. M. Tattersall (1951, p. 56) records that one bottle containing specimens of B. microps from the West Atlantic, to the east of New York, had a label 'coloured orange'.

\section*{Boreomysis tattersalli sp.n.}
(Fig. 12 A-G)
1939 Boreomysis microps 'Tattersall, p. 231, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 1585. I. v. 35 (night). On equator, Western Arabian Sea, \(1400-700 \mathrm{~m} .\), I \(\circ\) not quite adult, 13.2 mm .
St. 1587. 3. v. 35 (night). Western Arabian Sea, north of equator, \(1250-800 \mathrm{~m}\)., I badly damaged \(q\) and 1 i not quite adult, 14.8 mm . Type.

Description. Carapace very short anteriorly; anterior margin sinuous, being mostly convex but concave distally forming an acute apex which extends forward almost as far as the anterior margin of the eyes (Fig. 12A and C). Last abdominal somite unusually long, measuring very nearly as much as the third, fourth and fifth somites together. Antennular peduncle rather long and slender; first segment longer than the second and third segments together with a well-developed setose tubercle on the middle of its dorsal surface; base of the outer flagellum swollen and armed with very long plumose setae (Fig. I2A). Antennal peduncle robust, somewhat shorter than the antennular peduncle; scale short, extending for one-fifth of its length beyond the antennular peduncle; \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) times as long as its greatest breadth; spine terminating the unarmed outer margin very long, equal in length to the apex (Fig. 12A, B). Eyes moderately large, set widely apart; eyestalks somewhat barrel-shaped with a well-marked short ocular papilla on the dorsal surface; cornea a little wider than the eyestalks and situated terminally. First thoracic appendage similar to that of B.microps. Second thoracic appendage with the distal third of the inner margin of the carpo-propodus concave with the proximal end of the emargination produced into a blunt 'hump' which is armed with a group of five or six long plumose setae but with no spines; dactylus, armed along its inner margin with a close graduated row of spinous spines, bending inward to fit into the concavity of the carpo-propodus to form a strong sub-chela (Fig. 12D, E). Remaining thoracic appendages rather slender and similar in form to those of B. microps. Uropods. Proximal unarmed portion of the outer margin of the exopod one-tenth of the total margin and marked distally with a single slender spine; endopod slightly longer than the telson, bearing a single long slender spine on the under side of the inner margin just distal to the statocyst (Fig. 12 F). Telson longer than the last abdominal somite, \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) times as long as broad at the base; lateral margins nearly straight so that the telson appears broader and is not so 'waisted' as in B. microps and B. rostrata; armed along the distal three-fourths of their length with a large number of spines which are arranged in series with eight or nine very long spines on each side and groups of graduated smaller spines in the spaces between them. Cleft less than one-sixth of the telson in depth, armed with

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Specimens from the Central Arabian Sea recorded by Tattersall (1939, p. 23 I) as microps are here referred to a new species, tattersalli (vide infra).
}
a regular row of strong teeth which become smaller proximally; near the base the margins become strongly concave forming an angle on each side and giving rise to a marked dilatation similar to that found in B. microps and B. rostrata (Fig. 12F, G). Length. None of the Discovery specimens is fully mature. The largest female, measuring 14.8 mm . has small incubatory lamellae. A female (recorded as B. microps) by Tattersall (1939, p. 231) measured 17 mm . The male of the species has not been seen.

Remarks. B. tattersalli closely resembles \(B\). microps but may be distinguished from it by the following characters: (1) the longer, more acutely pointed rostrum ; (2) the larger eyes, with the cornea wider than the eyestalk and situated terminally, occupying the whole of the distal end of the organ (in B. microps the cornea is small and confined to the outer region of the distal end of the eyestalk so that the visual elements look essentially outward and, in dorsal view, the cornea appears as a narrow band); (3) the absence of a spine at the proximal end of the emargination on the carpo-propodus of the second thoracic endopod.

Nouvel (1943, p. 57, pl. 1II, figs. 77-84) described a new species, B. incisa, in which also there is no spine at the proximal end of the emargination of the second thoracic endopod, but this species can be distinguished from \(B\). tattersalli by the longer, sharper rostrum, the much larger, globular eyes and, especially, by the form of the telson which does not have a dilatation at the base of the cleft and in which the spines arming the lateral margins are almost equal in length.

Distribution. The Discovery specimens were taken in equatorial waters of the West Arabian Sea in closing nets fishing between 1400 m . and 700 m . The John Murray specimens were taken in the Central Arabian Sea in two vertical hauls to the surface and in one closing net fishing between 400 m . and 645 m .

Tattersall (1939), in his report of the mysids of the John Murray Expedition, referred four female specimens from the Middle Arabian Sea to Boreomysis microps. When making the figures for this report, I failed to find in these specimens the strong spine marking the proximal end of the emargination of the inner margin of the carpo-propodus of the second thoracic endopod, which is a characteristic feature of B. microps. Tattersall did not comment on this point in his text. In the Discovery material there are some immature females taken in the West Arabian Sea, which agree precisely with the specimens from the John Murray collection. Through the courtesy of Dr Isobel Gordon of the British Museum of Natural History, I have been able to re-examine the John Murray specimens and have found that they agree completely with the Discovery specimens. I have therefore referred them all to a new species and, since my late husband was the first to see it, I have great pleasure in naming it Boreomysis tattersalli in honour of him.

\section*{Boreomysis bispinosa sp.n.}

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. 12 H-L)
St. 85. 23. vi. 26 (night). West of Cape Town, \(2000(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I badly damaged \(q\) specimen, too broken to measure. St. 86. 24. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, \(1000(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., anterior end of large 9.
St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). Off Cape Town, Iooo(-0) m., I juv. đ.
St. 239. 2. vi. 27 (day). North-east of South Georgia, \(1350-1050(-0) \mathrm{m} .\), I adult \(\%, 26 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 2033. 6. iv. 37 (day). West of Cape Town, \(1350-1250 \mathrm{~m}\). , 1 adult \(9,23 \mathrm{~mm}\). (Colour note, 'Deep brilliant orange red'.) ¢ 'TyPE.
St. 2057. 29. iv. 37 (day). North east of St Helena, \(1450-700 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \({ }^{\text {ot, }} 22 \mathrm{~mm}\). (Colour note, 'Brilliant orange generally; thoracic limbs and antennae tinged with rose red'.) \(\delta^{\wedge}\) Type.
Description. Carapace very short anteriorly, anterior margin rounded with extremely short, upturned rostral projection not reaching the bases of the eyestalks; antero-lateral angles produced
forward almost level with the rostrum (Fig. 12H). Antennular peduncle robust; first segment long with well-developed setose tubercle in the middle of the dorsal surface. Antennal peduncle sub-equal in length to the antennular peduncle; scale broken in all the specimens (Fig. 12 H). Eyes large, with thick, cylindrical eyestalks; large, well-developed ocular papilla; cornea terminal, rounded, not wider than the eyestalks (Fig. 12H). Second thoracic endopod very robust and large; inner margin of carpopropodus not emarginated but armed near the distal end with two long, powerful spines, which are





Fig. 12. Boreomysis taltersalli sp.n. (A-G). A, anterior end of female in lateral view, \(\times 10\); B, right antenna, \(\times 12\); C, anterior margin of carapace in dorsal view, \(\times 10 ; \mathrm{D}\), endopod of second thoracic appendage, \(\times 12 ; \mathrm{E}\), distal end of second thoracic endopod, \(\times 28 ; F\), telson and right uropod in dorsal view, \(\times 12 ; G\), distal end of telson in dorsal view, \(\times 28\).

Borcomysis bispinosa sp.n. (H-L). H, anterior end of adult female in lateral view, \(\times 10\); J, endopod of second thoracic appendage, \(\times 12 ; \mathrm{K}\), distal end of second thoracic endopod, \(\times 28 ; \mathrm{L}\), telson and right uropod in dorsal view, \(\times 12\).
placed side by side and directed slightly away from each other, so that the robust, well-armed dactylus fits down between them to make a strong prehensile ending to the limb (Fig. 12 J, K). Pleopods of the male. Exopods of the second, third and fourth pairs stouter than the corresponding endopods and armed with shorter setae. In the third pair only, the exopod is considerably longer than the endopodin the other pairs the two rami are of equal length. Uropods. Exopod longer and broader than the endopod and slightly bowed outward; unarmed portion of the outer margin very short, less that onesixteenth of the total length, and terminated by two unequal spines; cndopod slightly longer than the telson; armed with a single long slender spine distal to the statocyst. In one specimen there is a
second spine distal to the first on the inner margin of the endopod; it may be that this character is variable (Fig. 12 L ). Telson long and relatively slender; longer than the last abdominal somite; lateral margins convex, narrowest portion of telson slightly proximal to the base of the cleft; armed with a large number of spines arranged in a series as in \(B\). rostrata. The large spines of the series are particularly long and slender. Cleft less than one-seventh of the telson in depth with a marked dilatation at the base (Fig. 12 L ).

Length of adult ot, 22.3 mm ., of adult \(9,23 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Remarks. This beautiful mysid can be recognized at once by its extremely short upturned rostral projection; by its robust form; by the stout, powerful endopods of the second thoracic appendages with their strong sub-chelate termination and the two strong spines between which the dactylus fits down; by the very short unarmed portion of the outer margin of the exopod of the uropod terminating in two spines and by the long 'waisted' telson with a dilatation at the base of the cleft. Corresponding parts of B. tattersalli and B. bispinosa are figured on the same scale and a comparison of the second thoracic endopods will show how robust this appendage is in the latter species (Fig. 12). The other thoracic appendages are also relatively longer and stouter than in \(B\). tattersalli.

Distribution. B. bispinosa is a bathypelagic form and has been taken at four stations in the eastern waters of the South Atlantic. The two adult specimens were taken in closing nets fished at \(\mathbf{1 3 5 0}\) 1250 m . and \(1400-700 \mathrm{~m}\). respectively.

Boreomysis insolita sp.n.

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. 13A-J)
St. WS 979. 7. iii. 50 (night). West of Walvis Bay, South Africa, \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(\rho, 8.2 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I imm. \(\rho, 2\) small juv. 9 f.
St. WS 987. 10. iii. 50 (day). South-west of Walvis Bay. Two hauls: (i) \(50-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \({ }^{\mathbf{\gamma}}, 9 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I imm. \({ }^{\boldsymbol{\delta}}, 7 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\).,
 8.4 mm ., 3 imm . oft, 4 juv. Types.

Description. General form small and very slender. Carapace somewhat inflated anterior to the cervical sulcus; very short in front with the anterior margin evenly rounded and uptilted to form a delicate, transparent, almost vertical rim and leaving the eyes completely uncovered; no trace of any rostral angle or projection; antero-lateral angles small and not produced (Fig. 13A-C). Antenmular pedincle relatively robust; second and third segments turned outward from the plane of the first. In lateral view the dorsal margin of the first segment is almost straight and does not display the concave contour which is usual in the genus (Fig. I3 A, D). Antennal scale, slender, four times as long as its greatest breadth; outer margin straight with a very long tooth marking the distal end and extending considerably beyond the truncate apex; pedimcle nearly two-thirds as long as the scale; composed of four segments, the third of which is set in a different plane from the others giving the peduncle a peculiar distorted appearance. A similar condition is found in some species of the genus Amblyops. Outer distal angle of the sympod produced into a strong sharp spine (Fig. 13 B, E). Eyes relatively large, globular; cornea occupying half the whole organ; ocular papilla small and inconspicuous (Fig. 13 A-C). Thoracic appendages small and very slender; second pair with the distal segment of the endopod rather swollen and not forming a sub-chela (Fig. 13F). Second pleopod of the male, exopod nearly twice as long as the endopod and stouter; distal three segments armed with short modified setae (Fig. \({ }_{3} 3\) G). Third pleopod of the male smaller than the second; exopod longer and stouter than the endopod; modified setae at the distal end fewer and longer than in the second pair (Fig. 13H). Uropods slender; unarmed portion of outer margin of the exopod one-fourth of the total margin and terminated by two spines, the inner of which is long and nearly twice as long as the outer; endopod
very slender and curving inward somewhat distally; armed with a single small spine on the ventral surface near the margin distal to the statocyst (Fig. 13J). Telson slightly longer than the sixth abdominal somite ; lateral margins almost straight and converging distally; armed along the distal fiveninths of their length with \(1^{0-1} 3\) long slender spines of almost equal size; apical lobes each armed with a single very long slender spine which is nearly one-eighth of the length of the telson. There is no trace of the two spines which in other species of Boreomysis flank the terminal apical spine on its inner side. Cleft less than one-sixth of the length of the telson; no proximal dilatation; margins convex distally; armed with 11-12 long slender teeth which are progressively shorter towards the base (Fig. 13 J ).

Length, largest adult male, 9 mm .; females with fully developed brood sacs, 8.4 mm .


Fig. 13. Boreomysis insolita sp.n. A, adult female in dorsal view, \(\times 10\); B , anterior end of adult female in lateral view, \(\times 10\); C, profile of anterior end of carapace and eye, \(\times 10 ; \mathrm{D}\), right antennular peduncle of adult female, \(\times 30 ; \mathrm{E}\), right antenna of adult female, \(\times 30\); F, endopod of second thoracic appendage, \(\times 30\); G, second pleopod of male, \(\times 30\); H, third pleopod of male, \(\times 30 ; \mathrm{J}\), telson and left uropod in dorsal view (adult female), \(\times 30\).

Remarks. This species can readily be recognized by its small size and delicate slender form and by the rounded uptilted anterior margin of the carapace showing no trace of a rostral angle. The form of the antennal peduncle is quite distinctive and I know of no other species in the genus which has a similar form. The unusually long spine at the distal end of the outer margin of the scale and the long terminal spine arming the apical lobes of the telson together with the few spines arming the lateral margins of the telson make the species readily recognizable. Few and relatively long spines on the margins of the telson are frequently a sign of immaturity, but in the present material there are several definitely adult specimens of both sexes.

Distribution. The specimens were taken in depths of \(250-100 \mathrm{~m} ., 100-50 \mathrm{~m}\). and \(50-0 \mathrm{~m}\). at two stations in the Benguela Current to the west and the south-west of Walvis Bay, South Africa.

\section*{Boreomysis acuminata sp.n.}

Occurrence:
(Fig. 14A-D)
St. 2055. 28. iv. 37 (day). East of St Helena, 2000-1400 m., 2 badly damaged juv.
St. 2064. 3. v. 37 (day). Just south of equator, north-north-east of Ascension I., \(1600-1050 \mathrm{ml} ., 2\) adult 09 , \({ }^{1} 5-16 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 2065. 4. v. 37 (day). North of equator and south of Sierra Leone, 1600-1400 m., I badly damaged adult 9. (Note on label, 'orange pink'.)
St. 2066. 5. v. 37 (day). South-west of Sierra Leone, i \(950-1550 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \({ }^{\lambda}, 18 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I adult \(\uparrow\), i9 mm. Types.
Description. General form slender and very transparent. Carapace produced anteriorly into a narrow, very sharply pointed rostrum extending in the female to the middle of the second segment of the antennular peduncle. In the male it is shorter and extends only very slightly beyond the anterior margin of the eyes (Fig. \(14 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}\) ). Antennules showing marked sexual dimorphism-in the male the peduncle is almost twice as stout as in females of the same size and the flagella are more robust. The base of the outer flagellum in the female is expanded on its inner side and armed with a number of very long, finely plumose setae, but in the male there is little expansion in this region and the setae are comparatively short. The hirsute lobe is long and slender and densely setose (Fig. 14A-C). Antennal scale slightly more than \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) times as long as its greatest breadth; tooth terminating the unarmed outer margin extending well beyond the small apex. Antennal peduncle more robust in the male than in the female (Fig. 14A-C). Eyes very peculiar and characteristic in both sexes. Eyestalk expanded distally especially on its inner side, forming a large triangular projection which terminates in a small rounded papilla. The eyestalk is so transparent that a ganglion at the base of the papilla and the nerves running from it and from the cornea are clearly visible. The cornea is small and is confined to a small area on the distal outer area of the eyestalk-its proximal margin is straight giving it a semicircular shape in lateral view (Fig. 14A-C). Thoracic endopods rather slender; the distal segments of the second pair do not form a sub-chela. Exopods of both second and third pairs of pleopods in the male modified. Uropods slender, only slightly longer than the telson; unarmed portion of the outer margin of the exopod nearly one-fourth of the total length and terminated by two small spines; endopod very slender, distally curving slightly inward; inner margin armed with one delicate spine just distal to the small statocyst (Fig. 14D). Telson three times as long as the breadth at the base; lateral margins converging gradually towards the apex and very slightly concave; armed with \(35^{-37}\) short, somewhat robust spines which are almost regular, but which in some places show a tendency to seriation. Cleft deep and narrow; nearly one-third of the telson in depth; lateral margins straight for the greater part of their length, but very convex at the distal end so that the apical lobes are evenly rounded; armed with about twenty-six unusually long fine teeth on each side (Fig. 14D).

Length of adult male, 18.2 mm .; of adult females, \(17-19 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Colour. In the tube from station 2064 there is a note, 'deep orange', and in that from station 2065, 'orange pink'.

Remarks. This species can at once be recognized by the very sharply pointed rostrum and by the peculiar eyes. I was in some doubt as to whether these specimens should be referred to \(B\). fragilis Hansen, because the material is not in good condition and I thought that the form of the eye might be due to distortion. Hansen's description is not very detailed and he only gives two figures. Accordingly, I asked Dr Waldo L. Schmitt whether it might be possible to have the types examined. This was very kindly done by Dr Fenner A. Chace, Jr., who further lent me a few specimens which had been identified as \(B\). fragilis by Hansen himself. From his report on the male holotype and from my own examination of the other material, it was clear that the Discovery specimens did not belong to \(B\). fragilis.

I found one or two points, however, in which Hansen's figures do not fully agree with the specimens of \(B\). fragilis which I examined. He neither records nor figures any spines arming the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod, but on close examination I found that in every case there was a single slender spine just distal to the statocyst and, in two cases, there was a second spine distal to the first. In the report on the holotype male, Dr Chace states, 'I find that there is not only a spine on the lower


Fig. 14. Boreomysis acuminata sp.n. A, anterior end of female in lateral view; B, anterior end of female in dorsal view; C , anterior end of male in dorsal view; D , telson and left uropod in dorsal view. All \(\times 15\).
surface of the inner branch of the uropod just inside the median margin and just distal to the statocyst, as mentioned in your specimens from the south-eastern Atlantic, but there is also a second similar spine about the same distance distal to the first as the latter is from the base of the inner branch of the uropod.' He goes on to say that on one uropod he could find only one spine and suggests that the other may have been knocked off. The material is in poor condition and the specimens are fragile, as Hansen evidently recognized, and it may be that normally there are two spines arming the under side of the inner margin of the endopod and in those cases where only the proximal one is present the distal one, being more exposed, has been broken off.

In Hansen's figure of the anterior end of B. fragilis (1912, pl. I, fig. 3 a), the apex of the antennal scale is equal in length to the spine terminating the outer margin, but in the specimens which I examined from Albatross stations 4676 and 4655 the apex extends well beyond the terminal spine. Hansen mentions that the lateral margins of the cleft of the telson are divergent, but in his figure (pl. II, fig. I \(a\) ) the cleft is very much narrower than in the specimens which I saw.
B. acuminata may be distinguished by the very acutely pointed rostrum, which is longer in the female than in the male, by the broad antennal scale with its very short apex and longer terminal spine of the outer margin, by the peculiar form of the eyes with the small cornea looking essentially outward, by the relatively broad telson with its very deep, narrow cleft and by the short, robust, almost regular spines arming the lateral margins of the telson.

Distribution. At all the stations where this species was taken by 'Discovery II', it was captured in deep water between 1400 m . and 2000 m . It is evidently a bathypelagic form. Three of the stations were near the equator in the west of the Gulf of Guinea and the fourth was to the west of St Helena.

Subfamily Siriellinae
Genus Siriella Dana, 1850
1829 Cynthia J. V. Thompson, p. 57.
1850 Siriella Dana, p. 129.
1850 Cynthilia White, p. 46.
1861 Promysis Kröyer, p. 70.
1882 a Protosiriella, p. 27; Siriellides, p. 32; Rhinomysis, p. 35; Heterosiriella, p. 38, Czerniavsky.
1884 Pseudosiriella Claus, p. 275.
Siriella thompsonii (Milne-Edwards) 1837
1837 Cynthia thompsonii H. Milne-Edwards, p. 462.
1852 Siriella vitrea Dana, p. 656, pl. 43.
1852 Siriella brevipes Dana, p. 658, pl. 44.
1861 Cynthia inermis Kröyer, p. 44, fig. 6 a-g.
1868 Siriella edzvardsii Claus, p. 278, figs.
1882 a Siriellides indica Czerniavsky, p. 103, figs.
1885 a Siriella thompsoni G. O. Sars, p. 205, pl. 36, figs. 1-24.
1910 Siriella thompsonii, Hansen, p. 31 .
191 1 a Siriella thompsonii, W. M. Tattersall, p. 193.
1912 Siriella thompsonii, Hansen, p. 192.
1943 Siriella thompsoni, Nouvel, p. 62.
\(195^{1}\) Siriella thompsonii, W. M. Tattersall, pp. 7, 9, 10, 60.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. \(34^{\circ} 23^{\prime}\) N., \(14^{\circ} 32^{\prime}\) W. 14. x. 25 (day). East of Madeira, surface, 4 adult \(\circ P(\mathrm{P}\) ( ovig.), i juv. \(\odot, 45-5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 87. 25. vi. 26 (day). South Atlantic, West of Cape Town, rooo(-o) m., I ovig. f, 5 mm., i juv. đ̛, i juv. of.
St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). Off Cape Town, 2 hauls: (i) \(1000\left(-0\right.\) ) m., I adult ô, ro mm., i small juv. \({ }^{\text {for }}\); (ii) \(1000(-0\) ) m., \(1{ }^{\hat{0}}, 9 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~mm}\)., not fully adult.
St. 256. 23. vi. 27 (day). South Atlantic, west of Cape Town, \(850-1100(-0)\) m., 3 very small juv.
 largest 10 mm ., 3 of juv.
St. 258 . 25 . vi. 27 (night). West of Cape Town, \(450-320 \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. 8ै, 7.5 mm .

St. 437. 20. ix. 30 (night). East of Durban, 123 - 0 m., I adult \({ }^{\text {of }}\), 10 mm .
St. 44r. 22. ix. 30 (night). South-east of Durban, \(180-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \({ }^{\text {万人 }}, 9 \mathrm{~mm}\).


 (2 ovig.), I damaged ovig. \(7,5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 679. 29. iv. 3 I (day). South-east of Rio de Janeiro, 2 hauls: (i) \(300-0 \mathrm{~m}\). (night), 2 adult \({ }^{0} \mathrm{O}^{\boldsymbol{0}}\), larger 1 I mm., I imm. ㅇ. ; ; (ii) \(500-250 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 ovig. \(ㅇ, 4.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 685 . 3. v. 31 (night). East of Pernambuco, \(350-\mathrm{m} ., 8\) adult ơ \({ }^{\wedge}\), largest 7.5 mm .
St. 689. 6. v. 3 I (night). East of Pernambuco, \(410-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 5 adult ôơ, largest \(7.4 \mathrm{~mm} ., 4\) juv. ô \({ }^{\circ}\); 3 adult \(6.2 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) juv. 9 .
St. 694. 10. v. 31 (night). Mid-Atlantic, north-west of St Paul's Rocks, \(210-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) juv. \({ }^{\text {or }}, 3.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 695. 11.v. 3 (night). South-south-west of Cape Verde Is., 3700 m ., 10 adult ôd , largest 7.2 mm ., I juv. ô; 1 adult \&. 5 mm ., 3 juv. 웅.
St. 697. 12. v. 3 I (night). South-west of Cape Verde Is., \(460-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult ot 8.5 mm .
 empty brood sacs, 7.5 mm .
St. 699. I4. v. 3 I (night). West-south-west of Cape Verde Is., \(370-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(\delta\), \(1 \mathrm{I} \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 700. 18. v. 31 (day). North-east of Cape Verde Is., \(2025-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) Ofd \(^{\boldsymbol{d}}, 10 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 1 우 adult, 6 mm.
St. 703. I8. x. 3 I (night). South-south-west of Cape Verde Is., 358 -o m., I adult of, 11 mm .
St. 70.4. 19. x. 31 (night). South-west of Cape Verde Is., \(231-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 7 \mathrm{imm}\). 0 of , largest 6.4 mm ., 6 adult of (I ovig.), \(5 \cdot 5-6 \cdot 8 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I small juv. 오.
St. 705. 20. x. 31 (night). On equator north-east of Pernambuco, \(150-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(q, 7 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 706. 2I. x. 31 (night). North-east of Pernambuco, \(354-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., i adult \(q\) with large empty brood sac, 7 mm .
St. 709. 24. x. 3 I (night). Off Abrolhos Is., \(2 \mathrm{I} 6-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \({ }^{\text {§ }}, 5.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 713. 29. x. 3 I (night). East of Porto Alegra, 200-o m., I imm. i, 5 mm .
St. 137 I. 19. v. 34 (night). South-east of Port Elizabeth, South Africa, i46-0 m., 13 adult ở, largest in mm.,

 8.5 mm ., I juv. + .

St. I567. so. iv. 35 (night). North of Prince Edward I., \(1350-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 4\) adult of with large empty brood sacs, \(10-10.2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. I571. 21. iv. 35 (night). Midway between Durban and the south of Madagascar, \(500-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(\%, 7.5 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I juv. ㅇ.
St. 1602. 27. x. 35 (night). West of Cape Frio, \(175^{-0}\) m., I adult ơ, II.5 mm.
St. 2042. 22. iv. 37 (day). On meridian \(0^{\circ}\) of Greenwich due west of Saldanha Bay, South Africa, 0-5 m., I adult \(q\), 5 mm .

St. WS I33 'T'. 14/I5. vi. 27 (night). Midway between Gough Is. and Cape Town, 0-5 m., I adult of, II. 5 mm .
Remaris. The species of the genus Siriella present great difficulties to the systematist, because in most of them growth and, with growth, changes in form, continue long after sexual maturity has been reached. As a result, individuals may be fully adult and yet show considerable variation from the published description of the species. In his report on the Challenger Schizopods G. O. Sars (i885a, p. 205) commented on the variations in size among the specimens of \(S\). thompsonii, but he did not record any differences correlated with size in the armature of the telson and uropods. In the Discovery material also there is great variation in the size of adult individuals. Ovigerous females of \(4.5-5 \mathrm{~mm}\). are common, but they may be as much as 10 mm . in length. It appears that the males attain a greater length than the females before they become sexually mature. Only once (station 709) did I find a male of 5.5 mm . which seemed to be sexually mature with well-developed hirsute lobes on the antennules. For the most part males of 7 mm . were obviously immature.

In juveniles and small adults the number of spines arming the outer margin of the exopod of the uropod varies from one to three, in larger animals there may be four, with the beginnings of a fifth, and only in the largest specimens are there six to seven as described in the types. This variation is obviously due to growth changes.

A much more puzzling problem is raised by the form of the telson in specimens from stations 268, \(685,689,704,705,706,709,713\) and 1602 in this collection. Except for an adult male of 11 mm . from station 1602, in all these specimens, both small ovigerous females (ranging from 4.5 mm . to 7 mm .) and adult males (averaging 7 mm . in length) the exopod of the uropod is armed with only two or three spines. In the descriptions of the type and in all figures given for \(S\). thompsonii, the apex of the telson is armed with three pairs of spines with three small median spinules and a pair of plumose setae. These spines are regularly graduated, the outermost pair being shortest and the innermost pair markedly longest. In the specimens from the above-mentioned stations, however, the innermost spines on the telson are shorter than the next pair, often measuring less than half their length. This gives the telson a much more truncate appearance than in the typical thompsonii. Were it not for the large male from station 1602 , I would have thought that the three characters of small size, number of spines on the exopod of the uropod and the proportions of the apical spines of the telson were sufficient grounds for the formation of a new species. But this specimen is as large as the largest specimens of thompsonii and the exopods of the uropods are armed with the typical six spines, leaving only the form of the telson as a constant specific character which does not vary with the growth of the animals. Since there is the closest possible agreement with the typical thompsonii in all other respects, I do not feel that there is a valid case for the formation of a new species. All the stations at which these specimens occurred are situated in the West Atlantic, off the coast of South America, from Rio de Janeiro to Pernambuco, and in mid-Atlantic south-west of the Cape Verde Islands. I would suggest that these forms with the short inner pair of apical spines on the telson represent a geographical race of the species \(S\). thompsonii. It may be that further research will reveal forms intermediate between them and the typical members of the species.

The following notes on colour are given: station 2042: 'Generally colourless and transparent but thoracic region and head blue and purple translucent', and station WS i33 'T': 'Colour semitranslucent blue (sky blue) with lavender antennal scales.'

Distribution. S. thompsonii is a pelagic oceanic form widely distributed in the upper layers of the warmer waters of the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans. It has only once been recorded from the Mediterranean (Colosi, 1922, p. 13). This species is most frequently captured at or near the surface at night and is only rarely taken in the same waters by day. This suggests a diurnal migratory rhythm the animals migrating upward at nightfall and descending to greater depths at the approach of dawn.

The Discovery collection does not extend its known geographical range.
Siriella gracilis Dana, 1852
1852 Siriella gracilis Dana, p. 658 ; 1855 , pl. 44, figs. I \(a-g, 2 a-c\).
1885 a Siriella gracilis, G. O. Sars, p. 209, pl. 36, figs. 25-28.
1910 Siriella gracilis, Hansen, p. 3 I.
Occurrence:
St. 1585 . I. v. 35 (night). Indian Ocean, north-west of Seychelles, \(500-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult ô, 4.4 mm .
Distribution. This is an oceanic form widely distributed in the warmer waters of the Indian and Pacific Oceans and has not been recorded from the Atlantic.

Siriella aequiremis Hansen, 1910
1910 Siriella aequiremis Hansen, p. 40, pl. 3, figs. \(4^{a-c}\); pl. 4, figs. I \(a-l\); 1912, p. 194 .
1919 Siriella aequiremis, Colosi, p. 6; 1920, p. 236, fig. Ia.
1937 Siriella aequiremis, Coifmann, p. 3 .
\({ }^{1951}\) Siriella aequiremis, W. M. Tattersall, p. 78.

\section*{Occurrence}

St. 157 8. 26. iv. 35 ( night ). Midway between Comoro Is. and African coast, \(500-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(\&\), with large empty brood pouch, 9.8 mm .
St. 1585 . 1. v. 35 (night). Indian Ocean, north-west of Seychelles, \(500-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 ô, 8.8 mm ., i juv. of.
St. 1586 . 2. v. 35 (night). Midway between Seychelles and Obbia, \(550-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) adult \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{J}^{\circ}, 9.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Distribution. An oceanic species widely distributed in the upper and surface waters of the tropical regions of the Pacific and Indian Oceans from the Arabian Sea to the east of China.

\section*{Subfamily Rhopalophthalminae}

Genus Rhopalophthalmus Illig, 1906
1906 a Rhopalophthalmus Illig, p. 207.
Remarks. This genus closely resembles Gastrosaccus but may be distinguished from it by the absence of spines arming the outer margin of the exopod of the uropod, by the dimorphism of the reduced endopod of the eighth thoracic appendage, by the absence of a cleft in the telson and by the absence of pleural plates on the first abdominal somite of the female. In recording \(R\). egregius from South African waters (1952, p. 161) I drew attention to the fact that pleural plates were present on the first abdominal somite of the males of both the known species of Rhopalophthalmus and, since the males in the Discovery collections show the same character, I consider that it can be regarded as of generic significance.

Of the two known species of the genus only one, R. egregius, is present in the Discovery collections.

\section*{Rhopalophthalmus egregius Hansen, 1910}

1910 Rhopalophthalmus egregins Hansen, pp. 48-50, figs.
1915 Rhopalophthalmus egregius, Tattersall, p. 151.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 149. IO. i. 27 (day). Mouth of Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, 200-234 m., 3 ô \({ }^{3}\), largest 10.2 mm .
St. 274. 4. viii. 27 (day). Off St Paul de Loanda, Angola, \(65-64\) m., about twenty very badly damaged specimens and many fragments. This tube had dried up. (Colour note on label, 'Quite transparent except for black eyes and a dark red median spot at base of telson'.)
St. 279. 10. viii. 27 (day). Off Cape Lopez, French Congo, \(5^{8-67} \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \({ }_{f}\), 10 mm .
Remarks. This species can be distinguished from the only other species of the genus, R. flagellipes, by the shorter, more robust eyes and by the presence of a very strong spine on the endopod of the uropod at the distal end of the statocyst.

Distribution. The species is widely distributed in the shallow coastal waters of the Pacific and Indian Oceans, and has been recorded from the Great Barrier Reef and New South Wales (Tattersall, 1936, p. 147 and 1940, p. 330). It has twice been found in the open ocean, once in the Torres Strait and once near Norfolk Island (Colosi, 1920, p. 237). I have myself recorded it from eight localities from the coasts of South Africa extending from Richard's Bay on the east coast to Langebaan Bay on the west coast (O. S. Tattersall, 1952, p. 161). The three stations at which it was taken by the 'Discovery' considerably extend its known geographical range. In particular its occurrence off South Georgia proves that it can inhabit much more southerly waters than was hitherto supposed.
R. egregius is a euryhaline, eurythermic species living as a rule in swarms at or very near the bottom. It has usually been taken in large numbers in brackish waters of very low salinity which are subject to a considerable range of temperature and it now appears to be widely distributed in the South Atlantic as well as the Indian and Pacific Oceans.

\section*{Subfamily Gastrosaccinae}

Genus Gastrosaccus Norman, 1868
1868 Gastrosaccus Norman, p. 438 .
1872 Acanthocaris Sim, p. 4.
I880 Haplostylus Kossmann, p. 95.
1882 Pontomysis Czerniavsky, p. 79.
1893 Chlamydopleon Ortmann, p. 23.
Remaris. Norman ( 1868 , p. 438) founded this genus for those mysids in which the pleura of the first abdominal somite in the female were produced to form part of the marsupium. The genus is otherwise characterized by having the outer margin of the antennal scale naked and ending in a thorn, the first and second pairs of pleopods of the male well-developed and biramous, third pair with the exopod extremely long and the endopod variable, telson with large apical cleft armed with spines and lateral margins also armed with spines, outer margin of the exopod of the uropod armed with spines and no setae, and the inner margin of the endopod armed with few or many spines. An interesting feature of the genus Gastrosaccus is the variation shown in the form of the posterior margin of the carapace. In some forms this is simply emarginate, but in others there may be a pair of median reflexed lobes or large quadrangular lobes, or there may be a number of filiform prolongations forming a fringe.

\section*{Gastrosaccus sanctus (van Beneden), 1861}
```

1861 Mysis sancta van Beneden, p. 27.
1877 Gastrosaccus sanctus G. O. Sars, p. 64.
1882a Gastrosaccus sanctus, Czerniavsky, i, p. 85.
1892 Gastrosaccus sanctus, Norman, p. }155
1951 Gastrosaccus sanctus, Tattersall and Tattersall, p. 162.

```

Occurrence:
St. 90. 10. vii. 26 (day). Off Simon's 'Town, South Africa, 10-12 m., 2 早果, larger nearly adult, i1 5 mm .
St. 149. 10. i. 27 (day). Mouth of Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, 234-200 m., I damaged \(q\), estimated length 11 mm .
St. 443. 23. ix. 30 (night). South of Knysna, South Africa, \(49-0\) m., I ठ̂, II mm.
St. WS 1000. 13. iii. 50 (night). About 100 miles west of Orange River estuary, \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., I very small juv.
 350 juv. 3-7 mm.
Remarks. These specimens do not differ in any essential from the published descriptions and figures of \(G\). sanctus. The well-developed reflexed lappets on the hinder margin of the carapace are rather narrower than those I have seen in specimens from British waters and the spines arming the apical lobes and lateral margins of the telson are unusually large, but I do not consider that these slight differences are of specific importance.

Distribution. This species is widely distributed in coastal waters of Europe, from the southern parts of the North Sea, the British Isles, the Mediterranean to Suez and the Black Sea. It has been recorded from the west coast of Morocco and from the west coast of Africa, as far south as Casablanca Harbour and the Cameroons (Tattersall, 1927, p. 316). It has also been recorded from the Canary Isles. The Discovery records from the extreme south of South Africa and from South Georgia very considerably extend its known geographical range.
\(G\). sanctus is essentially a shallow water littoral form and has never been recorded from the open ocean. It is markedly euryhaline in northern waters and in the Black Sea lives in a salinity as low as \(18 \%\) while in the Atlantic, near the Canary Islands, it has been found in salinities of \(37 \%\).

Genus Anchialina Norman and Scott, 1906
1801 Anchialus Kröyer, pp. 53, 71.
1906 Anchialina Norman and Scott, p. 24.

\section*{Anchialina typica (Kröyer), i86ı}
(Fig. \(15 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}\) )
1861 Anchialus typicus Kröyer, p. 53, figs.
1910 Anchialina typica Hansen, p. 52, figs.
1912 Auchialina typica, Hansen, p. 196.
1951 Anchialina typica, W. M. 'Tattersall, p. 100.
Occurrence:
St. 704. 19. x. \(3^{1}\) (night). Mid-Atlantic just north of the equator, \(23 \mathrm{I}-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., \(1 \mathrm{imm} . \mathrm{J}^{7}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. WS 1000 (Benguela Current Survey). 13. iii. 50 (night). West of Orange River estuary. Three hauls: (i) 50-0 m., 5 small juv., \(3-3.4 \mathrm{~mm}\).; (ii) \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., 4 small juv., largest 3 mm .; (iii) \(150-100 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \({ }^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}, 7 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\).

Remarks. The adult male from station WS 1000 and the smaller male from station 704 conform very closely to the published descriptions and figures of \(A\). typica. The small specimens from hauls (i) and (ii) at station WS 1000 show certain differences which I attribute to their immaturity. In them the rostral plate is hollowed from above, but its anterior end is produced between the eyes into an evenly rounded rostrum (Fig. \({ }_{5}\) G). Hansen (1910, p. 52) explained that in A. typica the apparently truncate anterior margin of the carapace with its distinct median emargination is in fact only the profile of the downwardly bent rostrum. The rostral plate is hollowed from above and its anterior tip is bent sharply down between the eyes, but if one raises it with a needle the anterior margin can be seen to be shaped exactly as in the juvenile specimen which I have figured (Fig. \({ }_{15} \mathrm{G}\) ).

The spines arming the lateral margins of the telson and the outer margin of the exopod of the uropod in the immature specimens are few in number and are confined to the distal portion only of these margins. This condition is usual in many species of mysids and is a common phenomenon in young animals which are not fully grown (Fig. \({ }_{5} \mathrm{M}\) ).

All the specimens taken at station WS 1000 are markedly hispid on the peduncles of the antennae, on the eyestalks, on the pleopods of the males and, more sparsely, on the anterior portion of the carapace and on some of the proximal segments of the thoracic appendages. The specimen from station 704 shows only an indication of this character and I can find no mention of it in earlier descriptions of the species. Neither does it appear in specimens, which I have seen, from Hawaii and the Great Barrier Reef. The only other difference which the Discovery adult male shows is that the modified setae arming the distal end of the exopod of the third pleopod are curved and not straight as figured by Hansen (1910, pl. vii, figs. \(2 h\) and \(2 j\) ). (Fig. \({ }_{5}\) E, F.)

On account, however, of their very close conformity in all other respects with the descriptions of A. typica I have no hesitation in referring them to this species.

Distribution. A. typica has been recorded on numerous occasions from the warmer waters of the world-the East Indies, Philippines, Great Barrier Reef, Hawaii, the West Indies and from the tropical regions of the Atlantic, from the coast of America to mid-Atlantic. The Discovery records extend its known geographical range to the eastern waters of the Atlantic. W. M. Tattersall (1951, p. IO2) gave a very full account of the geographical distribution of this species and drew attention to the fact that, where large numbers were taken together in night tow-nettings with the use of electric light, the males far outnumbered the females. In some cases they formed \(90 \%\) of the catch and in others all the specimens were males. It is significant that all the Discovery captures were made at night and that most of the specimens taken were males.


Fig. 15. Anchialina typica (Kröyer) (A-F). A, left antenna of adult male, \(\times 35\); B, endopod of first thoracic appendage with epipod, \(\times 35\); C, second thoracic appendage of male, \(\times 35\); D, distal end of second thoracic endopod of male, \(\times 58\); E, third pleopod of adult male, \(\times 35 ; \mathrm{F}\), expod of third pleopod of male, \(\times 58\).
frvenile male specimen ( \(\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{M}\) ). G, anterior end of immature male in dorsal view; H , right antennular peduncle, J , left antenna; \(K\), endopod of fourth thoracic appendage; \(L\), left uropod; \(M\), telson in dorsal view. All \(\times 38\).

\section*{Anchialina truncata (G. O. Sars), 1884}

1883 Anchialus truncatus G. O. Sars, p. 38.
1885 a Anchialus typicus G. O. Sars, p. 193, figs.
1910 Anchialina truncata Hansen, p. 52.
1912 Anchialina truncata, Zimmer, p. го.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 90. 10. vii. 26 (day). Basin of Dockyard, Simonstown, South Africa, 10-12 m. I adult \(q\) (ovig.).
St. 91. 8. ix. 26 (day). Half a mile off Roman Rock, False Bay, South Africa, 35 m., I adult \({ }^{\star}\), I juv. of, fragments.


St. 406. 5. vi. 30 (day). Off Roman Rock, Cape Peninsula, South Africa, 29 m., I adult ô, 3 adult if ( 2 ovig.), 4 juv. 와.


St. 443. 23. ix. 30 (night). West-south-west of Port Elizabeth, South Africa, 49-0 m., 34 adult ỡ 5 juv. ở, 27 adult if ( 5 ovig.), 4 juv. ㅇf.
 St. 844. 8. iv. 32 (night). Just south of Cape Town, \(155-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 2 imm . ôo \({ }^{\hat{0}}, 6.5\) and 5.5 mm .

Distribution. Up to the present this species has only been recorded with certainty on two occa-sions-both from surface water off Cape Town (G. O. Sars, 'Challenger' 1883 and Zimmer, 1912, p. 10). Eight of the nine stations at which it was taken by 'Discovery' and 'Discovery II' are situated off the southern shores of South Africa from Port Elizabeth to Cape Peninsula. At five of these stations it was captured in night hauls and it is interesting to note that the three hauls in which it was taken by daylight were all taken very close in shore. Its occurrence off Cape Lopez considerably extends its known geographical range to the northward. The species is a shallow-water form and all the captures were made at the surface or in vertical hauls from depths of \(155-49 \mathrm{~m}\). to the surface.

\section*{Subfamily Mysinae}

This subfamily is very rich in genera and for convenience it has been divided by Hansen (1910, p. 12) into four Tribes, Erythropini, Leptomysini, Mysini and Heteromysini.

1910 Hansen, p. 13.

\section*{Tribe ERYTHROPINI}

Definition. Antennal scale usually with the proximal portion of the outer margin naked and terminating in a tooth. In a few genera the scale is reduced or even absent. Thoracic endopods generally with carpus distinct, unsegmented and separated from the propodus by a more or less oblique articulation (transverse in Arachnomysis). Propodus usually subdivided into two segments by a transverse articulation. Pleopods of the male well developed, fourth pair with the exopod slightly elongated in a few genera and occasionally armed with modified setae.

Of the twenty-seven genera which are already included in this Tribe, fourteen are represented in the Discovery material and I have added one new genus.

Genus Pseudomma G. O. Sars, 1870
1870 Psuedomma G. O. Sars, p. 154.
\(1870-9\) Psuedomma G. O. Sars, vol. 1, p. 47.
1930 Psuedomma Illig, p. 571.
This genus may readily be recognized by the distinctive form of the eyes. These are rudimentary with no trace of visual elements or pigment and are united in the median line to form a broad, flat, hemispherical plate on the dorsal surface of the head, covering all or part of the bases of the antennular peduncles and antennae. The anterior and lateral margins of this plate may be either more or less serrated, or unarmed. In the anterior median line there is a well-defined cleft, indicating the line of fusion of the two rudimentary eyes which make up the eyeplate.

The antennal scale is unarmed along the proximal portion of its outer margin, the naked region terminating in a more or less strong tooth; the apex of the scale is very varied in the genus, being greatly produced in some species, while in others it is actually shorter than the thorn terminating the outer margin.

The endopods of the third to the eighth thoracic appendages are long and slender, the carpus articulated with the two-segmented propodus: this articulation is usually oblique, but may be transverse ; nail setiform. The male genital organ on the eighth thoracic appendage in most species is long and tubular, unarmed except for a strong curved bristle. The pleopods of the male are well-developed and show little modification. In some species the rami of the fourth pair may be of unequal length and there may be some modification of the setae on one or both of them.

The endopods of the uropods are usually considerably shorter than the exopods and generally bear no spines along their inner margins, though there may be a single spine in the region of the statocyst. The telson is entire and linguiform, usually short with an obtusely rounded or truncate apex, the lateral margins usually armed with a limited number of small spines, which are confined to the (at most) distal two-thirds of the margins. The apex of the telson is armed with from two to five pairs of long spines and there is usually a pair of median setae. There are, however, one or two species in which the telson does not conform to the above description and the safest guide to the genus is the form of the eyes.

The identification of the species in this genus in the Discovery material has given me a great deal of trouble and I have come to the conclusion that a considerable amount of individual variation in each species must be accepted unless a large number of new species are to be instituted. In most species the animals are not particularly common or, at least, they are not gregarious in habit for the number taken at each station rarely exceeds one or two. As the specimens are not as a rule in very good condition, I have referred many which do not agree in all points to the same species and have discussed their characters later when describing the species.

\section*{Pseudomma armatum Hansen, 1913}
\({ }^{1913}\) Pseudomma armatum Hansen, p. 12, figs.
1930 Psendomma armatum, Rustad, p. 7.
Occurrence:
St. 42. 1. iv. 26 (night). Off Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, 204-120 m., 2 아, 18 mm .

St. 123. 15. xii. 26 (day). Off Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, 250-230 m., 1 ot, 8 아, \(18-20.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. I42. 30. xii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, \(273-88 \mathrm{~mm} ., 16\) ỡ, 73 우, largest 19 mm , 2nd tube, 2 ổ , 6 오, largest 12 mm ., all imm.
St. 148. 9. i. 27 (day). Off Cape Saunders, South Georgia, 148 - 32 m., I đ̂, \(20 \mathrm{~mm} ., 1\) ô juv.
 63 우, 18 - 20 mm . (many ovig.), 18 ㅇ¢ juv.
 breeding 9 아, 17.5 mm .
St. 167. 20. ii. 27 (day). Off Signy Is., South Orkneys, \(344^{-244 m ., ~} 8\) ỡ 0 , juv., \(16-19 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 2 ff, 19-20 mm., with empty brood pouches, 3 ff juv. 16 mm .
St. MS 68. 2. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, 247-220 m., 3 우, largest 19 mm. (ovig.), 21 juv.
Remarks. These specimens are larger than any of this species which have hitherto been recorded. Males of \(16-17 \mathrm{~mm}\). have well-developed lobes on the antennular peduncles, but these are not hirsute and each pleopod is not more than three-quarters of the length of the succeeding abdominal somite. Females of 16 mm . are obviously immature. The largest specimen is an ovigerous female from station 162 measuring 22.4 mm .

There is some variation in the length of the apex of the antennal scale. In all specimens from South Georgia it is markedly longer than the tooth terminating the outer margin of the scale (as in \(P\). belgicae), but in the specimens from the South Orkneys the apex and the terminal tooth are almost
equal in length. The form of the eyeplate is, however, quite typical of \(P\). armatum and the form and armature of the telson so closely resemble the descriptions of that species, that, in spite of the differences in the scale, I have no hesitation in referring them to armatum.

Distribution. Type specimens from \(54^{\circ} 11^{\prime}-54^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\)., \(36^{\circ} 18^{\prime}-36^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{W}\)., South Georgia (Hansen, 1913, p. 12) and three records from Cumberland Bay, South Georgia (Rustad, 1930, p. 7).
If the specimens from the South Orkneys are accepted as belonging to this species, the slight differences between them and the specimens from South Georgia could be attributed to the distance of their place of capture from the area in which all the other records were made.
P. armatum has previously been recorded in temperatures ranging from \(-0.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). to \(1.45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). The Discovery material extends these limits-the lowest temperature being - \(0.8^{\circ}\) at station 162 and the highest \(2.08^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). at station 42 .

Pseudomma sarsi (W.-Suhm in MS.) G. O. Sars, 1884
1884 Pseudomma sarsi G. O. Sars, p. 37.
1885 a Pseudomma sarsi, G. O. Sars, p. 189, figs.
1913 Pseudomma sarsi, Hansen, p. 13.
1930 Pseudomma sarsi, Rustad, p. 7, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 45. 6. iv. 26 (night). East of Jason Light, South Georgia, 270-238 m., I juv. ô (broken).
St. 140. 23 . xii. 26 (day). South Georgia, \(136-122 \mathrm{~m}\)., fragments.
St. I42. 30. xii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, \(273-88 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(\uparrow\), 9.8 mm ., 2 juv. 99.
St. 149. 10. i. 27 (day). Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, 200-234 m., I adult \(q\), II mm.
St. 154. 18. i. 27 (day). South Georgia, \(160-60 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) adult \(\circ\) ㅇ, \(10-\mathrm{II} \mathrm{mm}\).
St. 162. 17. ii. 27 (day). South Orkneys, 320 m ., I imm. ठै, 10.8 mm .
St. 167. 20. ii. 27 (day). South Orkneys, \(344-244 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(\&\) with empty brood pouch, 135 mm .
St. 1957. 3. ii. 37 (day). South Shetlands, \(785-8\) Io m., I juv. ठ̂, I \(\xlongequal[+]{\circ}\), II mm.
St. WS 213. 30. v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., \(239-249 \mathrm{~m} .\), I if, 9 mm .
St. WS 234. 5. vii. 28 (night). North of Falkland Is., 207-195 m., I \({ }^{\circ}, 9.5 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I juv. 우.
St. WS 818. 17. i. 32 (day). Patagonian Shelf, \(272-278 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult of (damaged).
Remarks. In many respects this species closely resembles both P. roseum G. O. Sars and P. truncatum S. I. Smith, but may be distinguished by the form of the antennal scale and by the armature of the apex of the telson. Although there appears to be some variation in the relative length of the apex of the antennal scale in \(P\). sarsi, it never measures more than one-fifth of the total length of the scale, and may be less, while in P. roseum it occupies from one-third to one-half of the total length and in \(P\). truncatum one-third to one-fourth of the total length.

I find that in these specimens the apex of the telson is more rounded than in Sars's figures, but it is almost precisely as figured by Rustad (1930, p. 8) and in this respect the species may readily be distinguished from \(P\). truncatum. It can be distinguished further by the arrangement of the apical spines. These are set closely together and increase regularly in size towards the middle line. There are usually four pairs of these spines; the most distal lateral spine is set close to the apex and is intermediate in length between the large apical spines and the small lateral ones. In both \(P\). roserm and \(P\). truncatum, there are usually two pairs of apical spines and they are more spaced than in \(P\). sarsi.

The specimens which I have here referred to \(P\). sarsi have been difficult to identify. Although they agree in general form, they show variation in the serrulation of the eyeplate, in the length of the apex of the antennal scale, in the relative lengths of the rami of the uropods and in the number of small spines arming the lateral margins of the telson. The material is not sufficiently well preserved nor are there enough specimens to decide whether these variations are of specific value.

The specimen recorded by Rustad was taken from water of a temperature of \(0.55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). and he states that it can probably thrive in temperatures as low as \(0.37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). The Discovery material proves that his surmise was correct, for the species was taken in waters ranging in temperature from over \(6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). at station 226 down to \(-0.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\). at station 162 .

Distribution. Off Kerguelen Island, 120 fm .; South Georgia, 75 m . and 250 m .; Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, 140-0 m. ; South Shetlands, 785-810 m.

Apart from Sars's original record from Kerguelen Island, until now this species has been recorded only from off the north-east and north coasts of South Georgia. (Sars's specimen from the Antarctic from \(65^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\)., \(79^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\). was much larger and in all probability belonged to P. belgicae.) The present records very considerably enlarge its known geographical range to the west in the South Atlantic.

All the specimens referred to this species have been taken in depths of 75-320 m. except at station 1957 (785-810 m.).

\section*{Pseudomma antarcticum Zimmer, 1914}

1914 Pseudomma antarcticum Zimmer, p. 389, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 182. 14. iii. 27 (day). Schollaert Channel, South Shetlands, \(500-278 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(\circ\), I 8 mm ., I \(\&\) (damaged) and fragments.
St. 1957. 3. ii. 37 (day). South Shetlands, 785-810 m., I Ĵ, 20 mm ., I ovig., , \(21 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~m}\)., 2 juv.
Remarks. These specimens agree remarkably closely with the description and figures given by Zimmer, the only difference being a slight variation in the shape of the anterior margin of the eyeplates and in the number of serrulations on the antero-lateral borders. The anterior borders of the eyeplate are not evenly arcuate, but show a slight concavity at about one-third of their length from the median line. From the median cleft up to this concavity the margin is naked and onwards from it the antero-lateral margins are armed with about \(20-25\) very fine serrulations. The long plumose setae on the inner margins of the second and third segments of the antennular peduncles are particularly noticeable.

Distribution. The only previous record of this species is of the type from \(65^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{S} ., 80^{\circ} \mathrm{o}^{\prime} \mathrm{E}\). at a depth of 3425 m . The Discovery specimens were taken nearly as far south, but in much shallower water, depth 278-500 m.

\section*{Pseudomma belgicae (Hansen in MS.), Holt and Tattersall, igo6}
\(1906 b\) Pseudomma belgicae Holt and Tattersall, p. 8.
1908 Pseudomma belgicae, Hansen, p. 12, figs.
1908 Pseudomma belgicae, Tattersall, p. 27, figs.
1913 Pseudomma belgicae, Hansen, p. 11, figs.
Occurrence:
 with abdomen missing.
 ments.
St. 1644. I 5. i. 36 (day). Bay of Whales, 626 m ., 2 우, 22.4 mm .
Remarks. This species may be distinguished by the large ocular plate, which shows no trace of serrulations and has only a small median cleft on the anterior margin, which is almost straight without any kind of protuberances; by the comparatively long antennal scale with its apex extending only very slightly, if at all, beyond the particularly strong tooth which terminates the naked outer margin;
by the very long exopods of the uropods; and by its large size. \(P\). belgicae is larger than any other species of the genus which has been recorded. The four whole specimens from station 181 are immature and measure more than 20 mm . The male from station 182 is not fully adult and measures 23.5 mm . 'The females from station 1644 are not breeding, although they seem to be adult. The female from station 181 is adult but, unfortunately, the posterior portion of the body is missing. From the proportions of the anterior end, I think that the animal must have measured about 30 mm . Hansen (1913, p. 12) recorded a male specimen measuring 27 mm .
P. frigidum is the only other species of the genus to attain a comparable size, but it can be distinguished from P. belgicae by the marked serrulations on its eyeplate and by the considerably elongated apex of the antennal scale.

Distribution. This species is an entirely antarctic form with a circumpolar distribution in relatively shallow waters. It was first recorded from \(78^{\circ} 25^{\prime} 40^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{S} ., 165^{\circ} 39^{\prime} 6^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}\). (Holt and Tattersall, \(1906 b\), p. 8) and has since been taken by 'Belgica' and by the Swedish and German Antarctic Expeditions in depths between 150 and 400 m . The type specimen was taken at 300 fm . In his 'Challenger' Report, G. O. Sars (1885a, p. 191) recorded a large damaged female specimen and, when referring it to \(P\). sarsi, noted that it was much larger than recorded specimens of that species. It is possible that this specimen was really P. belgicae. If this is the case, this species can occupy much greater depths than any at which succeeding records have occurred since the Challenger specimen was taken from 1675 fm .

\section*{Occurrence:}

\section*{Pseudomma calmani sp.n.}
(Fig. s6A-G)
St. 5 I. 4. v. 26 (day). East of Falkland Is., \(105^{-115} 5\) m., two tubes: (i) 377 (dried up); (ii) I \(9,7 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. WS 215. 31. v. 28 (dusk to dark). North of Falkland Is., 219-146 m., I adult + , non-breeding, 6 mm .
St. WS 219. 3. vi. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., in6-114 m., i đ, 6 mm ., 5 fof, breeding, 6 mm .
St. WS 226. Io. vi. 28 (day). North-west of Falkland Is., \(144^{-1} 52 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(q\), with empty brood sac, 7 mm .
St. WS 229. I. vii. 28 (day). North-east of Falkland Is., \(210-271 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) Jf, \(7 \mathrm{~mm}, 294,7 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) damaged \(9 P\), abdomen of adult \(\delta^{\circ}\).
St. WS 235. 6. vii. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., \({ }^{1} 55^{-1} 55 \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. +5.8 mm .
St. WS 758. 12. x. \(3^{1}\) (night). North of Falkland Is., \(94^{-0}\) m., 2 very damaged specimens.
St. WS 767. 19. x. \(3^{1}\) (night). North of Falkland Is., \(98(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I \({ }^{\circ}, 7 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I fragment.

St. WS 775. 2. xi. 3 I (day). North of Falkland Is., II 5-I 10 m., fragments.
St. WS 8or. 22. xii. 31 (day). North of Falkland Is., \(165^{-165 \mathrm{~m} ., ~ I ~} \circ, 8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Description. General form short and compact with the anterior end relatively more robust than in other species of the genus. Carapace not greatly emarginate posteriorly. Antennular peduncle short and thick, especially in the male; third segment longer than the first and second segments together. Male lobe large and very densely hirsute in the adult (Fig. 16A). Antennal peduncle slightly longer than the antennular peduncle and rather more than half as long as the scale. Scale more than twice as long as the antennular peduncle, \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) times as long as broad, apex produced well beyond the tooth terminating the outer margin and occupying one-fifth of the total length of the scale (Fig. 16A, B). Eyeplate with well-marked median anterior cleft. No trace of serrations or teeth on the anterior lateral margins (Fig. 16C). Thoracic endopods as described for the genus, except that the articulation between the carpus and the propodus appears to be quite transparent and not oblique, when the limb is not flexed at the joint. The nail is setiferous and very long (Fig. 16 D-E). Male genital organ very large, cylindrical and tipped with a short, strong curved seta (Fig. r6 D). Fourth pleopod of the male with the exopod seven-segmented and slightly longer than the six-segmented
endopod. The setae are broken in all the male specimens at my disposal, but the remnants do not appear to be modified (Fig. 16 F). Uropods short and compact with the endopod extending only slightly beyond the distal end of the apical spines of the telson; exopod truncate distally and only slightly longer than the endopod (Fig. 16G). Telson in the shape of a trapezium, which is symmetrical about its long axis; twice as broad at the base as at the apex; lateral margins convex at the base, but somewhat concave along the distal two-thirds of their length; armed with \(5^{-6}\) small spines on each side; penultimate lateral spine larger than the more proximal ones, the most distal one twice as long as the penultimate and half as long as the outermost apical spine on each side. These two spines are


Fig. 16. Pseudomma calmani sp.n. A, anterior end of adult male in dorsal view; B, left antenna; C, eyeplate; D, eighth thoracic appendage of male; \(E\), distal end of endopod of eighth thoracic appendage (enlarged); \(F\), fourth pleopod of male; \(G\), telson and uropods of adult male in dorsal view. All \(\times 26\).
borne close to the apex and form, with the graduated apical spines, a regularly graduated series. Apex armed with three pairs of long spines, which increase in length towards the middle line; median pair of spines about one-fifth of the length of the telson; pair of median setae present (Fig. 16G).

Length. Adult breeding females from station 219 measured 5.5 mm . from the anterior margin of the eyeplate to the apex of the telson and two adult females from station 229 measured just over 7 mm . The largest specimens were two males which measured 8.5 mm ., from station 801 .

Remarks. This species has been taken with \(P\). sarsi, but may be readily distinguished from it by its smaller size, by its comparatively shorter and thicker antennules and antennae, by the longer apex of the antennal scale and, above all, by the entire margins of the eyeplates. I have been much worried over the specimens which I have referred to this species, because they display individual differences in
the proportions of the various parts. The material is not in good condition and there is not a single perfect specimen. Also the number taken at any one station is very small. It may well be that we have here more than one species, but until further material comes to hand, I do not feel justified in splitting the present species on the small points of variation which I have mentioned. I have great pleasure in naming the species after the late Dr W. T. Calman to whom I owe so much for help and guidance in the past.

Distribution. All the specimens of this species in the Discovery collection were taken in depths of from \(94^{-219} \mathrm{~m}\). at stations situated to the north of the Falkland Islands. It would not appear to be a gregarious form, because at four stations only a single individual was taken and only a few at the other stations.

\section*{Pseudomma schollaertensis sp.n.}

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. \({ }^{7} 7 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{G}\) )
St. 181. 12. iii. 27 (day). Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago. \(64^{\circ} 21^{\circ} \mathrm{S} ., 63^{\circ} \mathrm{OI} \mathrm{W}\). \(160-335 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) ơ \(^{\circ}\), 15 mm ., I juv. ot, 2 웡, I 45 and 15 mm ., I juv. ㅇ. Types.
Description. General form slender. Antenmular peduncle robust, with the third segment longer than the first and second together (Fig. 17A). Antemual peduncle long and slender; equal in length to the antennular peduncle or slightly longer and fully three-quarters as long as the scale. Scale five times as long as broad; tooth terminating the outer margin unusually strong and long, extending a little beyond the short apex; very strong spine on the outer, distal corner of the sympod (Fig. 17 A, B).


Fig. 17. Pseudomma schollaertensis sp.n. A, anterior end of adult femate in dorsal view; B, right antenna; C, endopod of first thoracic appendage; D , second thoracic appendage; E , fourth thoracic appendage; F , left uropod; G , telson in dorsal view. All \(\times 20\).

Eyeplate very wide, equal in width to the anterior portion of the carapace; well-marked median cleft; anterior and lateral margins evenly rounded without protuberances, but armed with about twentythree small teeth on each side extending from about half-way along the anterior margins nearly to the posterior end of the lateral margins. These teeth are very regular except that the two or three at each end of both series are smaller than the rest (Fig. \({ }^{7} 7 \mathrm{~A}\) ). First and second thoracic appendages as described for the genus (Fig. 17 C, D). Endopods of the third to the eighth thoracic appendages long and slender, twice as long as their exopods; articulation between the carpus and the two segmented propodus distinctly oblique (Fig. \({ }_{7} 7 \mathrm{E}\) ). Genital organ of the male large and conical, tapering distally and armed at the tip with a strong hook-like seta. Uropods long and slender, endopod extending beyond the distal end of the very long apical spines of the telson (Fig. \({ }_{17}\) F). Telson equal in length to the last abdominal somite; lateral margins straight and nearly parallel, armed along the distal twothirds of their length with seven small strong spines, the most distal of which is very close to the outermost apical spine. Apex broadly rounded and armed with five pairs of long, slender, slightly incurved spines which, unlike other species of the genus, are progressively shorter towards the middle line. A pair of median setae present (Fig. I7 G).

Length, adult male 15 mm ., adult female \(14^{\circ} 5^{-15} \mathrm{~mm}\).
Breeding. One ovigerous female of I 5 mm . was carrying 18 well-developed eggs in the brood pouch.

Remarks. This species can be distinguished by the long, rather narrow antennal scale with its unusually large terminal tooth and the small apex; by the broad eyeplates and the comparatively large part of the free margin which show serrations but, most of all, by the shape and armature of the telson.

Distribution. Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago in a net fishing at a depth of \(160-355 \mathrm{~m}\).

\section*{Pseudomma longicaudum sp.n.}

Occurrence:
(Fig. 18A-G)
St. 18ı. 12. iii. 27 (day). Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago, \(160-325 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 imm . Jै, 15.4 mm ., I adult , , with empty brood sac, 21 mm . Types.

Description. General form similar to that of \(P\). schollaertis except that the anterior end is rather more swollen. Carapace very short, emarginate posteriorly, leaving the whole of the last two and most of the third posterior thoracic somites exposed in dorsal view; cervical sulcus feebly marked; the portion of the carapace anterior to it somewhat inflated; antero-lateral angles obtusely rounded (Fig. 18A, B). Eyeplate broad with its anterior margin almost straight with distinct median notch, antero-lateral angles evenly rounded; armed with about \(23-24\) small even spinules extending from about the middle of the anterior margin of each half of the eyeplate to more than half-way along the lateral margin (Fig. 18A). Antenmule much less robust than is usual in the genus. The male specimen is immature and the male lobe is very small with no setae. First segment of peduncle considerably broader than the second with the outer distal angle produced bluntly and armed with a group of long plumose setae; anterior distal margin with a blunt projection quite near the median line and armed with a row of five setae with swollen bases; third segment as long as the first and second together, armed in the female with four very long, densely plumose setae along its inner margin and with a group of two or three similar setae at the inner distal angle. I cannot see these setae in the male but this may be due to its immaturity. In both sexes the blunt projection in the middle of the dorsal surface of the anterior margin of the third segment is very well developed and is armed with four graduated spines and three or four long setae with swollen bases (Fig. 18A-D). Antennal scale long and narrow, more than five times as long as broad; tooth terminating the outer margin very strong; apex extending only
a short distance beyond the tip of the tooth; peduncle slender, very slightly longer than the antennular peduncle and half as long as the scale (Fig. I8A, E). Thoracic appendages. As far as can be ascertained without dissection there appears to be nothing unusual in the form of the first and second pairs of thoracic appendages and, unfortunately, the endopods of all the others are missing. The genital organ of the male is already well developed and is of the usual form found in the genus. Uropods slender and shorter than the unusually long telson. In the adult specimen, the endopod is less than threequarters of the length of the telson excluding the apical spines and the exopods are both broken, so that


Fig. 18. Pseudomma longicaudum sp.n. A, anterior end of female in dorsal view, \(\times 13\); B , immature male in lateral view, \(\times 7\); C , antennular peduncle of immature male, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{D}\), process from distal dorsal margin of third segment of antennular peduncle; E , left antenna of immature male, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{F}\), telson and left uropod of adult female, \(\times \mathrm{I}_{3} ; \mathrm{G}\), telson and right uropod of immature male, \(\times 18\).
it is not possible to tell their length. In the juvenile specimen, the endopod extends almost to the apex of the telson and the exopod extends to the tips of the apical spines, so that probably either the telson becomes proportionally longer as the animal approaches maturity, or the relative lengths of the telson and the uropods differ in the two sexes (Fig. 18F, G). Telson very long and narrow, nearly twice as long as the last abdominal somite; almost three times as broad at the base as at the apex; lateral margins almost straight and converging evenly towards the apex; armed with many spines arranged in series of larger spines with smaller spines in the spaces between them, extending from the level of the statocyst to the apex. Apex armed with two pairs of long, strong spines and, I think, a pair of median setae. There are no setae present on the apex of either of the specimens, but there
appears to be a small median protuberance between the innermost pair of spines and the setae may have been broken off (Fig. 18F, G).

Length of adult female 21.5 mm .
Remarks. The length and armature of the telson is so unusual and the apparent division of the eyeplates so pronounced, that at first I felt that it would be necessary to found a new genus for this species. On closer examination it is apparent that the eyes are fused along the posterior portion of their inner margins and are therefore in conformity with the definition of the genus Pseudomma in this respect. The telson is different from that of any other described species of the genus. Its unsual length in proportion to the lengths of the last abdominal somite and the uropods and the arrangement of the lateral spines, in a series of large spines with smaller ones between them, differentiates P. longicaudum from all the known species of the genus. The male specimen shows no modification in the rami or in the setae of the fourth pair of pleopods but, as they are obviously not fully developed, it may be that modifications may appear in older animals. The telson of the adult female is not in good condition and many of the spines arming its lateral margins are missing. Those which are present indicate that there is less difference in size between the large lateral spines and the smaller ones between them than there is in juvenile animals and that the apical spines are relatively much shorter. In the young specimen these spines are very long, measuring nearly one-sixth of the length of the telson, but in the adult female they only measure about one-fourteenth of the length of the telson.

Distribution. This species was taken at only one station by 'Discovery'-in the Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago, in a net fishing at \(160-336 \mathrm{~m}\).

\section*{Pseudomma magellanensis sp.11.}
(Fig. 19A-C)

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. WS 748. I6. ix. 3 I (night). Magellan Strait, \(300(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I adult \(\delta^{\lambda}, 9.25 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I adult \(q\), with well-developed empty brood sac, 9.4 mm . Types.
Description. This species very closely resembles \(P\). sarsi in general appearance. Carapace with the anterior margin short and only very slightly convex, leaving the whole of the eyeplate exposed (Fig. 19A). Antennule with the third segment longer than broad and longer than the first and second segments together; well-marked rectangular lobe in the middle of the distal margin on the dorsal surface between the bases of the flagella. On the outer side of the anterior margin of this process, there is a strong spine; the inner half of this margin is armed with two very small spines and one or two setae whose bases are not swollen (Fig. 19A). Antennal scale small, three times as long as broad, extending beyond the distal margin of the antennular peduncle for about one-third of its length; apex only very slightly longer than the large tooth which terminates the unarmed outer margin (Fig. 19A). Eyeplate large and very long, extending forward to the proximal margin of the second segment of the antennular peduncle; the eyeplate is not evenly convex from side to side, but rises along the middle line of each of its halves to a marked ridge. This ridge is so distinct that it might almost be regarded as a keel running backward from a small protuberance on the anterior margin and curving backward and downward to a shallow trough, which extends backward from the cleft of the anterior margin. This trough is so well marked and the cleft so deep, that the rudimentary eyes appear to be only contiguous. Close examination, however, reveals that they are of the true Pseudonma pattern. Lateral margins armed with \(9-10\) coarse teeth extending from the antero-lateral angles for three-quarters of the length of the lateral margins (Fig. 19A). Thoracic endopods missing. Fourth pleopod of the male with the exopod longer than the endopod; composed of eight segments; distal segment armed with two very long plumose setae which are slightly modified at their tips; endopod
composed of seven segments and without any modified setae. All the male pleopods have long slender sympods and very slender rami (Fig. 19B). Uropods with the endopod small and tapering, extending for nearly half its length beyond the short telson; exopod broader and considerably longer than the endopod (Fig. 19C). Telson short, lateral margins concave, armed with 8 -10 small spines, which are confined to the distal two-thirds of the margin and form an evenly graduated row increasing in size distally; apex broad and truncate with a very slight emargination in the median line; armed with three pairs of long, slender spines, which become progressively longer towards the median line, and a pair of plumose setae borne on a small papilla. The apex is so broad and the spines arming it are so slender that they are unusually spaced-the space between the innermost pair being especially wide. (Fig. 19C).
Length of adult male 9.25 mm ., of adult female 9.4 mm .


Fig. 19. Pseudomma magellanensis sp.n. A, anterior end of adult male in dorsal view; B, fourth pleopod of male; C, telson and left uropod in dorsal view. All \(\times 20\).

Remarks. This species differs from \(P\). sarsi in its smaller size; in its very deep eyeplate with the two well-marked dorsal ridges and the shallow trough between them; in the fewer, coarser teeth arming the lateral margins of the eyeplate; in the modified exopod of the fourth pleopod of the male and, especially, in the small telson with its broad truncate apex and concave lateral margins. The telson resembles that of P. truncatum in some respects, but in truncatum there are only two pairs of long spines arming the apex.

Distribution. This species has been taken on only one occasion-by 'William Scoresby' in the Strait of Magellan to the east of the northern end of Dawson Island, in a bottom tow-net during daylight working at 300 m . and which failed to close.

\section*{Pseudomma minutum sp.n.}

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. 20A-G)
 Second tube, 2 of adult with empty brood sacs, 4.2 mm .
St. WS 802. 5. i. 32 (day). North of Falkland Is., haul A, \(1_{32-139 ~ m ., ~ f r a g m e n t s ~ o f ~}^{\text {}}\).
St. WS 8o6. 7. i. 32 (day). North-west of Falkland Is., \(\mathrm{I}_{3} 0-\mathrm{I} 23 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(\mathrm{J}^{6}, 4.4 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 2 adult 9 , larger 4.6 mm . of Types.
St. WS 818. 17. i. 32 (day). West-south-west of Falkland Is., 272-278 m., I fo, 4 mm ., 2 ㅇํ, larger 4.5 mm ., ovigerous.

Description. General form small and slender; anterior margin of carapace not produced and only slightly convex. Antenmular peduncle short, rather more robust in the male than in the female
(Fig. \(20 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}\) ). Antemal peduncle comparatively robust, extending slightly beyond the distal margin of the antennular peduncle; scale ovate; outer margin short, terminating in a strong tooth; apex long, comprising more than half of the total scale and extending for more than half its length beyond the antennular peduncle; outer distal angle of the sympod produced into a strong tooth (Fig. 20 A, B). Eyeplates large and deep with a small median cleft; margins entire with no trace of serrulations. In the male the anterior margin is straight and there is a suggestion of a protuberance or angle in the antero-lateral region. In the female both the anterior and lateral margins are evenly arcuate (Fig. 20 A, B). Labrum large, with evenly rounded anterior margin. Endopods of third to eighth thoracic appendages shorter than in most species of the genus (Fig. 20 C). Male genital organ long and cylindrical, armed at the apex with a single long seta (Fig. 20D). Pleopods of the male as described for the genus; exopod of fourth pair slightly longer than the endopod (Fig. 20E). Uropods with no spines


Fig. 20. Pseudomma minulum sp.n. A, anterior end of immature male in dorsal view, \(\times 45\); B, anterior end of adult female in dorsal view, \(\times 36\); C, endopod of fourth thoracic appendage, \(\times 45\); D, genital organ of male, \(\times 45\); E, fourth pleopod of immature male, \(\times 45\); F. telson and right uropod of immature male, \(\times 45\); G, telson and uropods of adult female, \(\times 34\).
arming the inner margin of the endopods (Fig. 20F, G). Telson triangular, very short, length equal to its breadth at the base and only slightly more than half as long as the sixth abdominal somite; lateral margins straight, converging evenly to the small apex; each armed with \(2-3\) very small spines, which are confined to the distal third of the length; apex narrowly rounded, armed with a pair of long spines nearly one-third of the telson in length, and a pair of median plumose setae (Fig. 20F, G).

Length of largest ot, 4.4 mm .; of,+ 4.6 mm .
Remarks. This species closely resembles \(P\). roseum G. O. Sars in the form and proportions of the anterior end, the anterior end of the carapace, the eyeplates, and the antennal scale, but differs in having no serrulations on the antero-lateral margins of the eyeplates. It may at once be distinguished from all other species of the genus by its small size and by the very short triangular telson which in shape recalls those usually found in the genus Erythrops.

Distribution. Pseudomma mimutum was taken at four stations in the present collection, all situated near the Falkland Is. All the captures were made by day in water of \(105^{-278} \mathrm{~m}\). in depth.

1869 Amblyopsis G. O. Sars, p. 328.
1872 Amblyops G. O. Sars, vol. 11, p. 3.
Remaris. The chief difference between this genus and Pseudomma is in the form of the eyes. In both genera these are rudimentary and reduced to immovable, flat plates with no trace of visual elements. In Psendomma, they are fused along their inner margins to form a single thin oblong plate covering the bases of the antennules and antennae. The anterior and lateral margins of this plate may be entire or more or less serrulated. In Amblyops the two eyeplates are thicker and, although they may lie very close together, they are always separate. Their margins are not serrulated, but the anterior dorsal region may be adorned with very fine spinules or bristles. A more or less well-developed ocular papilla is present on the dorsal surface of each eyeplate and, although there are no visual elements, the plates may be diffused with a faint colour or have flecks of reddish pigment on the dorsal surface.

In the past, six species have been referred to the genus Amblyops, A. abbreviata (M. Sars) 1869, A. crozetii (W.-Suhm) G. O. Sars, \(1885 a\), A. kempii (Holt and Tattersall), 1905, A. tenuicanda Tattersall, ı9ıı \(b\), tattersalli Zimmer, 1914, and A. ohlinii W. M. Tattersall, r95ı. These species fall into two groups based on differences in the form of the antenna, which I consider to be of generic significance.

In \(A\). crozetii and \(A\). ohlinii the antennal scale is oval with its outer margin short and terminated by a very strong tooth which has no supplementary tooth on its inner face. The apex is very long and occupies nearly half the whole scale. The antennal peduncle is composed of three segments which lie in the same plane and are articulated in the normal manner. In the other four species the outer margin of the scale is very long and the strong spine which marks its distal end usually extends well beyond the small apex. This spine usually bears one or two very small supplementary spines on its inner face. An articulation marks off a very small distal segment of the apex. The antennal peduncle is composed of four segments, the second very short and bent upward from the plane of the first. The third segment is somewhat swollen and is articulated with the second on its ventral proximal surface and is bent downward so that its proximal end overrides and almost covers the anterior end of the second segment.

In dorsal view the whole of the second segment may be covered and the peduncle appears to consist of the normal three segments but in lateral view the articulation of the segments gives it a peculiar distorted appearance as though the segments were dislocated.

In his description of A. tenuicanda Tattersall (1911, p. 44) states that the antennal peduncle is composed of 'three joints roughly subequal'. I have re-examined specimens of the species from the west of Ireland and find that in dorsal view the peduncle appears as described and figured by Tattersall but in lateral view it can be seen that there are in fact four segments which are articulated as described above.

Three (and possibly four) new species are represented in the Discovery collection. Two of these, A. durbani and \(A\). antarctica, have the antennal scale and peduncle similar to that in \(A\). abbreviata, A. kempii, A. temuicanda and \(A\). tattersalli; the third has a scale and peduncle similar to that in A. crozetii and A. ohlinii. I suggest that this second group might be placed in a new genus Amblyopsoides and that the definition of the genus Amblyops as regards the antenna should be as follows: Antennal scale long and usually narrow; unarmed outer margin terminated by a strong spine or tooth (beyond which the small apex does not extend) which may be armed on its inner face with one or two small supplementary teeth. Small distal suture present. Antennal peduncle composed of four segments. The second and third segments lie in different planes and are articulated, so that the proximal
end of the third segment extends over the distal end of the second segment and may entirely cover it in dorsal view.

The genus Amblyops therefore now contains six species, A. abbreviata, A. kempii, A. temuicauda, \(A\). tattersalli and two new species \(A\). durbani and \(A\). antarctica.

\section*{Amblyops durbani sp.n.}
(Fig. 21 A-H)

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 436. 20. ix. 30 (day). Off Durban, \(416(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I \(\mathrm{\sigma}^{7}, 9.2 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 6 우, largest 10.2 mm . Types.
Description. General form short and more robust than is usual in the genus; all the parts anterior to the cervical sulcus are particularly short, the broadly rounded rostral plate covers more than half the eyeplates, which themselves are very short and cover the whole of the first segments of the antennular peduncles, these again are very short. As a result the anterior part of the animal looks as though it had been telescoped. Carapace short and wide; anterior margin very slightly convex; anterolateral angles broadly rounded; posterior margin only slightly emarginate but the carapace is so short that the last two thoracic somites are completely uncovered in dorsal view (Fig. 21 A). Antennular peduncle very short and broad; outer distal angle of first segment strongly produced and tipped with a group of plumose setae; second segment short with a single long plumose seta on its inner margin; third segment nearly square in dorsal view, almost as long as the second and first segments together, armed along the inner margin with six strong plumose setae with bulbous bases and a group of setae at the inner distal angle at the base of the inner flagellum; outer flagellum twice as stout as the inner (Figs. 21 A, B). Antemnal scale rather broad towards the proximal end, thence tapering evenly towards the apex; outer margin straight with no serrations at the distal end; scale \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) times as long as its greatest breadth, apex very small and evenly rounded, equal in length to the tooth terminating the outer margin, no subsidiary spine on the inner face of the terminal tooth, small distal suture present. Peduncle less than half as long as the scale, four-segmented, of the form described for the genus. Strong spine present on the ventral side of the outer distal corner of the sympod (Fig. 21 A, C). Eyeplates nearly twice as broad as long with a well-developed papilla projecting forward in the median line beyond the anterior margin of each plate; anterior region densely spinulose especially on the antero-lateral angles, the spinules being long and slender (Fig. 20A, D). Endopods of thoracic appendages rather more robust and shorter than is usual in the genus; the male genital organ on the eighth thoracic appendage short and thick, its proximal half swollen; distal half tubular and tipped with three strong setae (Fig. 21 E). Uropods: exopods, \(1 \frac{1}{2}\) times as long as the telson; endopods shorter but extending beyond the tips of the long apical spines of the telson; armed on the inner margin near the statocyst with three long slender graduated spines. In one specimen there were only two spines on one of the uropods though the other had the normal three (Fig. 21 A, F). Telson linguiform, \(1 \frac{3}{4}\) times as long as broad at the base; lateral margins concave near the rounded base and thence nearly parallel to the broadly rounded, almost truncate apex; breadth just proximal to the apex one-fourth of the total length; lateral margins armed along the distal half of their length with about twelve graduated spines, which increase regularly towards the apex and form a continuous series with the long apical spines. Apex armed with three pairs of extremely long spines, of which the innermost pair is the longest and measures one-fourth of the length of the telson. This pair of spines is set close together, so that there is no apparent break in the series running round the telson as in Amblyops obtusa. Under a high magnification two small spinules can be seen in the median line and these are set so closely together that they may appear like a single spinule with a bifid tip. A pair of long plumose setae arises immediately above these spinules (Fig. 21 A, G, H).

Length, largest \(\delta^{\text {th }}, 9.2 \mathrm{~mm}\).; largest \(\mathrm{O}, 10.2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Remarks. This species very closely resembles \(A\). kempii, but may be distinguished by its shorter robust form with the 'telescoped' anterior end; by the almost straight anterior margin of the carapace; by the form of the eyeplates with the well-marked papilla in the middle of each plate, by the absence of serrations on the outer margin of the antennal scale and the absence of an auxiliary tooth; by the presence of three spines on the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod; and, particularly, by the


Fig. 21. Ambylops durbani sp.n. A, adult female in dorsal view, \(\times 8\); B, right antennule, \(\times 22\); C, left antenna, \(\times 22\); D, right eyeplate in dorsal view, \(\times 22\); E, eighth thoracic appendage of male, \(\times 22 ; \mathrm{F}\), right uropod, \(\times 22\); G , telson, \(\times 22\); \(H\), distal end of telson, \(\times 36\).
shape and armature of the telson. In \(A\). kempii the telson is much longer and more slender, the lateral margins being armed with a row of 27-28 graduated spines, which extend over the distal five-sixths of their length, while in \(A\). durbani there are only ro-ri spines, extending along rather less than half of the lateral margins. The apex in \(A\). kempii is more rounded, narrower and bears only two pairs of long spines, which are shorter than those of \(A\). durbani, but the minute median spinules and the plumose setae are precisely alike in both species.

Distribution. The species has at present been taken on only one occasion-in a day oblique haul, \(416(-0) \mathrm{m}\). off Durban. It would thus appear to be a meso-planktonic form.

\section*{Amblyops antarctica sp.n.}

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. 22A-G)
St. 1652. 23. i. 36 (day). Bay of Whales, 567 m ., I imm. \(9,13.2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 1957. 3. ii. 37 (day). South of Clarence I., South Shetlands, \(785-810 \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. \&,\(~ 12 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\). Type.
Remarks. These specimens so closely resemble the description and figures of A. abbreviata (G. O. Sars in M. Sars, 1869, p. 262), that I was at first inclined to refer them to that species. They do, however, show three differences, which I do not consider to be attributable to their immaturity and these, together with the vast difference in geographical distribution, have convinced me that they do represent a new species.


Fig. 22. Amblyops antarctica sp.n. A, anterior end of immature female in dorsal view; B, right antennule; C, right antenna; \(D\), endopod of first thoracic appendage with epipod; \(E\), second thoracic appendage; F, right uropod; \(G\), telson. All \(\times 20\).
A. abbreviata has a wide distribution in the northern waters of the northern hemisphere and has been recorded in the North Atlantic, North Pacific and Arctic Oceans. A. antarctica may be distinguished by its broader antennal scale, the shorter broader eyeplates and by the larger, more crowded spines arming the lateral margins of the telson. In \(A\). abbreviata the antennal scale is at least \(3 \frac{1}{2}\) times as long as broad at its widest part, but in A. antarctica it is less than \(2 \frac{1}{2}\) times as long. In the specimen from station 1652 , the small supplementary spine on the inner margin of the terminal spine of the outer margin of the scale, which is characteristic of \(A\). abbreviata, is present and well-developed, but I am unable to find it in the other specimen. The eyeplates are shorter and proportionally broader in this species than in \(A\). abbreviata, but they show precisely the same microscopic spinulation as in
that species (Fig. 22A). The telson presents the most noticeable difference between the two species, although its proportions and shape are similar. The spines in A. antarctica are confined to less than the distal half of the lateral margins and, except for two or three at the proximal end of the series, they are nearly of the same size throughout. There are from 27-29 on each side (Fig. 22 G). In A. abbreviata there are approximately the same number of spines on each side, but they extend over the distal two-thirds of the margins and are graduated, those at the apex being long and those on the more proximal part of the margins becoming progressively smaller. As a result of the greater size and the closer crowding of these spines, the telson in \(A\). antarctica presents a very characteristic appearance. I do not think that with increased age these differences will disappear, for my specimens are nearly mature and have well-developed though small oostegites.

The first and second thoracic appendages are essentially the same in both species, but the other thoracic endopods are missing (Fig. 22 D, E). I am unable to find any spines on the endopod of the uropods (Fig. 22 F ).

Distribution. Bay of Whales at 167 m . and South Sandwich Isles \(785-810 \mathrm{~m}\).

Amblyops sp. near Amblyops kempi (Holt and Tattersall), 1905
\[
\text { (Fig. } 23 \text { A, B) }
\]

1905 Pseudomma kempi Holt and Tattersall, p. 126.
1906a Pseudomma kempi Holt and Tattersall, p. 33, figs.
1911 \(b\) Amblyops kempi Tattersall, p. 42, figs.
1951 Amblyops kempi, Tattersall and Tattersall, p. 251, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. WS 748. 16. ix. \(3^{1}\) (night). Magellan Strait, \(300(-0)\) m., I imm. ठै, 7.2 mm .
Remarks. The single damaged specimen from station WS 748 very closely resembles Amblyops kempi. The form of the anterior margin of the carapace, the antennular peduncles, the eyeplates, the endopods of the uropods and the armature of the telson conform nearly with the descriptions and figures of the types of \(A\). kempi (Fig. 23 A, B). Unfortunately both antennal scales and the exopods of the uropods are broken, but the portion of these appendages which remains also conforms with A. kempi. The endopods of the third to the eighth thoracic appendages are missing and the pleopods are too immature to have developed any secondary sexual characters.

The only differences from \(A\). kempi which this specimen shows lie in the position of the protuberance on the eyeplates and in the shape of the telson. In \(A\). kempi each eyeplate is drawn out into a lobe at the antero-lateral angle, while in this specimen the protuberance is situated in the median line. In lateral view there is no difference to be seen. The telson shows the only noticeable difference and, had the present specimen been adult, I would have founded a new species for it. The telson is much broader in proportion to its length than in \(A\). kempi and the lateral margins not so deeply concave near the base. There are fewer spines arming the lateral margins- 22 on each side as against 27-28 in \(A\). kempi-but the arrangement of the spines at the apex is precisely alike in the two species (Fig. 23 B). As changes in the number of spines arming the lateral margins of the telson and in the proportions of its length to breadth are known to take place with growth and, since the antennal scales, which usually have marked specific characters, are missing, I do not feel justified in forming a new species for this immature specimen.

There is one interesting feature in the eyeplates which may be due merely to faulty preservation. All over the plates there are rounded darker areas which may represent imperfect ocular elements. I can see no trace of any innervation.

Distribution. Strait of Magellan in \(300-0\) m. A. kempi is known only from the west of Ireland in depths of \(1200-1600 \mathrm{~m}\). With no intermediate records it would be an astonishing geographical range for one species to have, if it also occurred as far south as the Strait of Magellan.


Fig. 23. Amblyops sp. near kempi. A, anterior end of immature male in dorsal view, \(\times 28\); B, telson and left uropod in dorsal view, \(\times 28\).

\section*{Genus Amblyopsoides gen.n.}

Definition. Form of body robust. Carapace deeply produced laterally with ventral margins sinuous; anterior margin feebly convex or produced into a very short obtusely angled rostral plate; posterior margin emarginate leaving the last two or three thoracic somites exposed in dorsal view. Antenmular peduncle short and robust; outer lateral margin of first segment only slightly produced. Anternal scale oval with the apex produced beyond the spine marking the distal end of the unarmed outer margin to a distance equal to nearly half the total length of the scale; no secondary tooth on the inner face of the terminal tooth of the outer margin; small distal suture may be present. Antennal peduncle three-segmented with the segments all in the same plane. Eyes rudimentary, in the form of two large, quadrangular, separate, immovable plates without visual elements; anterior and lateral regions smooth or adorned with minute spinules; well-marked ocular papilla present near anterior margin in the median region. Pleopods in the male as in Amblyops; in the female, reduced to unsegmented plates which are larger than those usually found in Amblyops. Uropods with exopod considerably longer than endopod; single spine present on inner margin of endopod in the region of the statocyst. Telson trapeziform with posterior margin slightly emarginate in median line; lateral margins and apex armed with a close row of spines, which are evenly graduated and not arranged in series; apex armed with two or three pairs of spines and a pair of median plumose setae.

Remarks. Three species are at present included in this genus, \(A\). crozetii ((W.-Suhm) G. O. Sars) which has been recorded from near the Crozet Islands in the southern Indian Ocean, A. ohlinii (W. M. Tattersall) from near the mouth of the Delaware River and \(A\). obtusa sp.n. from the waters of Patagonia.

Amblyopsoides obtusa gen.n., sp.n.

\section*{Occurrence:}
\[
\text { (Fig. } 24 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{J} \text { ) }
\]

St. WS 748. 16. ix. 31 (night). Strait of Magellan, \(300(-0)\) m., I \(9,20.8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
 8 아, largest 22.2 mm ., many fragments. Types.

Description. General form long with a firm robust abdomen. Carapace deeply emarginate posteriorly, leaving the whole of the last two thoracic somites exposed in dorsal view; cervical sulcus
particularly deep and well-marked; lateral margins sinuous as shown in Fig. 22 A; antero-lateral corners produced into a right-angle from which a distinct ridge or keel runs obliquely backward to fade away near the cervical sulcus; anterior margins straight, produced forward to meet at an angle of about \(120^{\circ}\) forming a very short rostral plate, which covers the posterior margins of the eyeplates (Fig. 22B). Abdomen strong and muscular with the sixth somite half as long again as the fifth (Fig. 22A). Antenmule very short and stout, particularly in dorsal view; third segment as long as the first and second combined (Fig. 22A-C). Antennal scale with the naked outer margin relatively short, terminating in a strong tooth, which extends to beyond the distal margin of the third segment of the antennular peduncle; apex very long, occupying nearly one-half of the whole scale; small distal suture present (Fig. \(22 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}\) ). Antennal peduncle less than half as long as the scale; second and third segments sub-equal, armed along their outer margins with a regular row of plumose setae; no spine on outer distal angle of the sympod. Eyeplates large and quadrangular with the ocular papilla well developed and extending beyond the anterior margin of the eyeplate; antero-lateral region densely spinulose; the spinulation extends along the lateral region but becomes more sparse proximally; the anterior margin on the inner side of the papilla is also sparsely spinulose, but the spinules give place to dense, very minute bristles on and around the antero-median borders (Fig. 24A, B, J). Mandibular palp particularly long and strong (Fig. 24 A ). Third to the eighth thoracic appendages with very large, iwell-developed exopods; endopods long and slender with the two-segmented propodus separated from the carpus by a very oblique articulation; dactylus well-developed with a comparatively strong curved nail (Fig. \(24 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{E}\) ). Pleopods as in the other species of the genus in the male; those of the female unusually long (Fig. 24 A). Uropods with the exopods very long, nearly twice as long as the telson and half as long again as the endopods; a single long spine present near the inner distal border of the statocyst (Fig. 24 F ). Telson in the form of a long trapezium with lateral margins straight and converging regularly to the broadly truncate apex; three times as wide at the base as at the apex; hollowed from above in the form of a trowel, so that in dorsal view when attached to the animal it appears much narrower than it actually is; lateral margins armed along the distal three-fifths of their length with a close, evenly spaced row of regularly graduated spines, which increase in size distally and become of such a length that the long apical spines form the natural culmination of the graduated series; apex truncate with a suspicion of emargination in the median region; armed with two pairs of very long spines, of which the inner are slightly longer than the outer, and a pair of minute spines flanking a median pair of long plumose setae. The gap in the regular sequence of spines running along the margins and around the apex caused by the presence of these tiny spines is very striking and serves as a ready means of distinguishing the species from the two other species of the genus (Fig. 24 G, H).

Length of adult male, 20 mm .; of adult female, 22.2 mm .
Remarks. In its general form and particularly in the form of the antennal scale and the telson, A. obtusa closely resembles the two other species of the genus, A. crozetii ((W.-Suhm) G. O. Sars) and A. ohlinii (W. M. Tattersall). But A. obtusa can at once be distinguished from these species by its obtuse-angled anterior end of the carapace, because in both of them this margin forms an evenly rounded curve with no trace of a median angle, and by the armature of the telson. In \(A\). crozetii the apex of the telson is armed with about 14 spines and a pair of median plumose setae. The posterior margin of the telson is definitely emarginate so that the innermost pair of spines do not extend so far back as those next them, although in fact they are slightly longer. The other apical spines are of about equal length, the outermost ones a little shorter and merging into the graduated series of the lateral margins (Sars, \(188_{5} a\), pl. xxxili, fig. 16). The effect of this arrangement of the apical spines in A. crozetii is to make the telson appear to be much more sharply truncate than in the present species.


Fig. 24. Amblyopsoides obtusa gen.n., sp.n. A, adult female in lateral view, \(\times 11\); B, anterior end of male in dorsal view, \(\times 15\); C, right antennular peduncle of female, \(\times_{15} ; \mathrm{D}\), right antenna, \(\times 15\); E, endopod of fourth thoracic appendage, \(\times 15\); F, right uropod, \(\times_{15}\); G, telson, \(\times_{15} ; \mathrm{H}\), apex of telson (enlarged); J, right eyeplate enlarged.

In A. ohlimii the posterior margin of the telson is very slightly emarginate and is armed with three pairs of long spines, the innermost of which is only very slightly longer than the two outer pairs, but as a result of the emargination of the margin their tips reach the same level. There is no gap in the median line. The only specimens which we had at our disposal, when I figured the telson (W. M. Tattersall, 1951, fig. \(45^{c}\) ), were badly damaged and not one had a complete set of spines around the apex, but the scars, where they had been broken off, proved that they had formed a complete sequence with the graduated spines of the lateral margins. The size of the scars of the innermost spines indicates that these had been large and there was no trace of the small median spines which are so characteristic a feature of obtusa. I do not think it likely that such tiny median spines would have been broken off had they ever been present.

The Discovery material is in bad condition and the larger spines arming the apex of the telson are often missing, but the tiny median pair is present in all the specimens. The spinulation of the eyeplates is another useful guide to the identification of the species. In \(A\). crozetii, the only other species from southern waters, the eyeplates are smooth and in \(A\). ohlinii there is very fine, sparse spinulation, confined to a small region at the extreme antero-lateral angle of each plate.

Distribution. The types were taken on the Patagonian Shelf to the west of the Falkland Islands in 403-430 m. and a single adult female was captured in the Strait of Magellan in a night haul with a net, fishing at 300 m ., which failed to close. It would thus appear that \(A\). obtusa is a mesoplanktonic form inhabiting more shallow waters than either of the other species of the genus.

\section*{Genus Paramblyops Holt and Tattersall, 1905}

1905 Paramblyops Holt and Tattersall, p. 124.
Remarks. This genus, closely resembling Amblyops, was instituted for the reception of a new species, Paramblyops rostrata Holt and Tattersall, which differed from Amblyops as follows: (i) Carapace of only moderate size, its anterior margin produced into a long, acutely pointed rostrum partially covering the eyeplates. (2) Eyes of the same degenerate form as in Amblyops, consisting of separate, rounded flat plates without visual elements or pigment, but with the outer, distal angle of each drawn out into a sharp process. (3) Telson large, linguiform with a broadly truncate apex armed with spines but no median setae. Tattersall (19 I I \(b\), p. 48) added a second species, Paramblyops bidigitata, to the genus, its main point of difference being that there were two finger-like processes on each eyeplate.

Three specimens from station 181 and two from station 182 in the Discovery collection must undoubtedly be referred to a new species of this gemus. They show some small differences from the original definition of the genus and a re-examination of specimens of \(P\). rostrata has revealed one important feature overlooked by Holt and Tattersall. In his description of P. bidigitata Tattersall (1911b, p. 48) described sternal processes in the males and young females. Holt and Tattersall (1905) had not mentioned any such processes in \(P\). rostrata and Tattersall, when summarizing the differences between the two species, cites the presence of sternal processes in bidigitata as one of the distinguishing characters of the species. When I found that similar processes were present in the new species, P. brevirostris, I re-examined specimens of \(P\). rostrata in my husband's collection and found that in all the males and in young females, in which the oostegites were only just beginning to appear, there were sternal processes precisely as in bidigitata and brevirostris. There was no trace of them in more mature females. I have therefore added this character to the definition of the genus which should be revised as follows: Carapace of moderate size with anterior margin produced into a triangular rostrum of greatly varying length; antennal scale long, with the terminal spine of the outer margin extending beyond the truncate apex; one or two spines on the outer distal angle of the sympod; eyeplates
separate, in the form of flat, rounded plates without visual elements or pigment, the anterior margin produced, either on the outer angle only or on both anterior angles, into spine-like processes; margins of eyeplates may be spinulose. Labrum broader than long, produced anteriorly into a short, more or less pointed, projection. A long spear-like outgrowth, arising from the anterior end of the head in the vertical plane, extends forwards between the antennular peduncles almost to the distal end of the third segment. In dorso-ventral view this outgrowth appears very slender, but in lateral view it is quite broad proximally. Strong, curved, forwardly directed, spinulose sternal processes on the second to the eighth thoracic sterna in males and immature females. Thoracic appendages and pleopods as in Aniblyops. Telson large, linguiform, with broad, truncate apex armed with 5-6 pairs of spines of varying size, with or without median setae; lateral margins armed with \(12-23\) short strong spines.

Three species are now included in this genus, two from Irish waters and one from the Antarctic.

\section*{Paramblyops brevirostris sp.n.}

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. \(25 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{F}\) )
St. 181. I2. iii. 27 (day). Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago, i60-335 m., 3 of, 2 ovig., largest 16 mm. \& Types.
St. 182. 14. iii. 27 (day). Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago, 278-500 m., I §̂, imm., I3 mm., I 9 , adult, 15 mm . ô Type.

Description. Carapace short, produced anteriorly into a blunt right-angled rostrum partially covering the eyeplates but not extending forward as far as their distal margins; deeply emarginate posteriorly, leaving the last three thoracic somites exposed in dorsal view; very shallow laterally leaving the bases of the thoracic appendages exposed. I am unable to make out any spinules on the margins of the rostrum (Fig. 25 A, B). Antennular peduncles short and not very robust; the hirsute lobe of the male is large although the specimen is not mature and the pleopods not well developed (Fig. 25 A, B). Antenna with the peduncle long and extending considerably beyond the antennular peduncles; composed of three segments which do not overlap in any way; scale large and broad with the apex less oblique than in the other two species of the genus; two strong spines at the distal outer corner of the sympod, one on either side of the base of the outer margin of the scale (Fig. 25A, B). Eyeplates rather widely separated, anterior margins somewhat convex, minutely and sparsely spinulose; antero-lateral angle produced into a strong pointed process (Fig. 25A). Labrum broader than long; anterior margin produced into a strong point (Fig. 25C).

Immediately anterior to the labrum, arising from the median line running over the anterior end of the head, there is a very strong spear-shaped process, which projects forward between the antennules extending almost to the distal end of the antennular peduncle. In dorso-ventral view, this process is very slender, but if the antennules are moved aside it is seen to be wide at its base, tapering off to a fine point distally. It was at first thought to be a prolongation of the anterior margin of the labrum and was erroneously described as such in the original description of \(P\). rostrata.

On each of the second to the eighth thoracic sterna in the male specimen, there is borne in the median line a very strong, forwardly curved, hispid, transparent process. These processes are not present in any of the female specimens, which are all fully adult (Fig. 25B). Endopods of the third to the eighth thoracic appendages long and extremely slender; carpus nearly three times as long as the two-segmented propodus and separated from it by a very oblique articulation; dactylus forming a strong claw with the nail. The distal end of the endopod is densely covered with long non-plumose setae (Fig. 25 D). Uropods only slightly longer than the telson. I can find no trace of the single spine on the endopod, which has been recorded in both P. rostrata and P. bidigitata (Fig. 25E). Telson
large, broadly linguiform with broad, truncate apex; lateral margins armed throughout with a regular row of \(17-23\) strong short spines, which extend to the apex leaving no gap between the lateral and apical spines; distal two or three spines on each side becoming progressively longer, so that the outermost apical spine forms the natural culmination of a series. The number of lateral spines seems to increase with the growth of the animals, for the immature specimen has but eighteen, while the largest has twenty-three on each side (Fig. 25 E, F) ; apex armed with five pairs of large spines, which are regularly graduated with the longest on the outer side and the shortest in the middle; between the innermost pair there is a pair of very small spines but no median plumose setae (Fig. \(25 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}\) ).

Length of adult female, 16 mm .


Fig. 25. Paramblyops brevirostris sp.n. A, anterior end of female in dorsal view, \(\times 20 ; \mathrm{B}\), anterior end of immature male in lateral view with thoracic appendages dissected away to expose sternal processes, \(\times 10\); C, labrum; D, endopod of seventh thoracic appendage, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{E}\), posterior end (telson and uropods) of smallest female in dorsal view, \(\times 20 ; \mathrm{F}\), telson of largest female in dorsal view, \(\times 20\).

Remarks. This species can at once be recognized by the short, rectangular rostrum, by the convex, spinulose anterior margins of the eyeplates, the large antennal scale, the large number of spines arming the lateral margins of the telson and by the armature of its apex.
Distribution. The species has been taken only in the Schollaert Channel in the Palmer Archipelago and is the only representative of the genus to have been recorded from the southern hemisphere. From the character of the thoracic endopods, it would appear to be a bottom-living form, living on mud and this suggestion is borne out by the facts that the specimens are very dirty, and at both stations they were captured in a tow-net attached to a trawl.

\section*{Genus Dactylamblyops Holt and Tattersall， 1906}
\(1906 b\) Dactylamblyops Holt and Tattersall，p． 8.

\section*{Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall， 1906}
\(1906 b\) Dactylamblyops hodgsomi Holt and Tattersall，p． 9.
1906a Dactylerythrops arcuata Illig，p． 200.
1908 Dactylamblyops hodgsoni，Tattersall，p．30，pl．vi，figs．9－16．
1914 Dactylamblyops hodgsoni，Zimmer，p．391，pl．xxiv，figs．17－19．

\section*{Occurrence：}

St．138．22．xii． 26 （day）．Off South Georgia， \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I \(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{II} \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．151．16．i． 27 （day）．Off South Georgia，1275－1025 m．，I ठ̂，I q．
St．169．22．ii． 27 （day）．West of South Orkneys， \(1100-1000 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) 와， 12 mm ．
St．204．6．iv． 27 （day）．Bransfield Strait，South Shetlands， \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I adult \(甲, 22 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．208．7．iv． 27 （day）．Off Livingstone I．，South Shetlands， \(800(-0)\) m．， \(1 \delta^{\circ}, 13 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) fof， 13.5 mm ．， 23 juv．， 6－7 mm．
St． 300 ．20．i． 30 （day）．South Georgia， \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 1 juv．， \(6 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．302．21．i． 30 （day）．South Georgia， \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) juv．,+ 5 mm ．
St．303．21．i． 30 （day）．South Georgia， \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I juv．， 6 mm ．
St．305．21／22．i． 30 （night）．South Georgia， \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 1 juv．， 45 mm ．
St．322．31．i． 30 （day）．South Georgia， \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 2 juv．， 6.5 mm ．
St． 323 ．31．i． 30 （day）．South Georgia， \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 3 juv．， \(5^{-6} \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．334．4．ii． 30 （day）．South Georgia， \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 2 small juv．
St．337．5．ii． 30 （day）．South Georgia， \(75^{\circ}-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I juv．+ ， 4.5 mm ．
St． \(344.7 / 8\) ．ii． 30 （night）．South Georgia．Two hauls：（i） \(750-500 \mathrm{~m} .\), I juv．， 7 mm ．；（ii） \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} .\), I juv．， 4.5 mm ．
St．353．9．ii． 30 （day）．South Georgia， \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 19,12.4 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．357．10．xi． 30 （dusk to night）．South Georgia，750－500 m．，I juv．
St．358．11．ii． 30 （night）．South Georgia， \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) juv．， 6 mm ．

St．661．2．iv．31．West of Sandwich Isles．Three hauls：（i） \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．（day），I do，I 9 ， 12 juv．；（ii）2000－1 500 m ． （night），I of，I \(\circ\) ，both adult；（iii） \(3000-2000 \mathrm{~m}\) ．（night），I adult \(\uparrow, 12 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．

 10 mm．， 4 juv．6－7 mm．； 2 ôठิ， \(14 \cdot 5-16 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．666．17．iv． \(3^{1}\)（day）．North－east of South Georgia， \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 8\) juv．， \(6.5-7 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St． \(671.22 / 23\) ．iv． 3 （ （night）．West of Gough I．， \(1500-1000 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I 8 ， 10 mm ．
St．1561．4．iv． 35 （night）．East of Marion I．，1250－0 m．， 2 juv．
 （locality doubtful，tube broken）．
St． 1702 ．17．iii． 36 （day）．Ice Edge，off Wilke＇s Land，2000－1250 m．，I adult 9.
St． 1715 ．23．iii． 36 （night）．Ice Edge，off Budd＇s High Land， \(1400-1100\) m．，I adult ô．


St．1871．12．xi． \(3^{6}\)（day）．East of South Shetland Is．， \(1450-1000\) m．， 4 9 f，with large empty brood sacs．
St．1917．3．xii． 36 （day）．Off South Georgia， \(1400-1000\) m．，I ㅇ．
St．1919．4．xii． 36 （day）．Off South Georgia， \(1800-1300 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I imm．ㅇ．
St．1944．2．i． 37 （day）．North of South Orkney Is．， \(1500-1200 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) すすす．
St．1946．3．i． 37 （day）．West of South Orkney Is．， \(1700-1300 \mathrm{~m} .\), I \({ }^{\mathbf{1}}, 3\) ¢q．
St．1966．16．ii． 37 （day）．North of South Orkney Is．， \(1800-1500 \mathrm{~m}\) ， 2 adult đ̛お， 1 juv．
St．1970．18．ii． 37 （day）．Scotia Sea， \(1800-1500 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I adult \({ }^{\hat{0}}\) ， \(10 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．1972．28．ii． 37 （day）．Scotia Sea， \(2100-1400 \mathrm{~m} ., 4\) damaged \(\widehat{\text { §े }}\)
St．1989．ro．iii． 37 （day）．East of South Georgia， \(1500-1200\) m．， 6 if， 2 breeding．

St. 1991. ir. iii. 37 (day). West of South Sandwich Is., \(1500-1000 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) ôd, I \& f, I juv.
St. 1993. 12. iii. 37 (day). South of South Sandwich Is., \(950-650\) m., I of, 2 9f, fragments.
St. 1999. 15. iii. 37 (day). Ice Edge, south of South Sandwich Is., \(1000-500 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) adult \(\delta\).
St. 2006. 19. iii. 37 (day). Ice Edge, south-east of South Sandwich Is., 1750-1.400 m., I adult \(q\).
St. 2018. 26. iii. 37 (night). West of Bouvet I., \(1000-750\) m., I of, 2 ff, all adult.
St. WS 22. 30. xi. 26 (day). Off South Georgia, \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} .\), I juv.
St. WS 30. 19/20. xii. 26 (night). Off South Georgia, 750-500 m., I small juv., 6.5 mm .
St. WS 38. 22. xii. 26 (night). East of South Georgia, \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) juv., 7 mm .
St. WS 44. 8. i. 27 (day). South Georgia, \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\)., I small juv.
St. WS 144. 19. i. 28 (day). Off South Georgia, 270-100 m., I juv.
St. WS 385. i6. ii. 29 (night). Bransfield Strait, South Shetland Is., \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult f, 18 mm .
St. WS 976 . 6. iii. 50 (day). 200 miles west of Walvis Bay, \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\)., I juv. 0 , 6 mm . (Bad condition.)
Remarks. This species may be recognized by its small pear-shaped eyes with the well-developed finger-like process from the inner distal margin of the eyestalk, by the comparatively long antennular peduncles which are only slightly shorter than the antennal scale, by the bluntly rounded rostrum with its convex lateral margins and by the linguiform telson armed around its distal half with a regularly graduated row of spines with the largest at the apex. The only other species of the genus at present known from Antarctic waters is D. antarctica Hansen, 1913. This specics has large, short, very broad, obliquely set eyes, which are quite far apart, with a broadly rounded rostrum between them and a small antennal scale which has no tooth at the distal end of the naked outer margin.

Distribution. The Discovery collections prove that this species has a circumpolar distribution south of \(50^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\). The type was dredged at about 3700 m . in the Ross Sea. Zimmer (1914) recorded it from several stations south-west of Heard Island in the South Indian Ocean and Illig (r930) from seven stations around Bouvet Island in the South Atlantic.

It was taken at forty-two stations by 'Discovery' and 'Discovery II' and at seven by 'William Scoresby' (sometimes in more than one haul at a station), mostly around South Georgia and the South Sandwich Group ranging from the South Orkneys and South Shetlands to the Ross Sea and along the Ice Edge of the South Pacific and Indian Oceans.

It most commonly occurs between depths of \(1000-500 \mathrm{~m}\). but has been taken at over 3500 m . On one occasion only has it been captured at less than 500 m ., at station WS 144. The species has always occurred in small numbers, usually only one or two specimens appearing in a haul.

1930 Gibberythrops Illig, p. 43 I .

\section*{Genus Gibberythrops Illig, \(193^{\circ}\)}

Remarks. Only one of the previously described species of the genus, G. acanthura, is represented in the present material, but in a haul taken by 'Discovery II' to the west of Cape Town, there is a single immature male specimen which closely resembles G. philippinensis, differing only in the much less convex anterior margin of the carapace, which is produced forward to form a broad rostral plate; in the very large peculiar eyes; in the form of the antennal scale and in details in the armature of the uropods and telson. This specimen is in very bad condition and the endopods of the third to the eighth thoracic appendages are missing. The pleopods are small and very immature. It would, nevertheless, seem to represent a new species which I refer, somewhat doubtfully, to the genus Gibberythrops.

\section*{Gibberythrops acanthura (Illig), 1906}

1906a Parerythrops acanthura Illig, p. 197, figs.
1930 Gibberythrops acanthura (Illig), p. 431, figs.
1936 Erythrops (Gibberythrops) acanthura Coifmann, p. 32, figs.
1951 Gibberythrops acanthura, W. M. Tattersall, p. 122, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 1568. il. iv. 35 (night). South-east of Durban, \(1400-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., i d, 6.8 mm . (in very bad condition).
St. I586. 2. v. 35 (night). North-west of Seychelles, \(55^{-0} 0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm.
St. 1587 . 3. v. 35 (night). South of Cape Guardafui, \(450-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(, 7,7.6 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Remarks. The Discovery specimens agree closely in all particulars with the published descriptions and figures, except that there is only one spine on the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod near the statocyst. Coifmann recorded that there were two spines in this position in her specimens but Illig made no mention of this point nor did he figure any armature on the endopod of the uropod. I am able to add one more detail to the published description of the eyes in this species. These organs are badly damaged in the specimen from station 1568 , but are quite well preserved in the other specimen. In shape and size they are precisely as figured by Illig ( \(1930, \mathrm{p} .43^{1}\) ) with the widest part near the distal margin of the eyestalk and with the small cornea occupying only about one-third of the whole organ. There is a distinct ocular papilla on the middle of the dorsal surface near the cornea. I can find no record of this in any of the literature, but as the specimen agrees so closely in all essential particulars with G. acanthura, I can only regard the papilla as an individual variation, or conclude that it has escaped the observation of previous workers.

Distribution. G. acanthura has been recorded from the Arabian Sea and from the south-west of Ceylon (Illig, 1906a and 1930); from south of the Red Sea (Coifmann, 1936); the Gulf of Aden and central Arabian Sea (Tattersall, 1939) and the Philippines (Tattersall, 1951). Its capture to the southeast of Durban by 'Discovery II' considerably extends its known geographical range to the southward.

\section*{Gibberythrops megalops sp.n.}

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. 26A-C)
St. 100 C. 4. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, \(2500(-0)\) m., I imm. ot, 6 mm . Type.
Description. Carapace very inflated anterior to the cervical sulcus; anterior margin produced into a broad, bluntly rounded rostral plate, which covers the bases of the eyestalks and extends beyond the distal margin of the first segment of the antennular peduncles; antero-lateral angles rounded (Fig. 26A). No sternal processes on the thoracic somites. Antennular peduncle short and robust; third segment equal in length to the first and second together; distal margin of first segment straight (Fig. 26A). Antennal scale slender, shorter than the antennular peduncle; distal half of outer margin setose, forming large apex; no thorn marking the termination of the naked outer margin. This may be due to the immaturity of the specimen, for in \(G\). acanthura a female of 7 mm . has a well-developed tooth at the distal end of the naked outer margin of the scale, but a male of 5.5 mm . has no trace of one. Antennal peduncle nearly twice as broad as the scale. No spine on outer distal angle of the sympod (Fig. 66A). Eyes very large. Possibly owing to poor preservation, the eyes appear as large dark masses with no definite division into cornea and eyestalks, each surrounded by a wide transparent border of very thin chitin (Fig. 26A). Pleopods very undeveloped, consisting of a sympod bearing two, as yet unsegmented, rami. Uropods: exopods half as long again as the telson; endopods only slightly shorter, armed with a single very slender spine on the inner margin near the statocyst (Fig. 26B). Telson, longer than in other species of the genus, sub-equal in length to the sixth abdominal somite; twice as long as broad at its base; lateral margins nearly straight, converging to the narrow apex, armed along the distal third of their length with a regularly graduated row of eleven small spines which become progressively longer distally; apex narrowly rounded, armed with a pair of very long straight spines (which are one-fourth of the length of the telson) flanking three long plumose setae (Fig. 26B, C).

Length of immature male, 6 mm .

Remarks. This new species closely resembles \(G\). philippinensis in the shape of the telson, the number and arrangement of the spines arming it and in the proportions and shape of the antennules and antennae. In \(G\). philippinensis the outer margin of the scale ends in a strong thorn, but in many species a thorn in this position does not appear until the animals are fully grown, and its absence in G. megalops may be merely due to immaturity.


Fig. 26. Gibberytlrops megalops sp.n. A, anterior end of immature male in dorsal view, \(\times 28 ; \mathrm{B}\), telson and left uropod, \(\times 28\); C, apex of telson (enlarged).
G. megalops can at once be distinguished from the other species of the genus by the well-developed broad rostral plate, which is produced forward to cover the bases of the eyes and the whole of the first segments of the antennular peduncles, by the presence of a slender spine in the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod, by the relatively greater length of the telson, the length of the apical spines and by the presence of three long plumose setae between them. The most remarkable feature of the specimen is the peculiar form of the eyes but, as this may not be normal, I have relied upon other features for the identification of the species and trust that further specimens may come to light so that the true nature of these organs may be ascertained.

Distribution. Atlantic Ocean, to the west of Cape Town.

\section*{Genus Meterythrops S. I. Smith, 1879}

1879 Meterythrops S. I. Smith, p. 93.
1879 Parerythrops (pars) G. O. Sars, p. 98.
Remarks. This genus very closely resembles Parerythrops in the general form of the antennules, antennae, eyes, mouth-parts and telson, but differs in the form of the first pleopods of the male. In Parerythrops these appendages are rudimentary as in the female, but in Meterythrops they are as in Erythrops, well-developed, biramous, with the exopod normal and multiarticulate and the endopod reduced to a single segment.

Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall, 1905
1905 Meterythrops picta Holt and Tattersall, p. 116, figs.
1906a Meterythrops picta, Holt and Tattersall, p. 23.
191 \(b\) Meterythrops picta, Tattersall, p. 28.
1951 Meterythrops picta, Tattersall and 'Tattersall, p. 113, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 87. 25. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, \(1000(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2\) juv. dơ \(^{\top}, 6.4-7 \mathrm{~mm} ., 1\), , 10 mm .
St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). Off Cape Town, \(1000(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., 1 imm. or \(^{6}, 9.2 \mathrm{~mm}\)., and 2 fragments.
St. 100. 2. x. 26 (night). West of Cape Town, \(475(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 1{ }^{\star}, 8.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).



St. 267. 23. vii. 27 (night). West of Angra Pequena, \(550-450(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 4\) juv. \(9+9,4 \cdot 2-6 \mathrm{~mm}\). ; (second tube) I imm. i, 6 mm .
St. 407. 12. vi. 30 (day). South-west of Cape Town, \(950-800 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(\mathcal{O}, 13.4 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 700. 18. v. 21 (day). North-east of Cape Verde Is., \(2025-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 , 9.2 mm .
St. I569. 12. iv. 35 (night). South-east of Durban, \(1200-500 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) 우, ir .8 mm . and 13.6 mm .
St. 1604. 29. x. 35 (night). South-east of St Helena, 620-500 m., i juv. J. 7.5 mm .
St. 1606. 3I. x. 35 (night). West of Angra Pequena, \(600-500 \mathrm{~m} ., 4+9,7 \cdot 2-10.8 \mathrm{~mm}\). and fragments.
St. 1761. 3.v. 36 (day). South of Madagascar and east of East London, \(1800-650 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \({ }^{6}, 6.4 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Distribution. This species is a bathypelagic form widely distributed in the temperate and northern waters of the Atlantic. It has been recorded on many occasions from northern European waters and once from the West Atlantic off Long Island, U.S.A. (Tattersall, 1951). It has been recorded from South African waters, west of Cape Town (Zimmer, 1914; Illig, 1930), and seven of the stations at which it was taken by 'Discovery' and 'Discovery II' are in the same area. The other five stations are all in or near South African waters, three off the west coast and two in the Indian Ocean to the east of Cape Colony.

Genus Katerythrops Holt and Tattersall, 1905

\section*{1905 Katerythrops Holt and Tattersall, p. 117.}

Remarks. This genus is characterized by the inflation of the cephalic region of the carapace; by the short rostral projection which covers only the bases of the eyes; by the long, relatively robust antennular peduncles; by the extremely small antennal scale, which is narrower and usually shorter than the peduncle and with the apex very little, if at all, longer than the tooth terminating the outer margin; by the presence of a well-marked ocular papilla on the eyestalk; by the very long sixth abdominal somite which is longer than the fourth and fifth somites together; by the very long uropods and the triangular telson with unarmed lateral margins and narrow apex armed with two pairs of spines. A pair of median setae or bristles may or may not be present.

Three species have, up to the present, been referred to this genus, K. oceanae Holt and Tattersall, K. parva Zimmer, and \(K\). tattersalli Illig. I am now able to add a fourth species to the genus, K. resimora.

In \(K\). parva and \(K\). tattersalli the telson is very short and is shaped like an equilateral triangle with the lateral margins almost straight and converging to a very narrow apex. In K. oceanae and the new species the telson is pear-shaped with the lateral margins very convex proximally, but straight in the middle region of its length and slightly concave distally so that the distal third of the telson is very narrow and elongate. K. resimora closely resembles K. oceanae in the form, proportions and shape of the antennules and antennae, but may be distinguished from oceanae by the very large, well-developed eyes in which the cornea is considerably wider than the eyestalks and by the more produced rostral plate with its characteristic upturned margins. A further difference may be seen in the armature of the apex of the telson. In \(K\). oceanae the outer pair of spines is very slightly, if at all, shorter than the inner pair, but in \(K\). resimora the outer spines measure less than a third of the length of the inner pair.

\section*{Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall, 1905}

1905 Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall, p. 117, pl. xx, figs. i-6.
1906 a Katerythrops oceanae, Holt and Tattersall, p. 24.
1906 a Katerythrops dactylops Illig, p. 198, fig. 5 A-B.
191I \(b\) Katerythrops oceanae, Tattersall, p. 30.
1930 Katerythrops oceanae, Illig, p. 432, figs. 55, 56.
195 I Katerythrops oceanae, Tattersall and Tattersall, p. 214 , fig. 47A-F.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 87. 25. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, \(1000(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 3\) ôd, \(6 \cdot 8-8.4 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). Off Cape Town, \(1000(-0)\) m., 1 adult \({ }^{\circ}, 8 \cdot 4\) mm., I juv. ơ, 1 juv. \(\circ\), fragments.
St. 100D. 2. x. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, \(675-625 \mathrm{~m} .\), I \(9,6 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 256. 23. vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, 1 1oo- \(850(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., 2 앙, 9.6 mm .
St. 267. 23. vii. 27 (night). West of Orange River estuary, \(550-450(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 1\) juv. \(\delta, 44 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 700. 18. v. \(3^{\text {I ( }}\) (day). North-east of Cape Verde Is., \(2025^{-0} \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult § \({ }^{\text {§ }}, 6.8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. \(7^{14}\). \(3^{0}\). x. \(3^{11}\) (night). Atlantic, east of Montevideo, \(24^{6-0} \mathrm{~m}\)., I ô imm., 7.5 mm .
St. 1555. 29. iii. 35 (night). South of South Africa, \(1000-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. \({ }^{\circ}, 5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 1575. 24. iv. 35 (night). Between Madagascar and Portuguese East Africa, \(800-\mathrm{om}\)., I juv., 2.5 mm .
St. 1739. 17. iv. 36 (day). West of Perth, Western Australia, \(3000-2000(-0) \mathrm{m}\). , I i, 6.2 mm .
St. 1753. 27. iv. 36 (day). North-west of New Amsterdam, South Indian Ocean, 2900-1400 m., \(1 \quad \circ\), not fully mature, 6.8 mm .
St. WS 976. 6. iii. 50 (day). 200 miles west of Walvis Bay, South Africa, \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\)., I small juv., 2.5 mm .
Remarks. The carapace in this species is very thin and membranous and the specimens are not in good condition. As a result the anterior region of the carapace, which is normally inflated, has become crushed and distorted in some cases and does not present the characteristic appearance of the types but seems more produced anteriorly. The only other difference shown by these specimens is in the length of the antennal scale. In young specimens the scale is extremely small, but may become proportionally longer in some animals, until it may overreach its own peduncle. I have found among the specimens in the Discovery collection that the scale varies in length, being either shorter than the antennal peduncle or longer by one-fifth of its length. In every case the scale is very delicate and narrow and has the bowed shape described in the type. I do not find that this increase in length is always correlated with the size of the animal and this bears out the observation of Holt and Tattersall ( \(1906 a, \mathrm{p} .24\) ) that the scale in an adult male of 8 mm . had the same proportions as that shown by the immature type specimens.

The cornea of the eyes even in preserved specimens is a clear orange yellow and the ocular papilla is well developed. On the label for station 87 there is the following note on colour: 'Cornea of eyes orange. Large dorsal black patch on anterior part of carapace. Last three abdominal somites blackish -otherwise colourless in two specimens. In one specimen pinkish red pigment on anterior I and 2 (somites) and all thoracic legs.'
The form of the first pleopod of the male and of the telson is most consistent throughout.
Distribution. This species is a bathypelagic and mesopelagic form, widely distributed in the North and South Atlantic and in the Indian Oceans. The type was taken off the south-west coast of Ireland and the species has since been recorded from the Azores and Canaries (Hansen), West Atlantic (as K. dactylops) (Illig), South Africa (as K. dactylops) (Zimmer), Bahamas and Bermudas (Tattersall) and the mouth of the Congo and New Amsterdam (Illig). Seven of the ten stations at which it was taken by the ships of the 'Discovery' Investigations are from the South Atlantic, three in the Indian Ocean, one north-west of New Amsterdam, one west of Perth, Australia, and one from between Portuguese East Africa and Madagascar.

\section*{Katerythrops resimora sp.n.}

Occurrence:
\[
\text { (Fig. } 27 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{J} \text { ) }
\]

St. 256. 23. vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, \(1100-850(-0)\) m., 1 adult ot with posterior part of pleon missing; 2 영, adult, 9.8 and 10.2 mm . Types.
Description. General form moderately robust. Carapace inflated anterior to the cervical sulcus, but not so markedly as in K. oceanae. Rostral plate with the anterior margin almost straight and turned
upward at right-angles to the plane of the carapace; the lateral margins are also bent upward, so that in dorsal view the rostrum appears to be truncate with the lateral margins straight and meeting the anterior margin in an obtuse angle on each side (Fig. 27A). Antennular peduncle short and robust, distal margin of first segment produced at the outer angle ; third segment larger than the first and second together; hirsute lobe of the male particularly large with extremely long and dense setae (Fig. 27 B). Antennal scale small, slender, arcuate, only very slightly longer than the peduncle; apex equal in length to the thorn terminating the outer margin; peduncle nearly twice as wide as the scale and reaching to the middle of the third segment of the antennular peduncle. The scale normally lies obliquely, crossing inward over the penduncle which is directed outward in the normal way; no spine on the outer distal angle of the sympod (Fig. 27, C). Eyes well developed with normal functioning ocelli,


Fig. 27. Katerythrops resimora sp.n. A, anterior end of female in dorso-lateral view, \(\times 12 ; B\), left antennule, \(\times 20\); C, left antenna, \(\times 20\); D, first thoracic appendage with epipod, \(\times 20\); E, endopod of second thoracic appendage, \(\times 20\); F , enlarged spine from first thoracic endopod; G, endopod of third thoracic appendage, \(\times 20 ; \mathrm{H}\), telson and right uropod of female, \(\times 20\); J, telson of male, \(\times 3\).
globular and not flattened dorso-ventrally, set widely apart with the wide straight anterior margin of the rostral plate between them; well-developed ocular papilla present on the dorsal surface of the eyestalk. This papilla is relatively larger in the male specimen than in the females; pigment in preserved specimens, a clear golden brown (Fig. 27 A). Labrum large, with rounded anterior margin. First and second thoracic appendages similar to those of Erythrops. First pair more robust and almost as long as the second. Both pairs armed with strong spines which are regularly and strongly spinulose (Fig. 27 D, E, F). Third to the eighth thoracic appendages long and slender; carpus nearly twice as long as the twosegmented propodus; dactylus fused with the nail to form a very long, slender claw. The thoracic endopods in the type species, \(K\). oceanae, are longer and more slender than in this species ( Fig .27 G ). Pleopods of the female rudimentary, in the form of simple setose plates; pleopods of the male, well developed, biramous and normal except for the first pair in which the endopod is reduced to a single segment. Uropods long and slender; exopod bowed outward, twice as long as the telson; endopod slightly shorter, tapering; no spines on the inner margin (Fig. \({ }_{27} \mathrm{H}\) ). Telson small, narrowly triangular; equal in length to the last abdominal somite; lateral margins concave, naked, converging to
the very narrow apex; apex armed with two pairs of spines; inner pair very long, one-fourth as long as the telson; outer pair half as long as the inner; no median plumose setae (Fig. \(27 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}\) ). Marsupium composed of two pairs of brood lamellae.

Length of larger female, 10.2 mm . The male specimen is broken, but I think that it would have been larger than the females.

Remaris. This species very closely resembles \(K\). oceanae especially as regards the antennae and the tail fan, but may be distinguished from it by the larger eyes in which the cornea is wider than the eyestalk; by the straight upturned edges of the rostral plate, the less inflated carapace and by the shorter and less slender endopods of the thoracic appendages.

Distribution. Known only from the west of Table Bay, South Africa.

\section*{Genus Heteroerythrops gen.n.}

Diagnosis. Carapace inflated anterior to the cervical sulcus; anterior margin very short, produced into a bluntly rounded right-angled rostrum leaving the whole of the eyes exposed. Antennular peduncle long and robust; outer distal angle of first segment not produced; articulation between second and third segments very oblique. Antennal scale very small and narrow with rounded apex; no spine at distal end of naked portion of outer margin; antemal peduncle long and robust. Eyes large and globular; not dorso-ventrally compressed; set widely apart; no ocular papilla. First thoracic endopod robust with a long narrow lobe from the second segment. Second thoracic appendage as in Erythrops. Uropods very long with the endopod nearly as long as the exopod. Telson very short, triangular, lateral margins straight and unarmed; apex very narrow and armed with one or two pairs of spines.

\section*{Heteroerythrops purpura gen.n., sp.n.}

Occurrence:
(Fig. 28A-H)
St. i606. 31. x. 35 (night). West of Angra Pequena, \(600-500 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(\stackrel{+}{+}, 6.2 \mathrm{~mm}\). Type.
Description. Carapace relatively large and considerably inflated anterior to the cervical sulcus. Rostral plate short with lateral margins nearly straight, converging to the bluntly rounded apex; antero-lateral angles slightly produced and rounded; posterior margin straight and transverse except for a median semicircular emargination, which leaves the last two segments exposed in dorsal view (Fig. 28A). Antennular peduncle with the first and third segments sub-equal in length; distal margin of first segment transverse and not produced at its outer angle; posterior margin of third segment very oblique so that the outer margin of the second segment is very much longer than the inner (Fig. \(28 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B})\). Antennal peduncle shorter than the antennular peduncle; first and second segments relatively broad; third segment more slender and nearly twice as long as the second; scale very small and narrow, slightly shorter than the peduncle; apex rounded; proximal two-thirds of outer margin naked and not ending in a thorn or spine; distal third and inner margin armed with long plumose setae; no distal suture; no spine on outer distal angle of sympod (Fig. 28C). Eyes large and globular; not flattened dorso-ventrally; set very widely apart and extending well beyond the lateral margins of the carapace. There is a distinct swelling at the base of the eyestalk, but I am unable to say whether this is natural or due to distortion. I am unable to find any ocular papilla. Colour note in bottle, 'eyes brilliant golden'. Mandibles: palp unusually large, extending forward beyond the distal margin of the second segment of the antennular peduncle; second segment very broad with outer margin very convex; third segment long and narrow with parallel sides (Fig. 28A, D). Maxilla similar to Erythrops. The exopod is armed with a number of unusually strong, very plumose setae in which the 'plumes' are so long and thick that each seta has the form of a thick brush (Fig. 28E). First thoracic endopod robust; armed
along its outer margin with very strong barbed spines; lobe from second segment long and narrow, armed distally with a group of long plumose setae. This lobe is continued backwards as a flat ridge fused with the segment and armed along its outer edge with 9 -10 very long plumose setae; no lobes from third and fourth segments; one very strong plumose seta similar to those on the exopod of the maxilla at the outer distal angle of the second, third and fourth segments (Fig. 28F). Third to the eighth thoracic appendages. All the endopods of these appendages are missing. Pleopods of the female reduced to very small plates. Uropods unusually long with the exopod almost three times as long as the


Fig. 28. Heteroerythrops purpura gen.n., sp.n. A, anterior end of adult female in dorsal view, \(\times 18\); B, antennular peduncle of adult female, \(\times 36\); C, right antenna, \(\times 3^{6}\); D, mandibular palp, \(\times 36\); E, maxilla, \(\times 3^{6}\); F, endopod of first thoracic appendage, \(\times 36 ; G\), endopod of second thoracic appendage, \(\times 36 ; H\), telson and right uropod, \(\times 36\).
telson; apex truncate and rather broad; endopod almost as long as the exopod. I can find no spines among the setae on the inner margin (Fig. 28 H ). Telson small, triangular, with the lateral margins sub-equal in length to the width at the base; slightly more than half as long as the last abdominal somite; no spines on the lateral margins; apex narrowly truncate. Only the proximal portion of one spine is present and the posterior margin of the apex is damaged, so that I am unable to ascertain how many spines were originally borne there. I am inclined to think that there were only two spines; if there had been more, the inner ones must have been much more slender than the only base which is still attached. It may be that there were two plumose setae (Fig. 28H)

Length of female with large, well-developed marsupium, 6.2 mm .

Colour. There is a note in the bottle which reads, 'deep purple in colour with brilliant golden eyes'.

Remarks. This species strongly resembles Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall in the general form of the anterior end, the inflation of the carapace anterior to the cervical sulcus, the shape of the short rostral plate, the spacing of the widely separated eyes and the very sinall narrow antennal scale with a relatively robust antennal peduncle. It may, however, be distinguished from this species as follows: (I) by the absence of a tooth or spine on the outer margin of the antennal scale, (2) by the absence of an ocular papilla and by the shape of the eye, (3) by the form of the telson. In Katerythrops the eye is ovoid with its widest part half-way along the stalk; the cornea is small and there is a well-developed ocular papilla on the dorsal surface of the eyestalk. In Katerythrops the telson is triangular and considerably longer than broad at its base with the lateral margins more or less concave. The apex is narrowly truncate and armed with two pairs of spines. In the Discovery specimen the telson is an equilateral triangle with the lateral margins straight and converging to a very narrow apex. In shape it recalls the telson of Erythrops.

In the absence of male specimens and owing to the damaged condition of this specimen, I am unable to gauge the true taxonomic position of this new genus. It is certainly very closely related to both Erythrops and Katerythrops.
Distribution. The type specimen was taken in a closing net in a vertical haul from 600 m . to 500 m . off the west of Angra Pequena.

Genus Erythrops G. O. Sars, 1869
1863 Nematopus G. O. Sars, p. 233.
1869 Erythrops G. O. Sars, p. 325.

Occurrence:
Erythrops africana sp.n.
(Fig. 29 A-K)
 \(3.8-4 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 22 juv. and 아 without brood sacs, \(3 \cdot 2-4 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\). Types.

Description. Carapace produced anteriorly into a short, pointed rostrum which only just covers the bases of the eyestalks; emarginate posteriorly, leaving the last two thoracic somites exposed in dorsal view. Sternal processes. In the middle of the sternum of each thoracic somite in the male there is a peculiar club-shaped, spiny process similar to that found in E. serrata (G. O. Sars), the only difference being that it is relatively larger and the spines arming the distal end are considerably longer and more irregularly placed. In E. serrata similar processes occur in immature females as well as in the males but, although a number of young females in the present material have no oostegites, none of them has sternal processes. The specimens are not in good condition and it may be that these females are in fact adult but have lost their oostegites. In Fig. 29 A I have dissected away the bases of some of the thoracic appendages, in order to show these sternal processes of the male in situ (Fig. 29 A, C). Antennular pedincle showing marked sexual dimorphism; twice as stout in the male as in the female; third segment of the male swollen especially dorsally, and with the outer distal angle considerably produced; in the female the outer distal angle of the second segment also is produced and tipped with two or three setae. I have figured, to the same scale, the right antennules of a male and a female of the same size, so that the very marked difference between them may be appreciated (Fig. 29 D, E). Antenna. Peduncle slightly shorter than the antennular peduncle; third segment the longest; scale slightly arcuate; outer margin entire, terminating in a strong tooth which is equal in length to the small apex; scale very narrow, eight times as long as broad; extending beyond the antennular peduncle
by nearly one-fourth of its length; no distal articulation; one large and one small spine on the outer distal margin of the sympod (Fig. 29A, B, F). Eyes large ; set widely apart ; cornea reniform ; flattened dorso-ventrally; no papilla on the eyestalk (Fig. 29B). Thoracic appendages. In all the specimens the third to the eighth thoracic endopods are broken off, but there were a number of them loose in the tube. I have figured the largest of these but cannot say from which pair it came. These endopods have the same general form as in the other species of the genus and, if the largest loose endopod is the eighth, it would extend to the anterior margin of the sixth abdominal somite. There is a minute spine on the outer distal angle of the first segment of the exopod (Fig. 29G). Pleopods of the female rudimentary;


Fig. 29. Erythrops africana sp.n. A, adult male in lateral view with thoracic appendages removed to expose sternal processes, \(\times 20\); B, anterior end of female in dorsal view, \(\times 34\); C, sternal process of male (much enlarged); D, right antennule of male, \(\times 34\); E, right antennule of female, \(\times 34 ; \mathrm{F}\), right antenna, \(\times 34 ; \mathrm{G}\), thoracic appendage, \(\times 34 ; \mathrm{H}\), first pleopod of male, \(\times 34 ; \mathrm{J}\), fourth pleopod of male, \(\times 34 ; \mathrm{K}\), telson and left uropod, \(\times 34\).
of the male normal and biramous, with well-developed lobes from the basal segments of the endopods; in the first pair the endopod is reduced to a single segment which is slightly inflated distally and bears a well-developed lobe at its base (Fig. \(29 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}\) ). Uropods more than twice as long as the short telson; endopod tapering, shorter than the exopod; no serrulations on the inner margin and no spines in the neighbourhood of the statocyst (Fig. 29 K ). Telson of the usual type found in the genus; lateral margins straight, converging to the broad truncate apex; inner pair of apical spines slightly longer than outer (Fig. \({ }_{29} \mathrm{~K}\) ).

Length. Largest male, 4.6 mm .; largest female, 4.6 mm .
Remarks. This species very closely resembles E. serrata (G. O. Sars), but can be distinguished from it by the relatively narrower antennal scale and the absence of serrations along its outer margin; by the
longer spines on the sternal processes; by the absence of serrulations beneath the setae of the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod and by its smaller size. Adults of \(E\). serrata measure 11 mm . while females of \(E\). africana of less than 4.2 mm . have well-developed brood sacs. A large number of females of the same size have no brood lamellae, but the material is in bad condition and they have probably been lost as have the thoracic endopods.
Distribution. Off Cape Lopez, just south of the equator, West Africa. E. africana is the first species of the genus to be recorded from the Atlantic south of the equator, the only other species from the southern hemisphere being E. yongei Tattersall, from the Great Barrier Reef, Queensland.

\section*{Echinomysis chuni Illig, 1905}

1905 Echinomysis chumi Illig, p. 15 I, figs.
1912 Echinomysis chuni, Illig, pp. 129-38, 4 coloured plates.
1930 Echinomysis chuni, Illig, p. 453, figs. 104-23.
1939 Echinomysis chumi, Tattersall, p. 244.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 673. 25. iv. 3 I (night). West of 'Tristan da Cunha, \(340-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 2 juv.
St. \(\mathrm{I}^{587 .} 3\). v. 35 (night). South-east of Ras Hafun, \(450-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(9,10 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Remaris. The single female specimen agrees very closely with Illig's description and figures in all respects, except that the spines arming the carapace appear to be relatively longer than in his specimens.

Distribution. This species was taken at eight stations by the 'Tiefsee' Expedition, one in the Gulf of Guinea near Lagos and seven in the Indian Ocean-one off Sumatra, three off Ceylon, one off the Amirante Islands, one south-east of Ras Hafun and one in the Gulf of Aden. W. M. Tattersall ( 1939, p. 244) recorded a single female from the central Arabian Sea. The Discovery capture at station 673 extends its known geographical range considerably to the southward.

> Genus Longithorax Illig, 1906 Longithorax capensis Zimmer, 1914
(Fig. 30A-F)
1914 Longithorax capensis Zimmer, p. 392, pl. xxiv, figs. 20-4.
\({ }^{1930}\) Longithorax capensis, Illig, p. 428.
1943 Longithorax capensis, Nouvel, p. 75, figs. I19-122.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 78. 12. vi. 26 (day). North-west of Tristan da Cunha, rooo(-0) m., i \(\%, 10.2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). Off Cape Town, rooo(-0) m., I ô, 10 mm ., r juv. む̂, 3 , 0 ,, \(8 \cdot 2-8 \cdot+\mathrm{mm}\).
St. ı00. 2. x. 26 (day). West of Table Bay, \(475(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I ó, 9 mm ., i f, \(9^{.8} \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 100 C. 2. x. 26 (day). West of Table Bay, \(450-550 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 §̂, 10 mm .
St. 254. 21. vi. 27 (night). Midway between Tristan da Cunha and Cape Town, 200(-0) m., I \(9,8 \cdot 8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 258. 25. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape of Good Hope, \(45^{0-320 \mathrm{~m} ., 1}\), +8.2 mm .
 I juv. 8 mm .
St. 266. 21. vii. 27 (night). West of Orange River estuary, 200(-0) m., 1 imm. ©́, 7.4 mm .

St. 1374. 24. v. 34 (night). East of St John's, Natal, \(230-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult +9.4 mm .
St. 1586. 2. v. 35 (night). North-west of Seychelles, \(550-0 \mathrm{~m} ., \mathrm{l} q\) in two pieces.

Remarks. This species was instituted by Zimmer for two specimens taken in a vertical haul ( \(3000-0\) ) m . off the west of the Cape of Good Hope. The types consisted of an adult \({ }^{t}\) of 9 mm . and an immature female. Specimens of the same size in the Discovery material agree so closely with Zimmer's description and figures, that I have no hesitation in referring them to his species. The only difference I can find is in the largest specimens of the Discovery material, where the anterior margin of the carapace extends farther forward than in Zimmer's figure and partially covers the bases of the eyestalks. In Fig. 30 I have copied some of Zimmer's figures and placed them beside corresponding figures of an adult female from station 254. A comparison shows not only how closely they resemble one another, but also the slight difference which I have mentioned. In his description Zimmer noted a single blunt spine on the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod at the distal end of the statocyst, but did not figure it. I have found this spine to be present in all my specimens, but it is by no means easy to see unless the appendage is dissected, for it is blunt and lies on the ventral side of the endopod a little way in from the margin, barely projecting at all (Fig. 30B). The finger-like process on the inner margin of the eye is very small in younger animals, but in adults of both sexes it lengthens and curves outward in front of the cornea (Fig. 30A).

Nouvel (1943, p. 75) doubtfully referred a damaged female specimen captured off the Azores in a vertical haul, \(2500-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., to this species. He pointed out that the rostral plate formed a more obtuse angle than in Zimmer's types and that the rostrum was less marked. He also noted that the scale was somewhat narrower than in \(L\). capensis and that the spine on the outer margin was more acute.

In his review of the Mysidacea of the United States National Museum, written just before his death in 1943 , my husband (Tattersall, 1951, p. 120) referred a number of specimens captured off the Bermudas to \(L\). capensis. He had not seen Nouvel's 1943 publication and stated that the species had only once been recorded. He pointed


Fig. 30. Longithorax capensis Zimmer (A-C for comparison with Zimmer's figures D-F). A, anterior end of female in dorsal view; B , telson and right uropod of female in dorsal view; \(C\), distal end of telson (enlarged); D, anterior end of male (after Zimmer); E, telson and right uropod of male (after Zimmer); F, left antennal scale (after Zimmer). out that his specimens agreed very closely with the types, but that the rostral plate had straight sides set at about an angle of \(120^{\circ}\), with the apex bluntly rounded and not produced. I made figures of the telson and one uropod and of the antenna, but not of the anterior end.

In reviewing the whole of the records, I am of the opinion that we have here two distinct species, one the original L. capensis from the South Atlantic and the other from the Azores and Bermudas. I suggest for the second species the name L. nouveli after Professor Nouvel who first published its description.

This new species differs from L. capensis as follows:
(i) Rostrum. The anterior margins of the rostral plate are straight, converging to an obtuse angle and not produced forward between the eyes as a bluntly rounded rostrum as in L. capensis.
(2) Antennal scale relatively more slender. In the types and in the Discovery specimens of L. capensis the ratio of the length to the greatest breadth is \(2 \cdot 7\) :1 whereas in \(L\). nouveli it is slightly more than \(3: 1\). The spine marking the distal end of the naked outer margin is more slender and acute in L. nouveli than in capensis.
(3) Uropod. Nouvel definitely stated that the endopod of the uropod was unarmed; Tattersall did not mention this point, but in L. capensis there is a spine near the statocyst (Fig. 30 B ).
(4) Size. Nouvel's specimen of 9.8 mm . was quite immature with very small oostegites. Tattersall's specimens of \(6-9 \mathrm{~mm}\). from the surface and a male of io mm . from deeper water were all immature, and adult females measured 13 mm . Zimmer's adult male type of \(L\). capensis was only 9 mm . and in the Discovery material, males of \(9-10 \mathrm{~mm}\). and females of \(8.2-8.6 \mathrm{~mm}\). are quite adult, the latter with very large oostegites.

The form of the telson is not exactly similar in Nouvel's and Tattersall's specimens, but this may be due to two things. First, the telson from which I made the figure for Tattersall's report had been dissected off and mounted and was therefore flattened, so that it is wider than it would appear in situ. Professor Nouvel has told me that, having but a single specimen, he made his figure without dissecting the telson off and the lateral margins, being foreshortened, appeared less convex. The apex was damaged in his specimen so that he was not able to show its armature.
- Tattersall stated that all his specimens of both sexes had a tuft of long setae at the base of the outer flagellum of the antennule. Nouvel did not mention this point, but his specimen was badly damaged and they may have been broken off. I can find no trace of any such tufts in my material.

Distribution. The types of \(L\). capensis were taken in a vertical haul from \(1000-0 \mathrm{~m}\). in daylight. The only other record of the species was by Illig-also in a vertical haul from a great depth to the surface by daylight-off the east of the Cape Verde Is. The Discovery collection indicates that it is widely distributed in the waters of the South Atlantic, from the coasts of Africa to Tristan da Cunha. Six of the ten hauls in which it was taken were oblique and taken at night, two of them from a depth of 200 m . to the surface, one from 230 m . to the surface, one from \(450-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., one from \(55^{\circ-0 \mathrm{~m} \text {. and }}\) one in a closing net from \(550-450 \mathrm{~m}\). The remaining four hauls were also oblique and were taken in daylight. Two were taken from \(1000(-0) \mathrm{m}\). and one from \(475(-0) \mathrm{m}\). where the nets failed to close, and one in a closing net between 550 m . and 450 m .

It is evident that the species is not such a deep-water form as has been supposed and it must be regarded as a definitely mesoplanktonic species.

Genus Euchaetomera G. O. Sars, 1884
1884 Euchaetomera G. O. Sars, p. 42.
1896 Brutomysis Chun, p. 179.
\(1906 b\) Mastigophthalmus Illig, p. 227.
1910 Euchaetomera Hansen, p. 65.

Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars, 1884
1884 Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars, p. 42; 1885, p. 21 I, figs.
1896 Brutomysis vogtii Chun, p. 179, figs.
1906 a Euchaetomera limbata Illig, p. 203, fig.
1914 Euchaetomera typica, Zimmer, p. 393.
1918 Euchaetomera sennae Colosi, p. 7; 1920, p. 239, figs.
1930 Euchaetomera typica, Illig, p. 434, figs.
1923 Euchaetomera typica, Tattersall, p. 283; 1939, p. 243.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. \(29^{\circ} 27^{\prime}\) N., \(15^{\circ} \circ 7^{\prime}\) W. 16. x. 25. From the stomach of Naucrates ductor \(900-0\) m., \(1+\frac{\text { with empty brood sac, }}{}\) 8 mm .
St. 87. 25. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, \(1000(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2 \circ\), 10 mm . and 8 mm ., larger breeding.
St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, \(1000(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., 1 ô, 7 mm ., I imm.
St. 252. 20. vi. 27 (night). Midway between Cape Town and Gough I., I 35 m., I imm. ơ, 3 breeding of, largest \({ }_{11} 5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 256. 23. v. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, i \(100-850(-0\) ) m., I juv. ठt, 5 mm ., I juv. if, \(4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 257. 24. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape Town, \(250(-0)\) m., I \(\hat{\delta}, 2\) if, all juv.
St. 266. 21. vii. 27 (night). Off West coast of South Africa, \(200(-0) \mathrm{m} .\), I 昂, 8 mm .
St. 282. 12. viii. 27 (night). West of Cape Lopez, West Africa, \(300(-0) \mathrm{m} ., \mathrm{I} 9,8 \mathrm{~mm}\), not fully adult.
St. 286. \({ }^{17}\). viii. 27 (night). Midway between Cape Lopez and Ascension I., \(125(-0)\) m., I \&, 9 mm., I juv. \(\odot\).
St. 295. 25. viii. 27 (day). West of Sierra Leone, \(2700-2500(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I imm. 우.
St. 673. 25. iv. 31 (night). Mid-Atlantic, east of Tristan da Cunha, \(340-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 d', 8 mm .

St. 676. 26. iv. 3 ( (night). North-west of Tristan da Cunha, 290-o m., 1 imm . \({ }^{\star}, 6.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 692. 9. v. 3 I (night). Mid-Atlantic, equatorial zone, \(350-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., i \(8,8 \mathrm{~mm}\)., with large empty brood sac, I juv.
St. 693. 10. v. 31 (day). Mid-Atlantic, equatorial zone, \(250-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) ㅇㅇ, with large empty brood sacs, larger 8.5 mm .

St. 694. 10. v. \(3^{\mathrm{I}}\) (day). Mid-Atlantic, equatorial zone, 2 Io-0 m., 2 웅, larger 8 mm .
St. 698 . I3. v. \(3^{1}\) (night). South-west of Cape Verde Is., \(470-0 \mathrm{~m}\). , I juv. 우.
St. 699. 14. v. 31 (night). West of Cape Verde Is., \(3700 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) \& +7 mm .
St. 701. 16. x. 31 (night). Off Cape Verde Is., \(242-0 \mathrm{~m} .\), I juv. 우.
St. I377. 4. viii. 34 (night). South Atlantic, south-west of Cape Town, \(100-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. \(8,8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 157 8. 26. iv. 35 (night). Midway between Cape Delgado and north of Madagascar, \(500-\mathrm{m}\)., I adult O , 8.5 mm ., I juv. ㅇ.

St. I596. 2 I. X. 35 (night). Gulf of Guinea, \(450-310 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 adult + , with empty brood sac, 8 mm .
St. 1602. 27. x. 35 (night). West of south-west Africa, 175-0 m., I \(9,8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 1747. 23. iv. \(3^{6}\) (day). South Indian Ocean midway between Durban and Perth, Western Australia, 4000 m ., I \(\frac{8}{}\) with large empty brood sac, 10 mm .
St. 2685. 22. vi. 50 (night). West of Cocos Is., Indian Ocean, 250-100 m., 1 juv.
St WS 977. 6/7. iii. 50 (night). Nearly 200 miles west of Walvis Bay, \(500-250 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 juv. 3 mm .
St. WS 978 . 7. iii. 50 (day). 150 miles west of Walvis Bay, \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 imm. of, 5.6 mm .
Distribution. E. typica has a wide distribution in the tropical and sub-tropical waters of the southern hemisphere in the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans and has been recorded from near the Bermudas in the northern hemisphere. It has usually been taken in oblique hauls from relatively shallow depths to the surface. It was collected in twenty-five hauls by 'Discovery' and 'Discovery II' and in two vertical hauls by 'William Scoresby' from between 1000 m . and I 35 m . to the surface. The greatest depth at which it was taken in a closing net was \(450-510 \mathrm{~m}\). and the least was \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\). It is not a gregarious form, usually only one or two specimens were captured in a haul and the greatest number taken together in the Discovery collection was four at station 252.

\section*{Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars, 1883}
\({ }^{1} 883\) Euchaetomera temuis G. O. Sars, p. 43 ; 1885 , p. 21 4, figs.
1905 Euchaetomera fowleri Holt and Tattersall, pp. 123 and 144, figs.
1909 Euchaetomera temuis, 'Tattersall, p. I30.
1910 Euchaetomera tenuis, Hansen, p. 66, figs; 1912, p. 201.
1914 Euchaetomera temuis, Zimmer, p. 394.
\(193^{\circ}\) Euchaetomera tenuis, Illig, p. \(44^{8 .}\)
195 I Euchaetomera tenuis, Tattersall and 'Tattersall, p. 275, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). West of the Cape of Good Hope, \(1000(-0) \mathrm{m} .\), I \(9,7 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 100. 3. x. 26 (day). Off south-west Africa, two hauls: (i) \(310-260 \mathrm{~m}\). 1 ot, 7 mm ., 5 早早, \(7.5-8 \mathrm{~mm}\). (some ovig.), 4 juv.; (ii) \(2500(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 4\). x. 26 (day), 2 adult \(\circ \circ\), larger 9 mm .
St. 256. 23. vi. 27 (day). West of Cape of Good Hope, \(1100-850(-0)\) m., 2 juv. 99.
St. 258. 25. vi. 27 (night). West of the Cape of Good Hope, \(450-320 \mathrm{~m} ., 299,8.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 267. 23. vii. 27 (night). North-west of Angra Pequena, \(55^{\circ-450(-0) ~ m ı, ~} 2\) ô \({ }^{\star}, 8-8.5 \mathrm{~mm}\)., i juv. ô.
St. 282. 12. viii. 27 (night). West of Cape Lopez, \(300(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., 5 juv., \(4-4.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 295. 25. viii. 27 (day). West of Sierra Leone, 2700-2500(-0) m., i juv. \&.
St. 673. 25. iv. \(3^{1}\) (night). West of Tristan da Cunha, \(340-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) 9 9 , i juv. ó.
St. 695. ir. v. 31 (night). Mid-Atlantic, just north of equator, \(370-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., i juv.,+ 5 mm .
St. 697. 12. v. 3 I (night). Mid-Atlantic, just north of equator, \(460-0 \mathrm{~m} ., \mathrm{I}\), \(9,8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 702. 17. x. 3 I (night). West of Sierra Leone, \(236-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I juv. \({ }^{\text {on }}, 5 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. i568. it. iv. 25 (night). South-east of Durban, i 400 -0 m., i juv. ơ, i juv. \&, fragments.
St. 158 i. 28. iv. 35 (night). East of Zanzibar, \(600-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., i juv. 우.
St. 1586. 2. v. 35 (night). North of Madagascar, \(550-0\) m., I juv. ㅇ․
St. WS 977. 6/7. iii. 50 (night). Nearly 250 miles west of Walvis Bay, \(250-100 \mathrm{~m}\)., 2 juv., \(2 \cdot 5-3 \mathrm{~mm}\). (Benguela Current Survey).

Remarks. The species would appear to be by no means a gregarious form for usually only one or two specimens have been found in a haul. The largest number taken in a haul was at station 100 in a closing net fishing between \(260-310 \mathrm{~m}\)., when ten specimens were caught.
Distribution. E. tenuis is a mesoplanktonic species with a world-wide distribution in the tropical and sub-tropical waters of the globe. It has been recorded on several occasions from the South Pacific and Indian Oceans and from Atlantic waters, extending eastward from the coast of Florida to the west coast of Ireland in the northern hemisphere, and off the coasts of South Africa in the South Atlantic. It has also been recorded from the Mediterranean on a number of occasions.

The species has previously usually been taken in vertical hauls, often from considerable depths to the surface, so that it is not possible to say from the earlier records at which depths the animals were actually living. 'Discovery', working with closing nets, took adult breeding females at station 100 , at \(260-310 \mathrm{~m}\)., and station 258 , at \(320-450 \mathrm{~m}\). The shallowest depth at which it was taken in the present collection was at station 702 in an oblique haul from 236 m . to the surface. The species has only once been recorded actually at the surface, when the type was captured by 'Challenger' in the South Pacific, off the coast of Chili. Although it occurred on a few occasions with E. typica, it appears to tend to occupy somewhat deeper water than that species.

\section*{Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig) 1906}

1906a Mastigophthalmus zurstrasseni Illig, pp. 203-5, figs.
1913 Euchaetomera pulchra Hansen, p. 15, figs.
1914 Euchaetomera zurstrasseni, Zimmer, p. 394.
1930 Euchaetomera zurstrasseni, Illig, pp. 437-44, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 100 C. 4. x. 26 (day). West of Table Bay, \(2500-2000\) m., I J̌, 7 mm. Almost black.
St. I20. 22. xi. 26 (day). North-west of Bouvet I., \(360-340(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., i f, 10 mm .
St. 254. 21. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape of Good Hope, \(200(-0)\) m., 2 juv., 4 mm .
St. 259. 26. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape of Good Hope, 450-370(-0) m., i \({ }^{\circ}, 7 \mathrm{~mm}\). damaged.
St. 267. 23. vii. 27 (night). West of Orange River estuary, \(550-450(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 4\) juv. fof, i juv. dै, 5 mm ., il very small juv. \(2 \cdot 5-4 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 46I D. 22. x. 30 (night). South-west of Bouvet I., \(490-385 \mathrm{~m}\)., i d, 6 mm .

St. 563. 1. i. 31 (day). Bellingshausen Sea, \(450-180 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \({ }^{7}, 8.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 590. 14. i. 31 (day). West of Graham Land, \(1400-1150 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 §
St. 591. 14. i. 31 (day). Bellingshausen Sea, \(360-122 \mathrm{~m}\)., I juv. of, 3.5 mm .
St. 592. 15. i. 3 I (day). Bellingshausen Sea, \(350-124 \mathrm{~m}\)., I ㅇ, 9 mm ., with large empty brood-sac.
St. 594. 15. i. 31 (day). North-west of Graham Land, 435-165 m., 1 \&, 9.5 mm .
St. 663 . 5. iv. 3 I (day). East-north-east of South Georgia, \(500-250 \mathrm{~m}\)., I very small juv.
St. 666. 17. iv. 3 I (day). North-east of South Georgia, \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 \&, 8 mm .
St. 668. 19. iv. 3 I (day). North of South Georgia: (i) \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 d \({ }^{\text {o }}, 8 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I f, 5.5 mm .; (ii) \(1500-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I of, 7.2 mm .
St. 673. 25. iv. 31 (night). West of Tristan da Cunha, \(500-250 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) 早, 6.5 and 4.2 mm .
St. 946. 3. ix. 32 (night). South of Chatham Is., \(270-120 \mathrm{~m}\)., I small juv.
St. 971 . 25. ix. 32 (night). North of Bellingshausen Sea, \(340-120 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) of 8 mm .
St. 1517.14 . ii. 35 (night). East of Weddell Sea, \(4^{20-230 ~ m ., ~ I ~} \circ, 8 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 2 small juv.
St. 1539. 25. ii. 35 (night). Ice Edge, off Enderby Land, \(350-230 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(\circ, 8.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 1558. I. iv. 35 (night). North-west of Prince Edward Is., I \(300-0 \mathrm{~m} .\), I \(\uparrow, 7.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 1838. 12. x. 36 (day). West-north-west of South Sandwich Is., \(750-250 \mathrm{~m} ., \mathrm{I}\), 7 mm .
St. 1915. 2. xii. 36 (day). Scotia Sea, \(550-350 \mathrm{~m}\)., I ơ, 8 mm ., 1 ot, 9.5 mm ., I juv. \(\circ\), 6 mm .
St. 2550. 23. i. 39 (night). \(67^{\circ} 27 \cdot 8^{\prime}\) S., o6 \(6^{\circ} 35 \cdot 3^{\prime}\) E., \(430-230 \mathrm{~m}\). 1 imm . \({ }^{\circ}, 8 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 3 of?, \(7-9 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 5 juv.
St. WS 30. 19. xii. 26 (night). Off South Georgia, \(250-100 \mathrm{~m}\)., \(\mathrm{I} \mathrm{imm} .9,6.8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. WS \(173.6 / 7\). iii. 28 (night). East of South Georgia, \(500-250 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(9,7.5 \mathrm{~mm}\). empty brood-sacs.
St. WS 552. 3. ii. 31 (day). Off South Georgia, \(250-200 \mathrm{~m} ., 29 \%\), 10 and 8 mm ., with large empty brood-sacs.
St. WS 976. 6. iii. 50 (day). Nearly 200 miles west of Walvis Bay, \(250-100 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 juv. 3 mm .
Remarks. This species appears to be rather less fragile than either of the two preceding ones. Most of the specimens are in good condition and retain their thoracic appendages. There is a colour note in the tube from station 590 as follows: 'Transparent. Red dots on sides of abdomen and distal leg joints. Upper side of eyes with patch of silvery grey.'

There is some considerable variation in the length of the spines arming the body and telson, but the shape and form of the antennal scale, the proportions of the telson and uropods and the disposition of the spines arming the carapace and abdominal somites are so uniform that I have no hesitation in referring the specimens to \(E\). zurstrasseni.

Distribution. This species was first recorded from the Indian Ocean west of the Chagos Islands, when the type specimen was captured in a vertical haul from 2500 m . to the surface (Illig, 1906 a ). Hansen (1913) recorded it from south of Prince Edward Island and Zimmer (1914) from the Falkland Islands-both records from vertical hauls to the surface. Illig (r930) recorded it from the south-east and east of Bouvet Island and from between the Chagos Islands and the Seychelles, again in vertical hauls with open nets from 2500 m . and less to the surface.

In the Discovery collection the species has been taken in closing nets at no fewer than twenty stations and, though it once occurred in a net fishing between 2500 m . and 2000 m . at station 100 C , in all the others it was taken between 750 m . and 100 m ., the greatest number being taken between 300 m . and 500 m .

The Discovery collection considerably enlarges its known geographical range and proves this species to be widely distributed far south in the Antarctic Ocean where it was taken at eleven stations.

It also occurred at fifteen stations in the South Atlantic Ocean and at one in the South Pacific. Up to the present it has not been recorded from the northern hemisphere.

\section*{Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica Illig, 1906}

1906a Euchaetomera glyphidophthalnica Illig, pp. 201-2, text-fig. 9.
1914 Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica, Zimmer, p. 394, pl. xxıv, fig. 25.
\({ }_{1915}\) b Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica, Zimmer, p. 318. (Doubtfully.)
1929 Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica, Colosi, p. 417.
\(193^{\circ}\) Euchaetomera glyphidophthalmica, Illig, pp. 445-6, text-figs. 84-7.
1939 Enchaetomera glyphidophthalmica, Tattersall, p. 243.
Occurrence:
St. 266. 21. vii. 27 (night). West of Orange River estuary, \(200(-0)\) m., I of, 8.5 mm ., i \(\&, 8.5 \mathrm{~mm} ., 3\) small juv., \(3-4 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 276. 5. viii. 27 (night). South of Cape Lopez, \(150(-0)\) m., I \&, 8.8 mm .

St. 701. 16. x. \(3^{\text {r }}\) (night). Near Cape Verde Is., \(24^{2-0} \mathrm{~m} ., 29897 \mathrm{~mm}\)., both imm.
Remarks. This species is characterized by the very large lateral areas of ocelli in the eyes and by the small area of colourless facets between this area and the terminal area of ocelli. In large animals the two areas are only separated from each other by a depression. I have found it extremely difficult to decide whether to refer some of the specimens from the Discovery material to E. glyphidophthalmica, to E. oculata, or to E. intermedia, for so many of the specific characters have no set boundaries, and individuals may show certain characters of one species and at the same time characters of the others. Even those characters which they do show are often intermediate between those given by the authors for the identification of the species. Unless numbers of new species are to be founded, considerable latitude must be allowed in the characters which are accepted for the existing species. This I have done and I have referred to E. glyphidophthalmica those specimens in which (I) the lateral areas of ocelli in the eyes are very much larger than the terminal ones and are separated from the terminal areas by a very narrow colourless area; (2) in which the rostrum is small and acutely pointed and (3) in which the lateral margins of the telson are unarmed, the posterior margin truncate, armed at its outer angles with a small spine on each side and with two long median plumose setae. The one really outstanding character lies in the nature of the eyes, but I have found it difficult to decide in some cases whether the characters I have mentioned are definite enough to admit the individual to this or to that species, so much do they grade one into another.

Distribution. This species was first described from off the Canary Isles. It has since been recorded again from the same area, from the Gulf of Guinea and from west of Angra Pequena (Illig, 1930), and from the Gulf of Aden (Tattersall, 1939). Zimmer (1915b) and Colosi (1929) doubtfully referred specimens from the Gulf of Naples to this species, but I think that these specimens were in all probability E. intermedia. W. M. Tattersall (1943) recorded one immature male from east of the Windward Isles taken at 50 m .
All the specimens in the Discovery collection were taken in the South Atlantic, two off the west coast of South Africa and the other two in mid-Atlantic a little north of the equator.
This species does not appear to inhabit such deep waters as E. tenuis and E. typica. There are no records from closing nets but, except in one case, all the known specimens have been taken in vertical or oblique hauls from comparatively shallow depths.

\section*{Euchaetomera oculata Hansen, igio}

1910 Euchaetomera oculata Hansen, p. 66, pl. x, fig. \(4^{a-e}\).
1911 a Euchaetomera oculata, Tattersall, p. 125; 1923, p. 284.
1930 Euchaetomera oculata, Illig, pp. 447-8, text-figs. 88-92.
1939 Euchaetomera oculata, Tattersall, p. 243.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 1568. 1 I. iv. 35 (night). South-east of Durban, \(1400-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 juv.,+ 54 mm .
Remaris. It is with some hesitation that I refer this specimen to E. oculata, for the characters it possesses are not entirely as described for the type. The lateral areas of ocelli in the eyes are larger than the terminal ones, but are not so swollen as in E. glyphidophthalmica and the colourless area between them and the terminal area is quite wide. The antennal scale is the same length as the peduncles of the antennules and antennae, and the lateral margins of the telson are straight, but the posterior margin of the telson is not narrow. The specimen is not adult and I feel that perhaps it might be \(E\). intermedia.

Distribution. This species has been recorded on a number of occasions from the Indian Ocean (Tattersall, i91ı \(a\), 1939; Illig, i930; Coifmann, 1936). The type specimen was taken near New Guinea and Tattersall (1923) recorded the species from off New Zealand.

\section*{Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel, 1942}

1942c Euchaetomera intermedia, Nouvel p. 9, text-figs. 21-3.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 87. 25. vi. 26 (day). West of Cape Town, rooo(-0) m., 5 와, \(8-9 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 254. 21. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape of Good Hope, \(200(-0)\) m., I Jt, 6.5 mm .
St. 256. 23. vi. 27 (day). West of Cape Town, ifoo-850(-0) m., 1 §, 6 mm ., i \(9,5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 257. 24. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape Town, \(250(-0) \mathrm{m} .\), I ,, 6.5 mm .
St. 259. 26. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape Town, \(450-370(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I \(9,7 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 267. 23. vii. 27 (night). North-west of Angra Pequena, 550-450(-0) m., 2 ㅇㅇ, 7 mm .
St. 268. 25. vii. 27 (night). West of Cape Frio, South Africa, \(150-100(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2 \delta^{\top} \delta^{\top}, 7 \mathrm{~mm}\). and 6.5 mm .
St. 673. 24/25. iv. 31 (night). West of Tristan da Cunha, \(3400 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) OP, larger 8 mm ., I juv.
St. 674. 25. iv. 3 I (night). West of Tristan da Cunha, \(280-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 3 POP, \(6-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 3 small juv.
St. 698. 13. v. 31 (night). South-west of Cape Verde Is., \(470-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \({ }^{\dagger}, 7 \mathrm{~mm}\). (doubtfully).
St. 700. 18. v. 3 I (day). North-east of Cape Verde Is., \(2025-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) juv.
St. 704. 19. x. 31 (night). South of Cape Verde Is., 231 -o m., I juv., 5.5 mm .
St. 714. 30. x. 3 I (night). East of Monte Video, \(24^{6-0} \mathrm{~m}\)., 3 웅, \(7-8.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 717. 2. xi. 31 (night). North of Falkland Is., \(212-0\) m., I juv.
St. 1571. 21. iv. 35 (night). South-west of Madagascar, \(500-0 \mathrm{~m}\). , i \(9,8.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. WS 976. 6. iii. 50 (day). Nearly 200 miles west of Walvis Bay, South Africa, \(100-50 \mathrm{~m} .\), i \(8,6.8 \mathrm{~mm}\)., not adult (Benguela Current Survey).
St. WS 978. 7. iii. 50 (day). 150 miles west of Walvis Bay, South Africa, \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 ovig. \(8,8 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I juv.
Remarks. E. intermedia closely resembles E. oculata in its general form. It is slender and long and evidently very brittle, for there is not a single undamaged specimen in the whole collection. In particular the eyes break off very easily and in their absence correct identification is difficult. Nouvel ( \(1942 c, p .9\) ) stated that the antennal scale surpassed the antennular peduncle by the full length of its apex and that the antennal peduncle was a little shorter than the antennular peduncle. His type was not fully adult and I have found exactly the conditions he described in the smaller immature animals
in the Discovery collection. In fully grown, sexually mature specimens the peduncles of the first and second antennae, and the thorn terminating the naked outer margin of the scale, are all the same length and I ascribe the shortness of the antennal peduncle of Nouvel's type to its immaturity.

Illig (1930, p. 448) stated definitely that in E. oculata the antennal scale is generally shorter than the antennular and antennal peduncles, that it may rarely be equal to them in length, but that it never overreaches them. Other differences between the two species are variable, and I have therefore used the relative length of the scale for the identification of the specimens which I have here referred to E. intermedia. In every case the whole of the apex of the scale extends beyond the distal margins of the subequal antennae and this is the case in juveniles also. The species may be also recognized by the eyes, which are longer and more slender than in E. glyphidophthalmica, with the outer margins nearly straight and the lateral areas of ocelli larger than the terminal ones but not swollen. There is quite a wide region of colourless, imperfectly developed facets between the two areas of ocelli. I have found the width of the posterior margin of the telson too variable to make a good specific guide, but in every case the length of the telson is less than its width at its base.

The rostrum in E. intermedia is very short and acute though not so sharply pointed as in E. glyphidophthalmica, while in E. oculata it is produced into a small, distally rounded projection.

Distribution. This species was originally recorded from the west of the Canary Isles. Zimmer ( 1915 b) recorded an immature specimen of E. glyphidophthalmica from the Gulf of Naples, but he noted that the eyes were more slender and that the two areas of ocelli in the eyes were separated by a wider region of colourless facets than in the type of that species. This description coincides so exactly with \(E\). intermedia that I suggest that he was in fact dealing with this species. If I am correct in my supposition, its geographical range would include the Mediterranean.

It was taken at fifteen stations by 'Discovery', 'Discovery II' and 'William Scoresby' from off the south and south-west coasts of South Africa in the south to the Cape Verde Islands in the north, and also off Montevideo. It was also taken in the Indian Ocean, south of Madagascar.

It is interesting to note that twelve of the Discovery hauls were taken at night. It is not a particularly deep-water form, but as in each case it was taken in oblique hauls to the surface, it is not possible to say exactly at which depth the animals were living. Most of the captures were made in nets fishing from less than 500 m . to the surface and the least depth was at station \(268,100-150(-0) \mathrm{m}\). when the net failed to close.

Genus Euchaetomeropsis Tattersall, 1909
1909 Euchaetomeropsis Tattersall, W. M., p. 130.
Remarks. This genus agrees with the genus Euchaetomera in all respects, save in the form of the antennal scale. In Euchaetomera the outer margin of the scale is naked and may or may not end in a thorn, but in Euchaetomeropsis it is setose all round. In the only species, at present referred to the genus, the scale is narrowly lanceolate in shape with a narrowly rounded apex. In both genera there is a small distal suture.

Euchaetomeropsis merolepis (Illig), 1908
1903 Euchaetomera temuis (pars) Lo Bianco, p. i91.
1908 Euchaetomera merolepis Illig, p. 550.
1909 Euchaetomeropsis merolepis Tattersall, pp. 130-2.
1914 Euchaetomeropsis merolepis, Zimmer, p. 395.
1929 Euchaetomeropsis merolepis, Colosi, p. 417.
1930 Euchaetomeropsis merolepis, Illig, pp. 450-2, text-figs. 97-103.

\section*{Occurrence:}
 4 imm . 와, \(4^{-5} \mathrm{~mm}\)., 4 very small juv.
St. 257. 24. vi. 27 (night). West of Cape of Good Hope, \(250(-0)\) m., 3 juv. 3-5 mm.
St. 266. 2I. vii. 27 (night). West of Orange River estuary, \(200(-0)\) m., I di, 7 mm ., I juv., \(5 \mathrm{~mm} . ; 4\) juv. 우, \(4.5^{-}\) 5.5 mm .

St. 267. 23. vii. 27 (night). North-west of Angra Pequena, \(550-450(-0)\) m., x imm. ô, 4.5 mm .
Remarks. These specimens do not differ in any essential from the published descriptions of the species, except that the uropods are proportionally longer in comparison with the telson. Illig (1930, p. 452) says that the endopod of the uropod is three times as long as the telson and that the exopod is slightly longer. I find in the largest of my specimens that the endopod of the uropod is fully four times as long as the telson.

None of my specimens is fully mature and all are badly damaged. Only one or two possess any thoracic appendages and only a few have any eyes. It is evidently a very fragile form and I think that this fragility is responsible for the difference of opinion, which has arisen among workers, as to whether the median setae on the telson are plumose or not. Only in two specimens of this collection are there any complete setae on the telson-one on each animal. When closely examined with a high power both these proved to be very sparsely and delicately plumose. I think that in all probability they are normally plumose, but that the fragility, which is evident in the rest of the body, extends also to the armature of the setae, so that where they appear to be non-plumose they have in fact been stripped bare of their armature.

Illig, in his description of the type, stated that there were five thorns on the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod. Zimmer (1914, p. 395) recorded that he could find no trace of these in his specimens and I could find none in any of my specimens either.

Distribution. This is one of the few species of mysids which are represented in the fauna of both the northern and the southern hemispheres. It was first recorded from the Mediterranean by Lo Bianco as Euchaetomera tenuis and was later found in the same area from depths of \(900-2500 \mathrm{~m}\). and roo-800 m. (Tattersall, 1909; Colosi, 1929). Illig's types were collected in the Indian Ocean and he recorded (1930) the species again from four stations in the Indian Ocean in vertical hauls from 600 m . and 3000 m . In the Atlantic it has been recorded from equatorial waters and from the Gulf of Guinea in vertical hauls over great depths; there have also been a number of records from deep water in the South Atlantic. W. M. Tattersall (1943) recorded it from the East Pacific west of San Francisco from 100 m .

It is evidently a somewhat bathypelagic form, but as previously it has always been recorded from vertical hauls with open nets, it is not possible to say at what depths it normally lives. The three hauls in which it appears in the Discovery collection were all taken at much shallower depths than earlier records and in each case the net failed to close. These three hauls were taken at night and it may well be that these animals, in common with so many other species of mysids, carry out an upward migration during the hours of darkness.

\section*{Genus Caesaromysis Ortmann, 1893}

1893 Caesaromysis Ortmann, p. 22.
This genus was instituted by Ortmann for a new species, C. hispida, for specimens taken in equatorial waters in mid-Atlantic. He defined the genus as possessing the following characters:
(i) Very long thorn-like rostral projection.
(2) Very plump body armed profusely with very long, strong thorns.
(3) Eyes large, with two areas of ocelli and long slender stalks.
(4) Antennal scale small, styliform and not leaf-like.
(5) The seven posterior pairs of thoracic appendages almost equal in length with three-segmented propodus and well developed dactylus.
(6) Exopods of first thoracic appendages lacking.
(7) Female pleopods rudimentary, those of male all well developed and normal.
(8) Telson small, egg-shaped, apex truncate.
(9) Endopods of uropods shorter than exopods. Statocyst well developed.

Ortmann in his description of the species recorded that the uropods were twice as long as the telson.
Stebbing (1905, p. \({ }^{114}\) ) recorded the species from 190 fm . about 47 miles off Cape Town and
Zimmer (1914, p. 397) recorded specimens taken by the German South-Polar Expedition, 1901-3. He discussed the close affinity of this genus to Echinomysis Illig and pointed out that the essential differences between them lay in the form of the antennal scale and of the exopods of the first thoracic appendages. In Echinomysis both these organs, though small, are well developed and normal. Ortmann had stated that there was no trace of an exopod on the first thoracic appendage in Caesaromysis, but Zimmer discovered that a quite readily recognizable vestige was present as well as a large well developed epipod (Fig. 3 I C).

The exopod and endopod of the thoracic appendages in the Mysidacea arise from the third segment of the sympod, i.e. the basis, and the epipod, when present, arises from the second segment of the sympod, the coxa. In Caesaromysis the basis of the first thoracic appendage has become fused with the body-wall along the greater part of its anterior margin, while the coxa has become so closely fused with it and with the body-wall as to be indistinguishable. A considerable lengthening of the body has taken place in the region of the first and second thoracic somites and, as a result, the attached basis of the first thoracic appendage has also become lengthened. That portion bearing the endopod has remained closely associated with the mouth parts, while the portion bearing the exopod and the coxa with its epipod has become widely separated from it and remains attached to the body-wall just in front of the second thoracic appendage. If one places the animal on its side and gently lifts the carapace one can see the whole peculiar structure of the appendage clearly. The tiny finger-like vestige of the exopod projects downward from the body in its normal position, while the large basin-shaped epipod extends backward under the carapace covering the bases of the succeeding thoracic appendages. A well-marked ridge, which is really the median portion of the basis of the first thoracic appendage, can be clearly seen running forward to the proximal end of the endopod immediately behind the mouth.

In Echinomysis the exopod of the first thoracic appendage is normal and is borne in the normal position beside the endopod.

Considerable sexual differences occur in Caesaromysis and, whereas in the females and in immature specimens the antennal scale is reduced to a simple papilla-like vestige, in adult males it is well developed and similar to the scale in Echinomysis.

Zimmer and Illig showed that considerable sexual differences exist in the proportions of the uropods and in their length, relative to that of the telson. Their investigations were restricted by the lack of fully adult males and as a result they could only indicate the tendency to sexual differentiation. The beautifully preserved material of the Discovery collections contains adults of both sexes and I have been able to figure those parts of the male which are of special interest. I am now able to draw up an amended definition of the genus in the light of our present knowledge:
(1) Cephalothorax broad and flattened dorso-ventrally; abdomen short and relatively small.
(2) Rostrum produced anteriorly into a very long powerful spine, lateral margins armed with long spines.
(3) Carapace and abdomen richly adorned with strong spines.
(4) Eyes large, with a large distal area of ocelli and a smaller lateral area; eyestalks very long and slender, running transversely across the body to beyond the lateral margins of the carapace and then bending forward in a right-angle; finger-like process present on the inner side of the eye, larger in the male than in the female.
(5) Antennal scale in the male small, armed with long plumose setae around the apex and distal portions of lateral margins; in the female, small and reduced to a small styliform process tipped with a single short bristle.
(6) Exopod of first thoracic appendage vestigial, situated just in front of the second thoracic appendage; endopod normal, situated immediately behind the maxilla. Well-developed epipod present.
(7) Telson small, entire, armed with a varying number of spines and no median plumose setae.
(8) Uropods of adult male nearly three times as long as the telson with the exopod considerably longer than the endopod; in females and juveniles, uropods shorter, either with rami sub-equal or with the endopod longer than the exopod.

\section*{Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann, 1893}
(Fig. 3 I A-G)
1893 Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann, pp. 22, 24, fig.
1905 Caesaromysis hispida, Stebbing, p. 114.
1910 Caesaromysis hispida, Stebbing, p. 403.
1914 Caesaromysis hispida, Zimmer, p. 397, figs.
1930 Caesaromysis hispida, Illig, p. 465, text-figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. \(6^{\circ} 55^{\prime}\) N., \(15^{\circ} 54^{\prime}\) W. 2. xi. 25. West of Monrovia, Liberia, \(800-\mathrm{m}\)., I \(9,7.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 78. 12. vi. 26 (day). Mid-Atlantic between Cape Town and Monte Video, 1000(-0) m., I juv. 와.
St. 81. I8. vi. 26 (day). Mid-Atlantic, west of Cape Town, \(650(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2\) 와, 7.2 mm . with large empty brood pouches.
St. 89. 28. vi. 26 (day). Off Cape Town, \(1000(-0)\) m., 3 small juv.
St. 100. West of Table Bay. Three hauls: (i) 3. x. 26 (day), \(310-260 \mathrm{~m}\)., whole sample, 2 adult ôd, 7 mm ., 3 juv. 오, 5 mm .; (ii) (day), \(2500-2000 \mathrm{~m}\)., 2 juv., 3.5 and 4 mm .; (iii) (day), \(2500(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I adult \(\mathrm{J}^{2}, 6.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 256. 23. vi. 27 (night). Atlantic, west of Cape Town, \(1100-850\) (-0) m., 1 ovig. \(9,8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 267. 23. vii. 27 (night). West of Walvis Bay, \(550-450(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2\) 우, 5.5 mm ., I juv.
St. 282. 12. viii. 27 (night). West of Cape Lopez, \(300(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 3\) juv., \(2-2 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 673. 25. iv. 3I (night). East of Tristan da Cunha, \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 adult \(9,8.2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
 4 mm .
St. 695. II. v. 3 I (night). South of Cape Verde Is., \(370-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(9,6.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 697. 12. v. 3 I (night). South of Cape Verde Is., \(460-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(q, 6 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I \(q\) juv.
St. 699. 14. v. 31. West of Cape Verde Is. Two hauls: (i) (night) \(370-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) small juv.; (ii) (day), \(250-0 \mathrm{~m}\). 2 adult \(\delta^{\top} \delta^{7}, 6.5-7 \mathrm{~mm} ., 299,6.8 \mathrm{~mm}\). The male specimens are very good and it is from them that \(I\) have made the accompanying figures.

St. 1585. 2. v. 35 (night). North of Madagascar, \(500-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., fragments of \(\bar{\delta}\) which I somewhat doubtfully refer to this species.
St. WS 977. 6/7. iii. 50 (night). North-west of Walvis Bay, South Africa (Benguela Current Survey), 500-250 m.,


Remarks. Ortmann's original figures were taken from a male of 9 mm . Neither Zimmer nor Illig had an adult male in his material and the figures which they give are all taken from female specimens.

Both these workers realized that there were considerable sexual differences present in this species. Zimmer (1914, p. 398) says that the antennal scale is variable in its size, that it is smaller in females than in males of the same size and that it varies also in comparison with the spine at the outer distal margin of the sympod. From the Discovery material I am able to trace the gradual development and increase in size of the antennal scale in growing males. In the young of both sexes the scale appears in the form of a small papilla, shorter than the spine arising from the sympod at its base and armed only with a minute bristle at its apex. In fully adult females the scale appears very much as figured by Ortmann ( 1893 , pl. I, fig. \(8 c\) ), as a small styliform outgrowth tipped with two bristles. The largest males in the Discovery collection have a small well-developed scale of the normal leaf-like form, extending almost to the distal margin of the second segment of the peduncle and armed around the apex with 7-9 long plumose setae (Fig. 3I A). The proportions of the abdomen and tail-fan show very marked changes as growth proceeds. In young forms the abdomen is very short and is bent forward beneath the flattened cephalothorax so that, with the very large eyes and the laterally spread thoracic appendages, the animals look rather like megalopa larvae. As growth proceeds, the abdomen tends to become straightened out and longer, but the most noteworthy change takes place in the uropods. In small animals they are short and very little longer than the telson with the exopods shorter than the endopods. Gradually the uropods lengthen and the rami become more equal in length until in adult females they are fully twice as long as the telson with the rami of equal length. In fully grown males, the uropods are nearly three times as long as the telson and the exopods are markedly longer than the endopods.

Considerable variation occurs in the armature of the telson, but this does not appear to bear the same direct relation to size as do the other variable characters. Though the general tendency with growth is towards an increase in the number of spines arming the apex and distal portion of the lateral margins, quite large specimens may have no more spines than small ones. Usually there are three spines on the apex and just one smaller spine on one of the lateral margins (Fig. 31 G ). The statocyst is very large in young animals, but does not increase in size with the growth of the endopods, so that a feature which is very noticeable in juveniles is no longer apparent in adults.

The male pleopods are particularly robust and project beyond the lateral margins of the abdominal somites, so that the abdomen appears much more robust than it actually is. I have not seen a male with the abdomen flexed under the body as is so regularly the case in the female and the outstretched pleon with the longer uropods and the spreading pleopods give the males (Fig. \(3^{\text {r G }}\) ) a vastly different appearance from females of the same length. The marsupial pouch is surprisingly large in ovigerous females and extends backward almost to the telson, thus further increasing the difference in general form between the tivo sexes.

The first thoracic appendage is essentially as figured by Zimmer (1914, pl. xxiv, fig. 30) in the general form of the appendage, but I found in my larger male specimens that the vestige of the exopod is longer and is tipped with one or two short bristles (Fig. 31 C). Zimmer did not figure an endite on the basis at the point of origin of the endopod, but there is a well-developed one in my specimens. The spines at the apex of the dactylus and the nail are armed along one side with long spinules (Fig. 3 r D).

In the endopods of the third to the eighth thoracic appendages the carpus is considerably longer than the propodus and is divided from it by an oblique articulation. The propodus is secondarily divided into two almost equal sub-segments. The genital organ on the eighth thoracic appendage is barrel-shaped and armed distally with a strong bristle (Fig. \(31 \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}\) ).
One further point of sexual difference can be seen in the finger-like outgrowth from the inner side of the eyestalk. In the female this is very small and difficult to see, but in large males it is much longer and extends almost to the distal margin of the cornea (Fig. 31, A).

Colosi (1920, p. 240) instituted a new genus and species, Caesaromysides liguriae, for a very small male specimen taken off Valparaiso. His description and figures so closely resemble young males of Caesaromysis hispida that I very strongly suspect that he was dealing with a young specimen of this species. The principal distinguishing feature of Colosi's new genus is the complete absence of an


Fig. 31. Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann. A, anterior end of adult male in dorsal view, \(\times 16\); B, maxilla, \(\times 24\); C, first thoracic appendage of adult male with rudimentary epipod and exopod, \(\times 24\) (exo =exopod, epi=epipod, end. \(=\) endite \()\); D, distal end of first thoracic endopod; E, endopod of fourth thoracic appendage, \(\times 20 ; F\), eighth thoracic appendage of adult male, \(\times 20\); \(G\), telson and uropods of adult male, \(\times 16\).
exopod on the first thoracic appendage, but I have found that the vestige of this organ is extremely small and difficult to find in small specimens of \(C\). hispida and I think that it is probable that it was overlooked by Colosi. For the present I feel that Caesaromysides liguriae can only be accepted with reserve.

In the tube from station 81 there is a note on colour: 'Eyes fawn brown with longitudinal splashes of milk white-a touch of red on mouth parts, on fifth legs at junction of exopod and endopod, and a few red chromatophores on incubatory lamellae-otherwise quite transparent.'

Distribution. This species is widely distributed in the warmer waters of the Atlantic and has once been taken in the Indian Ocean. It was recorded from five stations in equatorial waters in mid-Atlantic (Ortmann, 1893 ); from off the west of Cape Town (Stebbing, 1905); Gulf of Guinea, west of Cape Town, west of Cape Verde Islands (Zimmer, 1914); Gulf of Guinea, Atlantic, west of Angra Pequena and north-west of Cape Town, east of Maldives (Indian Ocean) (Illig, 1930).

In the Discovery collections, it occurred at fourteen stations in the Atlantic, between \(14^{\circ} \mathrm{N}\). and \(38^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\). Fragments of a male from station 1585 , to the north of Madagascar, probably belong to this species, for the form of the spines and the shape and size of the antennal scale are as in Caesaromysis hispida, but the telson has more spines on the lateral margins than I have seen in this species. In the absence of the thoracic endopods and part of the thorax, I am unable to say whether there was a normal exopod on the first thoracic appendage. It may be a specimen of Echinomysis chumi, a species which has been recorded from these waters.

Except for one record by Ortmann, in which he states that a closing net fishing between 200 and 400 m . was used, the species, apart from the Discovery material, has always been taken in vertical or oblique hauls from depths of 3000 m . to the surface. It has never been taken at the surface. During the Discovery investigations, it was captured on a number of occasions in closing nets and we are now able to say with more precision at what depths the animals were living. It appeared in two hauls taken at station 100 C in closing nets fishing at \(260-310 \mathrm{~m}\). and at \(2500-2000 \mathrm{~m}\). and was also taken in a closing net at station 673 fishing at \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\). It would therefore appear to be usually a deepwater form. The shallowest water in which it was taken was at station \(282,230(-0) \mathrm{m}\).

Caesaromysis hispida has never been taken in large numbers, rarely more than one or two at a time and is obviously not a gregarious form.

\section*{Genus Arachnomysis Chun, 1887}

\section*{Arachnomysis leuckartii Chun, 1887}

1887 Arachnomysis leuckartii Chun, p. 33, figs.
1896 Arachnomysis leuckartii, Chun, p. 169, figs.
1905 Arachnomysis leuckartii, Thiele, p. 445, figs.
191 I \(b\) Arachnomysis leuckartii, Tattersall, p. 56.
1914 Arachnomysis leuckartii, Zimmer, p. 399.
\(193^{\circ}\) Arachnomysis leuckartii, Illig, p. 469, text-figs.
1951 Arachnomysis leuckartii, Tattersall and Tattersall, p. 282, figs. 68-9.
Occurrence:
St. 270. 27. vii. 27 (night). West of Benguela, \(200(-0)\) m., 1 早, 7 mm .
St. 282. 12. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea, \(300(-0)\) m., I very small juv.
St. 290. 24. viii. 27 (dawn). West of Sierra Leone, \(100(-0) \mathrm{m}\), I imm. \({ }_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 694. Io. v. \(3^{1}\) (night). Mid-Atlantic, south-south-west of Cape Verde Is., 2 IO ( -0 ) m., I \(\circ, 6.8 \mathrm{~mm}\). with very small brood pouch.
St. 699. 14. v. \(3^{1}\) (night). West of Cape Verde Is., \(250-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) small juv. ㅇ.
St. WS 986. 10. iii. 50 (day). West of Spencer Bay, South-west Africa, \(50-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(+5,5.6 \mathrm{~mm}\). (Benguela Current Survey).
Distribution. This species was for many years thought to be confined to the Mediterranean, where it had been recorded on many occasions from deep water (1050-2000 m.). Later it was recorded from the East Atlantic slope off the south-west of Ireland. Illig (1930, p. 469) recorded it from the Gulf of Guinea ( 2000 m .) ; west of Angra Pequena ( 500 m .) ; South Atlantic and west of Chagos Islands ( 2000 m .); Seychelles ( I 500 m. ); west of Arimante Islands ( 2000 m .); east of Ras Hafun ( I 500 m .).

The specimens in the Discovery collection were captured in much shallower water than has hitherto been recorded for the species, the deepest being at station 282 where it was found in a haul from 300 m . to the surface. At station 290 it was taken at dawn in a haul from only 100 m . During the Benguela Current Survey an adult female was taken in the morning in a haul of only 50 m . to the surface. It is significant that nearly all the specimens were taken at night or very early dawn and it may well be that these animals, in common with so many other mysids, frequent deeper waters by day and carry out vertical upward migrations during the hours of darkness.

The Discovery records do not add to its known geographical range.

\section*{Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer, 1914}

1914 Arachnomysis megalops Zimmer, p. 401, pl. 25, figs. 35.
1930 Arachnomysis megalops, Illig, p. 470.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 252. 20. vi. 27 (night). Midway between Cape Town and Gough I., \(135 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) ơ \({ }^{\boldsymbol{T}}, 8 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 267. 23. vii. 27 (night). Off Walvis Bay, South Africa, \(55^{\circ-450(-0) ~ m ., ~} 4\) ổ̉, not adult; 3 우 with large empty brood pouches, largest 8 mm ., \& small juv.
St. 268. 25. vii. 27 (night). West of Cape Frio, \(150-100(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 1 \mathrm{imm} . \hat{0}, 7 \cdot 5 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) small juv.
St. 282. 12. viii. 27 (night). Gulf of Guinea, \(300(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I ovig.,+ 7.8 mm .
St. 674. 25. iv. \(3^{1}\) (night). West of Tristan da Cunha, \(280-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) OP, 8-9.5 mm.
St. 692. 9. v. 31 (night). Mid-Atlantic just north of equator, 3500 m ., I \({ }^{\text {ot, }} 5 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I \(9,4.5 \mathrm{~mm}\)., both juv.
St. 694. Io. v. 3 I (night). Mid-Atlantic, south-south-west of Cape Verde Is., 2 10-0 m., I \(\circ\), with very small brood pouch, 6.8 mm .
St. 697. 12. v. \(3^{1}\) (night). South-west of Cape Verde Is., \(460-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \(9,6.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 699. 14. v. \(3^{1}\) (night). West of Cape Verde Is., \(370-0 \mathrm{~m} .\), I \(9,6.4 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 704. 19. x. 3 I (night). South of Cape Verde Is., 23 I-0 m., I juv. 8 .
St. 706. 2I. x. \(3^{\text {I }}\) (night). North-east of Pernambuco, \(354^{-0}\) m., i juv. 오.
Remarks. This species can be distinguished from \(A\). leuckartii Chun by the large balloon-like eyes, which are almost as wide as they are long, and by the larger number of facets in the cornea. In \(A\). leuckartii there are from ten to fourteen facets across the largest diameter, but in this species there are from eighteen to tiventy-five. Zimmer figured the anterior end of his type specimen and showed only four spinous processes on the anterior margin of the carapace, arranged two on either side of the median line. I have not found this arrangement in any of the Discovery specimens. Although the length of the spines may vary, there is always one in the median line and at least two on each side of it. However, in all other respects these specimens agree so closely with the published descriptions of \(A\). megalops, that I have no hesitation in referring them to this species.

Distribution. Zimmer's types were taken in vertical hauls from \(3000-0 \mathrm{~m}\). in the Gulf of Guinea and west of St Paul de Loanda. Illig (1930) recorded three females from vertical hauls from 3000-\(2000-0 \mathrm{~m}\). one off the south-west of Sierra Leone and two from the Gulf of Guinea. The Discovery material proves it to be widely distributed in the South Atlantic. All previous records were from vertical hauls ranging through a considerable column of water, so that it was not possible to say at which level the animals were actually living. It is now evident from the captures made by 'Discovery' and 'Discovery II' that they are not confined to the deeper levels. Eight of the nine hauls in which the species occurred were taken obliquely from \(354^{-200} \mathrm{~m}\). to the surface; the net failed to close at one station, 267 , at a depth of 500 m . All the hauls were taken at night and it may be possible that this species, as well as \(A\). leuckartii, carries out upward migrations during hours of darkness and may be found in deeper water during the day.

Tribe LEPTOMYSINI

\section*{Genus Mysidetes Holt and Tattersall, 1906}

1885 a ? Mysidopsis G. O. Sars, p. 202.
1905 Mysideis (pars) Holt and Tattersall, p. 127.
1906 Mysidetes Holt and Tattersall, \(a\), p. 39; b, p. 10.
1906 a Metamysidella Illig, p. 210.
1908 Mysidetes, Tattersall, p. 32.
Remiarks. G. O. Sars ( \(1885 a\), p. 202) formed a new species for a very damaged female specimen collected by 'Challenger' off Australia and doubtfully referred it to the genus Mysidopsis as M. incisa. From the form of the telson and the endopods of the uropods it is probable that this specimen should be referred to the genus Mysidetes, but in the absence of males it is impossible to be quite certain on this point.

Illig (1906a, p. 210) founded a new genus and species, Metamysidella kerguelensis, for specimens collected by the 'Tiefsee-Expedition', \(1898-9\). He did not mention the form of the male pleopods nor the male genital organ, but there is no doubt that his species must be referred to the genus Mysidetes, which had been founded earlier in the same year by Holt and 'Tattersall (x906a, p. 39) for specimens collected in the Antarctic.

These authors considered that the rudimentary form of the pleopods in both sexes was sufficiently distinctive a character to warrant the institution of a new subfamily which they named Mysidetinae. This suggestion has not been adopted by subsequent workers and the genus Mysidetes remains in the tribe Leptomysini of the subfamily Mysinae.

The genus Mysidetes can be distinguished from all other genera of the tribe Leptomysini by the rudimentary form of the male pleopods, and by the larger number of sub-segments into which the carpo-propodus of the third to the eighth thoracic endopods is divided. In this respect the genus resembles the genus Psendomysis, but in that genus the male pleopods are normal and not reduced.

One of the most remarkable features of Mysidetes is the very long, forwardly directed, tubular genital organ of the male, which in some species, notably M. kerguelensis (Illig), is enormously elongate and may extend beyond the anterior margin of the antennular peduncle. Similar long tubular male genital organs are found in two other genera of mysids, Heteromysis and Mysidella, although these belong to widely separated tribes and subfamilies. It may be difficult to distinguish females of these genera, but males may be recognized by the form of the first and third thoracic endopods. In Mysidetes the endopods of both these appendages are normal and not swollen or modified; in Heteromysis the carpo-propodus of the third thoracic endopod is unsegmented and very swollen with the dactylus bending over to form a strong sub-chela, while in Mysidella the endopod of the first thoracic appendage has the propodus very swollen and armed with strong spines.

Six species, five of which are from Antarctic waters, have been referred to this genus, but the Discovery material is so rich that, in addition to adding some details to already known species, I have been obliged to found no fewer than six new species. There is considerable individual variation in the members of the species of Mysidetes and much evidence that growth changes take place after maturity has been reached. It may be that later workers will consider that in some cases further divisions should be made within the new species here described. I have tried to find clear and definite specific characters for these new species and have in no case relied upon a single specimen.

All the five species previously recorded from Antarctic waters, except \(M\). hanseni Zimmer, are represented in this collection. The new species, which I have named M. microps, resembles Zimmer's
description of \(M\). hanseni in some respects, principally in the small size of the eyes with the cornea terminally situated and not extending laterally over the eyestalks, and also in the shape and proportions of the rostral plate. There are, however, one or two outstanding differences. The peduncles of the first and second antennae are of equal length in M. microps and I have not found any specimens among the Discovery material in which this is not the case. In M. hanseni, on the other hand, the antennular peduncle is much longer than the antennal peduncle which extends only to the distal margin of its second segment. Zimmer stated definitely that the inner margin of the endopods of the uropods was armed with setae only, whereas in M. microps there is an uneven row of fine spines among the setae. Furthermore, though in both species the cleft of the telson is shallow and widely open, the armature of the lateral margins of the telson is very different.
In his second description of \(M\). kerguelensis, Illig (1930, p. 472) mentioned that the male genital organ was very long, and gave a figure in which it extended forward beyond the region of the mouth. I have found specimens in the Discovery material in which the genital organ reaches far beyond the distal end of the antennular peduncle, but in other characters the specimens conform so closely to Illig's description that I have no hesitation in referring them to his species. The genital organ increases with the growth of the animals in some species and may show great variation though in other respects the males appear to be sexually mature, with dense hirsute brushes on the antennules. The actual length of the organ is so variable that I do not consider that this character can safely be used for the separation of species.

Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall, 1906
1906 a Mysidetes posthon Holt and Tattersall, p. 10.
1908 Mysidetes posthon, Tattersall, p. 33, pl. v11, figs.
1923 Mysidetes posthon, Tattersall, p. 287.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 39. 25. iii. 26 (day). Cumberland Bay, \(235-179 \mathrm{~m}\)., over 50 adults, largest 15.4 mm ., some \(9 \%\) ovigerous, 10 juv.
St. 42. I. iv. 26 (day). Cumberland Bay, 204-120 m., I \({ }^{\hat{c}}, 12 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 2 adult
St. 45. 6 . iv. 26 (day). Off South Georgia, \(270-238 \mathrm{~m}\)., 14 adult of \(^{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\), largest 15.6 mm .; 7 adult 9 , 9 , largest 16 mm ., few juv.
St. 123. 15. xii. 26 (day). Off South Georgia, 230-250 m., over 100, largest 17.2 mm .
St. 142. 30. xii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, \(88-273\) m., I adult ô, 18.4 mm ., I imm. of, 15 mm .; 5 mm . fof, 15 mm .; many juv. up to 10 mm .
St. 143. 30. xii. 26 (day). Cumberland Bay, \(273 \mathrm{~m} ., 12\) juv., largest 10 mm .
St. 144. 5. i. 27 (day). Cumberland Bay, \({ }^{5} 55^{-17} 8 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) juv., largest II mm.

St. \({ }^{152 .}\) 17. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia, 245 m ., I adult \(\mathrm{O}, 17.2 \mathrm{~mm}\)., I imm . \(\circ\), \(14^{2} 2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 154. 18. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia, \(60-160 \mathrm{~m}\)., 13 adult \(\mathrm{O}^{\circ} \mathrm{J}^{2}, 17 \cdot 2-17 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 5 adult 90 , largest 17 mm ., 14 juv.
St. \({ }^{156.20 .}\) i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia, 200-236 m., 3 juv.
St. 167. 20. ii. 27 (day). Off Signy Island, South Orkneys, 244-344 m., 12 ơơ, largest \(20 \mathrm{~mm} ., 17\) fif, largest 22 mm . (those of 17 mm ., quite imm.), 12 juv.
 fully adult; 3 juv.
St. 182. 14. iii. 27 (day). Palmer Archipelago, 278-500 m., I đ̂, \(17 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) 早只, 18 mm ., all imm.
St. 187. 18. iii. 27 (day). Palmer Archipelago, \(259 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) adult \(q, 27 \mathrm{~mm}\)., with very small eighth thoracic endopod.
 22 mm . I imm. i, 20 mm .
St. 363. 26. ii. 30 (day). South Sandwich Is., \(329-278 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \({ }^{2}\), \(18.5 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) fragments.
St. 368. 8. iii. 30 (day). South Sandwich Is., 653 m ., I adult đ̂, 22 mm .

St．1652．23．i． 36 （day）．Bay of Whales， 567 m ．， 1 imm ． \(9,16.8 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．I660．27．i． 36 （day）．Bay of Whales， 35 I m．，I adult,+ 25 mm ．
St．I872．12．xi． 36 （day）．Scotia Sea， 247 m ．，ı imm．ô，I 1.4 mm ．

 golden＇．
St．WS 219．3．vi． 28 （day）．North of Falkland Is．，II6－114 m．，I ô， 144 mm ．
St．WS 229．I．vii． 28 （day）．North－east of Falkland Is．， \(210-271 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) 万ず，larger 17 mm ．adult； 58 juv．up to 14 mm ．
St．WS 239．I 5．vii． 28 （night）．West of Falkland Is．，196－193 mm．，I ô， 16 mm ．
Remarks．The specimens which I have here referred to \(M\) ．posthon show considerable individual variation，but these are too inconsistent to admit of the grouping of the animals into separate species． As is the case in many other species，specimens from the southern，colder waters are much larger than those captured farther north and attain sexual maturity at a much larger size．Females of \(15-16 \mathrm{~mm}\) ． taken at station 45 off South Georgia have large，fully developed oostegites，while specimens of over 18 mm ．from the Bay of Whales are quite immature with small oostegites only just beginning to develop．

There is considerable variation in the size of the eyes and in the amount of uptilting of the lateral margins of the small rostral plate．It may be that the specimens，which I have referred to \(M\) ．posthon， represent in fact more than one species，but no two specimens appear to be absolutely alike and I think it better for the present to regard the differences as examples of individual variation．

Distribution．M．posthon has a circumpolar distribution and has been taken，often in considerable numbers，by all the principal South Polar and Antarctic Expeditions．The most northerly latitude at which it has been taken is from near Kerguelen Island at＇about \(49^{\circ}\) S．＇（Illig，i930，p．488）and the most southerly from the Bay of Whales， \(75^{\circ} 56 \cdot 2^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\) ．in the Discovery collection．It would appear to be gregarious in its habits，especially when young．

\section*{Mysidetes kerguelensis（Illig）， 1906}

1906 a Metamysidella kerguelensis Illig，p．210，figs．
1930 Mysidetes kerguelensis（Illig），p．472，text－figs．

\section*{Occurrence：}

St．MS 68．2．iii． 26 （day）．East Cumberland Bay，220－247 m．， 14 adult ổ，largest 8.4 mm ．， 3 adult of（ 2 with

St．39．25．iii． 26 （day）．East Cumberland Bay， \(179-235 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) adult ỗ ，largest \(8 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) juv．ôd, 6 mm ．， I adult \＆， 8.4 mm ．
St． 142 ．30．xii． 26 （day）．East Cumberland Bay， \(88-273 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 2 imm ．ơo， \(6 \cdot 4-7 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．，I adult \(8,8 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．， 2 juv．
Remarks．The Discovery specimens agree very closely with Illig＇s description and figures，but appear to be adult at a smaller size than his types．The most remarkable feature of this species is the enormous development of the male genital organ．In the immature specimen of 6.4 mm ．from station \(14^{2}\) it extends as far forward as the mouth，but in all the males of over 8 mm ．it stretches forward quite considerably beyond the distal margin of the antennular peduncle．In the specimen of 8.2 mm ． from station 39 the genital organ measures just over 5 mm ．

The specimens which were taken at station MS 68 are all very dark in colour，but those from the other stations are opaque and quite colourless and have no pigment in the eyes．This gives them a peculiar dead appearance and makes the animals easy to pick out when sorting．

Distribution．The types were taken off Kerguelen Island and there have been no other records until the present one from Cumberland Bay，South Georgia．

\section*{Mysidetes crassa Hansen, 1913}
(Fig. 33 H )
1913 Mysidetes crassa Hansen, p. 18, figs. pl. 11, \(3^{a-g}\); pl. 111, 1a-c.
Occurrence:
St. 51. 4. v. 26 (day). East Falkland Is., \(105^{-115} \mathrm{~m} .\), I adult \({ }^{\text {ond }}\), 10 mm .
St. 371 r. 14. iii. 30 (day). South Sandwich Is., \(99-161 \mathrm{~m} ., 29\) with well developed oostegites, 3 badly damaged (doubtful).
St. WS 213. 30. v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., 249-239 m., I adult \(9,9 \cdot 8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. WS 226. io. vi. 28 (day). North-west of Falkland Is., \(144^{-1} 5^{2} \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 adult \(\circ\), 10 mm ., and i small juv. (damaged).
St. WS 773. 31. x. 3 I (day). Patagonian Shelf, north of Falkland Is, \(291-298 \mathrm{~m} ., 1 \mathrm{~J}^{2}\), broken in two pieces.
Remarks. This species was founded by Hansen on a single immature female, which was captured by the Swedish Antarctic Expedition (1901-3) off the Falkland Islands at a depth of 40 m . It has not been recorded since. I am now able to add a few details to Hansen's description because the Discovery collection contains adults of both sexes.

The general form, proportions and shape of the rostrum, antennae and appendages of these specimens agree very closely with the type. The shape and proportions of the uropods and telson also are typical, but I find certain differences in the armature of these organs, which I ascribe to the immaturity of Hansen's specimen. In the type only the distal half of the lateral margins of the telson is armed with nine spines, each increasing regularly in size towards the apical lobes. These are armed with an outer strong long spine and an inner shorter one. Only the proximal half of the cleft is armed with small teeth, the distal half being naked. I give (Fig. 33 H ) a figure of the posterior end of the adult female from station 226. In it, the lateral margins of the telson are armed near the base with three minute spines. These are followed by a small unarmed gap and then by a close row of \(17-19\) stronger spines, which increase regularly in size towards the apex. Closer examination reveals that in many of the spaces between these spines, there is a single minute spine, especially in the proximal half of the spine row. Each apical lobe is armed with a long strong spine flanked by two, almost equal, shorter spines on its inner side. The cleft is armed throughout the whole of its length with a close row of even, fine teeth. The inner margin of the endopod of the uropod is armed with a close row of regularly graduated spines, which are very slender and relatively long distally, extending almost to the apex (Fig. 33 H ). Hansen did not mention any armature on the endopods of the uropods in his description nor did he şhow any in his figure. These spines in the Discovery specimens are very slender and difficult to see, but it seems unlikely that Hansen would have overlooked them had they been present in the specimen he examined.

Tattersall (1923, p. 287) recorded that in juvenile specimens of \(M\). posthon the lateral margins of the telson are armed with a few spines at the base followed by an unarmed portion and then with a close row of spines which extend to the apex. In older animals the unarmed gap in the armature disappears, so that there is an unbroken row of close spines from the base of the telson to the apical lobes. Smaller spines appear between the older larger ones and in adults the typical arrangement in series has been established.

I suggest that the same development may occur in M. crassa. Although the specimen which I have figured is apparently sexually mature, it may well be that growth continues after sexual maturity has been reached. Larger animals may be found in which there is no gap at all in the armature of the lateral margins and in which the slight tendency to an arrangement of the lateral spines in series has proceeded further and in which spines have developed along the whole of the margins of the apical cleft.

The only objection to this suggestion is that Hansen's specimen measured 9 mm . and 'the marsupial lamellae were scarcely half-developed'. In the Discovery collection an apparently adult female with large, well-developed lamellae measured only 9.8 mm . and this increase in size is small to be associated with such considerable changes in the armature of the tail fan. However, it is possible that Hansen's specimen did have spines arming the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod. As the whole form of these specimens, the shape and proportions of the anterior end of the body and appendages, and of the tail fan agree closely with Hansen's description of M. crassa and, furthermore, they come from the same locality, I feel justified in referring them to that species.

The male genital organ in the largest male is not unusually long and extends forward only to the region of the third thoracic somite.

Distribution. The species is only known from the north and west of the Falkland Is. and, apart from the Discovery material, has not been recorded since it was first discovered. Its occurrence round the South Sandwich Islands, if my diagnosis is correct, considerably extends its range to the southward.

\section*{Mysidetes brachylepis Tattersall, 1923}

1923 Mysidetes brachylepis Tattersall, p. 288, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 148. 9. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia, \(132-148 \mathrm{~m} .1 \hat{0}, 17 \mathrm{~mm}\)., not fully adult.
St. 172. 26. ii. 27 (day). Off Deception I., South Shetlands, \(5^{25} 5 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult đ̂, 20 mm .
St. WS 212. 30. v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., \(242-249 \mathrm{~m} ., 1 \mathrm{imm} . \quad, 13.8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Remarks. This species was founded on a single adult female captured by 'Terra Nova' at the mouth of McMurdo Sound in 457 m . The Discovery specimens conform closely to the type. The genital organ differs considerably in the two male specimens at my disposal. In the larger specimen of 20 mm ., the antennular brushes are very well developed and the genital organs are long, running forward parallel to one another in the mid-ventral line as far as the level of the third thoracic somite, then diverging and curving outward to beyond the level of the mouth. They are not swollen distally, but bear the usual single seta at the apex. In the smaller male of 17 mm . the antennular brushes are not so densely hirsute and the genital organs are much shorter, extending forward only as far as the level of the fifth thoracic somite. There is no distal curve, but their distal ends are expanded and spatulate. In all other respects this specimen agrees so closely with the others and with the type, that I am sure that it belongs to the same species, but I am unable to say whether the differences which I have mentioned are due to age or are traumatic. The armature of the telson and uropods conforms exactly to the type except in one detail. The single large spine arming each apical lobe in the Discovery specimens is flanked on its inner side by a smaller spine, about half as long as the large spine, but this is not present in the type. These spines are fragile and the two are only present in two apical lobes among my specimens, though the indentations marking their point of origin can be seen. Tattersall mentioned that his specimen was much mutilated; possibly it had two spines at each apex, but the inner one had been lost.

Distribution. The type was taken in the Ross Sea area and the Discovery captures indicate a wide, possibly circumpolar, range for this species.

\section*{Mysidetes microps sp.n.}

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. 32A-J)
St. 39. 25. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, \(179-235 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 imm . \({ }^{\mathbf{~}}\), 12.8 mm .
St. 45. 6. iv. 26 (day). Mouth of Cumberland Bay, 238-270 m., 5 ổ, 9 ㅇํ.
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St. 123. 15. xii. 26 (day). Mouth of Cumberland Bay, \(230-250 \mathrm{~m}\)., 54 ở, largest \(16 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 70 of, largest 16.4 mm .

St. 140. 23. xii. 26 (day). Cumberland Bay, \(122-136 \mathrm{~m} ., 4\) badly damaged.

St. 144. 5. i. 27 (day). Off South Georgia, \(\mathrm{I}_{5} 5-178 \mathrm{~m}\)., fragments.
St. 148. 9. i. 27 (day). Off Cape Saunders, South Georgia, I32-148 m., 5 adult 99 , \(16 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\).

St. 190. 24. iii. 27 (day). Palmer Archipelago, \(93-126 \mathrm{~m}\)., fragments (doubtful).
St. WS 219. 3. vi. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., \(116-114\) m., I \({ }^{\hat{\prime}}\) (doubtful).
St. MS 20. 9. iv. 25 (day). East Cumberland Bay, 200-160 m., I imm. ㅇ, ir \(\cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\).

St. MS 68. 2. iii. 26 (day). Cumberland Bay, 220-247 m., 70, all imm.
St. MS 71. 9. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, 110-60 m., large numbers of fragments.
Description. Carapace very short anteriorly, with the short acutely pointed rostral plate produced forward between the widely diverging eyes (Fig. 32 A ); lateral margins of rostrum markedly concave and more or less uptilted. In many specimens the anterior portion of the rostrum is bent downward between the eyes and in dorsal view appears to be blunter and shorter than it really is. Antennal peduncle unusually long, extending slightly beyond the distal margin of the antennular peduncle; scale small and narrowly oval, five times as long as broad; upper dorsal spine on the sympod at the base of the scale short and thick and rather blunt, ventral spine long and sharp (Fig. \(32 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}\) ). Eyes small, set widely apart and diverging, eyestalk rather long, cornea not wider than eyestalk and occupying the distal end of the organ, not extending along the outer lateral margin of eyestalk (Fig. 32A). Pigment pale yellow to gold, very rarely dark in preserved specimens. Mandibles strong with well-developed lacinia mobilis and unusually strong spine row; palp slender (Fig. 32 C). First and second thoracic appendages somewhat long and slender (Fig. 32D, E). Endopods of remaining thoracic appendages with the carpo-propodus composed of seven (occasionally eight) sub-segments. In some specimens the eighth has only six sub-segments; nail well developed (Fig. 32 F ). Male genital organ robust and somewhat thickened distally, extending forward to the first thoracic somite in largest specimens. Pleopods rather unusually long for the genus (Fig. 32 G ). Uropods, endopods extending only slightly beyond the apex of telson; inner margin armed with \(16-17\) fine, irregularly spaced spines extending along the distal three-fourths of the margin, smaller and more crowded proximally (Fig. 32 H ). Telson more than twice as long as broad at the base; lateral margins armed at the base with \(5^{-6}\) strong spines succeeded by \(4^{-5}\) widely spaced very tiny spines, which are absent in smaller animals, and then by a close row of spines arranged in series. The long spines of this series may be very long and slender and the small spines in the spaces between them are particularly small and not graduated; cleft shallow and widely open, one-twelfth of the length of the telson in depth; armed with about \({ }^{1} 3^{-1} 4\) small even teeth on each side (Fig. 32 J ).

Length of adults of both sexes, 17 mm ., but females of \(\mathrm{I}_{3} \mathrm{~mm}\). may have very large oostegites and one of 13 mm . from station \(4^{2}\) was ovigerous.

Remarks. M. microps can at once be recognized by the small golden eyes with the cornea small and confined to the distal end of the organ. In this respect it differs sharply from nearly all the other species of the genus in which the eyes are large and the cornea extends over the lateral portion of the organ almost to its base. The shallow, widely open cleft of the telson also affords a ready means of recognizing the species.

Distribution. All the certain captures of this species were made in or off the mouth of Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. All were taken during hours of daylight during the southern summer, in depths of 60-273 m.

I very doubtfully refer to this species some fragments from station 190 in the Bismarck Strait, Palmer Archipelago, and a single immature male from station WS 219. In these specimens the cleft of the telson is typical, but the details of the anterior end do not conform with the types.


Fig. 32. Mysidetes microps sp.n. A, anterior end of adult female in dorsal view, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{B}\), right antenna, \(\times 20\); C, mandibles with left mandibular palp in ventral view, \(\times 20\); D , first thoracic appendage, \(\times 20\); E , second thoracic appendage, \(\times 20\); F, distal end of endopod of seventh thoracic appendage, \(\times 20\); G, fifth pleopod of male, \(\times 20 ; \mathrm{H}\), right uropod, \(\times 20\); J, telson, \(\times 20\).

\section*{Mysidetes macrops sp.n.}
(Fig. \(33 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{G}\) )

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. I42. 30. xii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, 88-273 m., 2 ổ, 7 fof, largest 15.8 mm ., I small juv.
 adults, 15 mm .

St．WS 213．30．v． 28 （day）．North of Falkland Is．，249－239 m．， 3 ỗ， 4 fof，largest \(13 \cdot 2\) ，all imm．
St．WS 227．12．vi． 28 （dawn）．Off Falkland Is．， \(320-298 \mathrm{~m} ., 5\) ở̉，largest \(16.6 \mathrm{~mm} ., 4\) ff，imm．， 13 mm ．； 8 juv．
St．WS 229．1．vii． 28 （day）．North－east of Falkland Is．， \(210-271 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) adult ôず， \(15-16 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．，6I juv．
St．WS 233．5．vii． 28 （day）．North of Falkland Is．， \(185^{-1} 75 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) 28 ， 15 mm ．，adult，I juv．
St．WS 234．5．vii． 28 （night）．North of Falkland Is．， \(195-207 \mathrm{~m} ., 40^{\circ} \delta^{\wedge}, 8\) 아，largest 14 mm ．，all imm．
St．WS 236．6．vii． 28 （dusk to night）．North of Falkland Is．， \(272-300 \mathrm{~m}\) ．Two tubes：（i） \(5 \hat{0} \hat{0}, 15 \cdot 6-15 \cdot 8 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．， 1 （ f （imm．）， 14 mm ．，I small juv．；（ii） 10 of \({ }^{\circ}, 17\) 早（some ovigerous）， \(15 \cdot 8-16 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．，fragments．

St．WS 245．18．vii． 28 （night）．South－west of Falkland Is．， \(304-290 \mathrm{~m}\). ，i 1 ¢ P and large number of fragments in bad condition．
St．WS 772．30．x． 31 （day）．North of Falkland Is．，309－163 m．，92，nearly all adult，largest 16.0 mm ．Types．
St．WS 8i8．i7．i． 32 （day）．West－south－west of Falkland Is．， \(272-278 \mathrm{~m} ., 9\) adult \({ }^{\circ} \delta \delta^{\circ}\) ，largest 16 mm ．，i4 adult 아（3 ovigerous）， \(15-16 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．， 5 juv．
St．WS 839．5．ii． 3 I（dusk to night）．South－west of Falkland Is．， \(503-534 \mathrm{~m} ., 8 \mathrm{I}\) ，largest 16 mm ．，many adult．
St．WS 87 I．I．iv． 32 （day）．South－west of Falkland Is．， \(336-342 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I adult \(\hat{\delta}, 16 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．， 1 imm ．\(\delta\) ，I 4 mm ．，I adult f， 16.0 mm ．，fragments．
St．MS 68．2．iii． 26 （day）．Cumberland Bay，South Georgia，220－247 m．， 6 ôd ，I \(\stackrel{O}{4}\) ，largest \(14 \mathrm{~mm} ., 5\) small juv．， fragments．
Description．Carapace very short anteriorly，leaving the whole of the eyes completely uncovered； rostral plate with very short pointed apex（Fig．33A）．Antennular peduncle moderately robust，with the process from the outer distal corner of the first segment longer than the outer margin of the second segment（Fig． 33 B）．Antennal scale small，only slightly longer than the antennular peduncle；outer margin nearly straight，inner margin convex；peduncle small and slender，less than two－thirds as long as the scale．The two spines on the outer distal angle of the sympod at the base of the scale are of equal size and unusually long（Fig． \(33 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C}\) ）．Eyes very large，extending laterally beyond the carapace， with the cornea extending over nearly all the outer margins of the eyestalks（Fig． 33 A）．Mandible with the second segment of the＇palp＇only very slightly expanded（Fig．33D）．Thoracic endopods slender with the carpo－propodus composed of 9 －10 sub－segments．Genital appendage in the male curving outward distally and extending to the mouth region（Fig． 33 E ）．Uropods with the endopods short，only slightly longer than the telson；armed along the inner margins with a close row of long， slender spines from the region of the statocyst（where they are shorter）to within one－third of the length to the apex；distal third of margins armed with \(4^{-5}\) evenly spaced spines with the last one quite near the apex（Fig． 33 F）．Telson，lateral margins naked at the base；armed from the level of the stato－ cyst with a close row of spines which increase somewhat in size distally and may have one，rarely two， very small spines in the spaces between the larger ones．These small spines may easily be overlooked and in young individuals there are very few，especially at the distal end of the series；apical lobes rounded，armed with one long spine，flanked on its inner side with two shorter equal spines； cleft more than one－fourth of the telson in depth，armed on each side with about seventeen teeth （Fig． 33 G）．

Length 16 mm ．but females may be adult at less than 15 mm ．
Remarks．This species closely resembles \(M\) ．posthon，which also has very large eyes，but may be distinguished by the shorter antennal scale with its straight outer margin，the short uropods with the very close row of spines arming the inner margin of the endopod and the distal spaced spines extending nearly to the apex，by the relatively shorter telson with its deep cleft and，particularly，by the armature of its lateral margins．In \(M\) ．posthon the intervals between the larger spines of the telson are much longer，especially at the distal end of the series and are occupied with 5－9 small spines，but in M．macrops the larger spines are closer together and there are never more than two small spines in a
space. Very large eyes are also found in M. patagonica and \(M\). anomala, but in these species the armature of the telson is too distinctive for them to be confused with \(M\). macrops.

Distribution. This species has been taken at thirteen stations around the Falkland Islands and at two in Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. It would appear to be quite abundant in suitable localities.
C



Fig. 33. Mysidetes macrops sp.n. (A-G). A, anterior end of male in dorsal view, \(\times 12\); B , left antennular peduncle, \(\times 16\), C , left antenna, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{D}\), mandible, \(\times 16\); E, eighth thoracic appendage of male, \(\times 12 ; \mathrm{F}\), left uropod, \(\times 16\); G , telson of female, \(\times 16\).

Mysidetes crassa Hansen. H, telson and left uropod, \(\times 16\).

\section*{Mysidetes intermedia sp.n.}

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. 34, A-L)
St. 51. 4. v. 26 (day). East Falkland I., \(105-115 \mathrm{~m} ., 1 \mathrm{imm} .0\), 13.6 mm .
St. WS 243. 17. vii. 28 (dusk to dark). West of Falkland Is., \(144^{-1} 4^{1} \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. specimen, badly damaged.
 brood sac.
 many fragments. Types.
St. WS 784.5 . xii. 3 I (day). North of Falkland Is., \(170-164 \mathrm{~m}\). , I juv. (doubtful).
St. WS Soi. 22. xii. 3 I (day). North of Falkland Is., \(165-165 \mathrm{~m}\)., 3 ổ̂̉, largest 144 mm . adult, i juv.
Remarks. M. intermedia shows many characters which are intermediate between those of M. macrops and M. microps. In general form, the proportions of the antennae, the uropods and the


Fig. 34. Mysidetes intermedia sp.n. A, anterior end of male in dorsal view; B, left antennule of male; C, right antenna; D, labrum; E, mandibles; F, maxillule; G, maxilla; H, endopod of first thoracic appendage; J, endopod of seventh thoracic appendage; \(K\), fourth pleopod of male; L, telson and right uropod. All \(\times 25\).
telson, it very closely resembles \(M\). macrops, but differs in the rather longer rostral plate, the slightly longer antennal peduncle, the absence of spines on the distal third of the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod and, especially, in the smaller eyes. These are set rather close together and are turned outward so that, though the cornea really occupies only the distal part of the organ, as it does in M. microps, it appears to extend laterally as in M. macrops. The eyes are larger than in M. microps and the cornea is wider than the eyestalk, but the eyes do not extend laterally as far as the margins of the carapace, and in dorsal view they do not cover the antennal scale (Fig. 34A).
\(M\). intermedia may be distinguished from \(M\). microps at once by the shorter eyestalks, the larger cornea, the armature of the endopods of the uropods, the larger, more regular spines arming the lateral margins of the telson and, particularly, by the deep, narrow cleft of the telson (Fig. 34A, L).

The 'palp' of the maxilla is expanded and is almost as long as broad, and the labrum is more pointed anteriorly than in either of the other two species (Fig. 34D, G).

Distribution. This species has been taken at four stations off the Falkland Islands and at one in the Strait of Magellan.

\section*{Mysidetes patagonica sp.n.}

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. WS 210. 29. v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., \(161 \mathrm{~m} .\), I adult \(\delta^{2}, 20 \mathrm{~mm}\).

St. WS 215. 31. v. 28 (dusk to night). North of Falkland Is., \(219-146 \mathrm{~m}\)., fragments.
St. WS 233. 5. vii. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., \(185-175 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult 太t, 19 mm .
St. WS 234. 5. vii. 28 (night). North of Falkland Is., \(195-207 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(+1,16 \cdot 4 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. WS 236. 6. vii. 28 (dusk to night). North-east of Falkland Is., 272-300 m., I adult \(q, 21 \mathrm{~mm}\).
 of 16 mm . ovigerous).
 fragments. Types.
St. WS 583 . 2. v. 3 I (day). Magellan Strait, \(14-78 \mathrm{~m}\)., I ovig. \(\mathcal{F}\), 17 mm .
St. WS 748. 16. ix. 3 I (night). Magellan Strait, \(300(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I adult \(\hat{0}, 16 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. WS 758. 12. x. \(3^{1}\) (night). North of Falkland Is., \(112(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 2 \delta^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}\), larger 17 mm .
Description. Carapace very short anteriorly; rostral plate acutely pointed with its apex reaching the anterior margin of the eye-bases (Fig. 35A). Antennular peduncles relatively short and slender; process from distal outer angle of first segment longer than the outer margin of second segment. Antennal scale slender, more than six times as long as broad, somewhat bowed, with outer margin concave and inner margin convex; extending for about one-third of its length beyond the antennular peduncle; peduncle short, less than two-thirds as long as the scale (Fig. 35A, B). Thoracic appendages with large, well-developed exopods; endopods of third to eighth pairs relatively short and slender with the carpo-propodus composed of \(9^{-10}\) sub-segments. Genital appendage of the male thick and much shorter than is usual in the genus (Fig. 35 C). Uropods long and slender, exopod twice as long as the telson and bowed outward; endopod armed along inner margin with a close regular row of about 30-32 very strong spines, extending from the statocyst to within one-fourth of the length from the apex (Fig. 35 D). Telson short and broad, somewhat shorter than the sixth abdominal somite and less than twice as long as broad at the base; cleft to nearly one-third of its length; proximal fourth of lateral margins naked, the remaining three-fourths armed with a close row of \(30-33\) strong spines, which increase in size towards the apex and are not arranged in series of larger spines with smaller ones in the spaces between them; apical lobes broad and bluntly rounded, armed with five large spines, of which the outermost two form the natural culmination of the row of strong lateral spines and the
inner three are progressively shorter towards the cleft. Cleft very deep and widely rounded at its base; armed on each side with 20-21 regular teeth (Fig. 35E).

Length of adults of both sexes, 20 mm ., though animals may be sexually mature at a length of ェ 6 mm .


Fig. 35. Mysidetes patagonica sp.n. (A-E). A, anterior end of female in dorsal view, \(\times 12 ; \mathrm{B}\), left antenna, \(\times 16\); C, eighth thoracic appendage of adult male, \(\times 12 ; \mathrm{D}\), left uropod, \(\times 16\); E , telson, \(\times 16\).

Mysidetes anomala sp.n. (F-J). F, left antenna of male; G, eighth thoracic appendage of male of 20 mm.; H, right uropod of female; J, telson of female. All \(\times 14\).

Remarks. This species can readily be recognized by the slender, outwardly curved antennal scale, the large eyes, the long slender uropods and, especially, by the strong, regular spines arming the telson. Males can also be distinguished by the unusually short thick genital appendage. The specimen, from which Fig. 34 C was made, had a large dense brush of setae on the antennule and appeared to be adult, and I do not think that the shortness of the genital organ is due to immaturity.

Distribution. This species was taken at twelve stations on the Patagonian Shelf to the north and west of the Falkland Islands and at two stations in the Strait of Magellan.

\section*{Mysidetes anomala sp.n.}
(Fig. 35 F-J)
Occurrence:
 ments. Types.
St. WS 749. 18. ix. \(3^{1}\) (day). Magellan Strait, \(40(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., posterior end of large female.
Remarks. This species so closely resembles M. patagonica that it will suffice to point out the differences whereby they may be distinguished.

General form. M. anomala is rather more slender with a longer tail-fan. Rostrum in both species is acutely pointed, but in M. anomala its lateral margins are uptilted as they are in M. posthon.

Antennular peduncle. The process from the outer distal angle of the first segment is shorter than in M. patagonica and in only one specimen did it extend to the distal margin of the second segment.

Antenna. The scale is considerably longer and more tapering than in M. patagomica (cf. Fig. 35B and \(F\) ) and the dorsal spine of the sympod is larger than the ventral.

Thoracic endopods longer and more slender. In Fig. 34 C and G I have figured on the same scale the eighth thoracic appendage of an adult male of the same size of both species. Both specimens appeared to be fully adult with dense setose brushes on the antennules.

Uropods. Though very alike in form and armature, the uropods in M. anomala are half as long again as in specimens of the same size of M. patagonica and the exopod is more bowed. It should be noted that the magnification of Fig. 35 D is greater than that of Fig. 35 H .

Telson shorter than the sixth abdominal somite. Cleft relatively less deep than in M. patagonica, being less than one-fourth of the total length of the telson, though the very long apical spines give the appearance of greater depth. The armature of the apical lobes is the most striking character of this species and is unlike that of any other species of the genus as yet known. The specimens appear to be fully adult, but the lateral margins of the telson are unarmed on the proximal half of their length. The spines arming the distal half are very large and strong, forming a regularly graduated series increasing in size to the large apical spines, and extending round the broadly rounded apical lobes into the cleft for fully two-thirds of its depth. The remaining third of the margin of the cleft is armed on each side with \(9-10\) very small teeth (Fig. 35 J ).

Length of largest male, 20 mm .; female, 19.8 mm .
Distribution. The species has been taken at two stations only, both in the Strait of Magellan.
Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n.

\section*{Occurrence:}
(Fig. 36A-J)
St. 45. 6. iv. 26 (day). South Georgia, 238-270 m., fragments.
St. 1 4o. 23. xii. 26 (day). South Georgia, 122-1 36 m ., fragments.
St. 190. 24. iii. 27 (day). Palmer Archipelago, 93-126 m., fragments (doubtfully).
St. MS 19. 9. iv. 25 (day). East Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, \(120-80 \mathrm{~m}\)., fragments of imm. male.
St. MS 23. 12. iv. 25 (day). East Cumberland Bay, 220-160 m., i adult + , 14 mm ., fragments.
St. MS 62. 19. i. 26 (day). Wilson Harbour, South Georgia, 31 m., I Jt, 4 of, all imm., largest 12 mm ., fragments.
St. MS 63. \({ }^{2} 4\). ii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, \(23 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) adult ô, I juv. ô, 7 adult Pof, largest \(14 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) juv. 웅. 우 Types.
St. MS 65. 28. ii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, \(39 \mathrm{~m} ., 28\) juv., largest 10 mm .
St. MS 66. 28. ii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, \(18 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) adult ở̃̉, \(13 \cdot 2-13 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 67 juv., largest 10 mm . ơ Types.
St. MS 67. 28. ii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, 38 m ., I juv., 9 mm .
St. MS 68. 2. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, 220-247 m., 3 juv.
St. MS 74. 17. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay, 22-40 m., I adult \(P\), \(1+\mathrm{mm}\)., 34 juv., largest 10.2 mm .

Description. Carapace very short anteriorly with lateral margins of the short rostrum meeting in a bluntly rounded right angle, not covering even the base of the eyestalks (Fig. 36A). Antenmular peduncle showing marked sexual dimorphism. In the female the peduncle is slender; the projection from the outer distal angle of the first segment is nearly twice as long as the outer margin of the second segment; third segment armed with five very long plumose setae along its inner margin and with a group of \(4-5\) even longer setae on the inner distal angle. The plumes on these setae are particularly


Fig. 36. Mysidetes dimorpha sp.n. A, anterior end of male in dorsal view, \(\times 16\); B, right antennular peduncle of male, \(\times 18\); C , right antennular peduncle of female, \(\times 18\); D, right antenna, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{E}\), seventh thoracic appendage of male, \(\times 16\); F , endopod of eighth thoracic appendage of male, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{G}\), endopod of seventh thoracic appendage of female, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{H}\), left uropod, \(\times 16\); J, telson, \(\times 16\).
long and conspicuous. Peduncle in the male more robust and the projection from the first segment relatively shorter than in the female; in immature males there are a few plumose setae on the inner margin of the third segment, but they are smaller than in the female and I can find none in adult males with well-developed antennular brushes (Fig. 36B, C). Antennal scale small and narrow, extending for about one-sixth of its length beyond the antennular peduncle; nearly six times as long as broad; peduncle two-thirds as long and equal in breadth to the scale; dorsal spine on the outer distal margin of the sympod longer than the ventral spine, broad at its base and then markedly concave on its inner margin and acutely pointed. This spine has the same shape in all the specimens I have examined (Fig. 36A, D). Eyes moderately large, dorso-ventrally compressed, set well apart, cornea
kidney-shaped and laterally directed; pigment black (Fig. 36A). Mandibles with no spine or acute point at the outer distal angle of the second segment of the mandibular palp. Thoracic appendages. The endopods of the third to the eighth thoracic appendages are not unusually long in the female, but become progressively longer from the third to the seventh pairs. That of the eighth pair is a little shorter than the seventh. The carpo-propodus is composed of \(9-10\) sub-segments (Fig. 36 G ). In the male the thoracic endopods are larger than in the female and the seventh pair is very much enlarged, especially the carpo-propodus. This is composed in adult males of twelve sub-segments, which are very swollen and profusely armed with long setae. The distal portion of the outer margin of the merus is armed with \(7^{-8}\) extremely long setae (Fig. \(3^{6 \mathrm{E}}\) ). The eighth thoracic endopods are much smaller than the seventh; the ischium is somewhat swollen distally, the merus has no long setae and the carpo-propodus is small and curved so that it looks deformed. I have found this peculiar curvature in all the males I have examined (Fig. 36F, G). Genital appendage slender, extending forward as far as the second thoracic somite. Uropods small; endopod only slightly longer than the telson; inner margin armed with about twenty spines from the distal end of the statocyst to within one-third of the distance from the apex; the proximal five spines of the row are very small (Fig. 36 H ). Telson small, middle region of the lateral margins convex; lateral margins armed throughout with a close row of spines, which are relatively rather large and only in the middle region of the margins show a tendency to arrangement in series of larger spines with smaller ones in the spaces between them; cleft widely open and shallow, armed with about twenty teeth on each margin (Fig. 36 J ).

Length of largest male which appears to be adult, 13.6 mm .; adult female, 14 mm .
Remiaris. It is very easy to recognize adult males of this species by the enlarged seventh thoracic endopods with swollen, hirsute sub-segments of the carpo-propodus and by the small, distorted-looking distal end of the eighth thoracic endopods. Young males and all females can be distinguished by the very short rostral plate, by the armature of the third segment of the antennular peduncle, by the widely spaced eyes with their black pigment, by the small, slender antennal scale, by the small uropods and by the shape and armature of the telson with its open, somewhat shallow cleft. The differences shown by the males are so marked, that at first I thought that they represented another species, but in young immature males the antennular peduncle does not differ markedly from that of the female and the endopods of the seventh and eighth thoracic appendages are not so much modified, although even in quite small males, the eighth are much smaller than the seventh. The material from several of the stations is in bad condition and I only tentatively refer the damaged specimens from station 190 to M. dimorpha.

Distribution. All the stations at which M. dimorpha was taken are situated around South Georgia, most of them from East Cumberland Bay, with the exception of the very doubtful record from station 190 in the Palmer Archipelago. Numerous immature specimens were taken in water of \(18-40 \mathrm{~m}\)., but only a few specimens and fragments occurred in depths of over 100 m .

Genus Mysidopsis G. O. Sars, 1864
\(\begin{array}{ll}1864 & \text { Mysidopsis G. O. Sars, p. } 249 . \\ 1912 & \text { Paranyysidopsis Zimmer, p. } 4 . \\ 1918 & \text { Mysidopsis, Zimmer, p. 17. }\end{array}\)
Remarks. This genus is characterized by the presence of only six segments in the endopod of the first thoracic appendage, due to the fusion of the third and fourth segments. The telson is variable. In most of the species it is short and linguiform, with the lateral margins markedly convex near the base and narrowing slightly to a broadly rounded or narrowly truncate apex. In one or two species there
is a tendency to a slight emargination at the apex, and in one species, M. angusta G. O. Sars, there is a small unarmed notch or cleft. The lateral margins are armed throughout with a varying number of spines, very rarely more than thirty in number, and not arranged in series. There are no median setac.

Of the thirteen species at present included in the genus Mysidopsis, only four have been recorded from southern waters, M. schultzei (Zimmer), M. similis (Zimmer), and M. major (Zimmer), all from shallow, coastal waters near Angra Pequena on the west coast of South Africa, and M. acuta Hansen from near the Falkland Islands. I am now able to add two new species, M. camelina and M. falklandica, to the genus Mysidopsis.
\[
\text { Mysidopsis schultzei (Zimmer), } 1912
\]
(Fig. \(37 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{J}\) )
1912 Paramysidopsis schultzei Zimmer, p. 5, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 90. 10. vii. 26 (day). False Bay, Cape Town, 10-12 m., 36 ở, largest 9.8 mm ., 16 of 9 , largest 10 mm . (ovig.),

St. 9r. 8. ix. 26 (day). False Bay, Cape Town, \(5-\mathrm{O}\) m., I đ̊, 9 mm .


Fig. 37. Mysidopsis schultzei (Zimmer). A, antennular peduncle of adult male, \(\times 24\); B, antenna, \(\times 24\); C, maxilla, \(\times 30\); D, first thoracic appendage, \(\times 24\); E, second thoracic appendage, \(\times 24 ; \mathrm{F}\), endopod of third thoracic appendage, \(\times 24\); G, fourth pleopod of male, \(\times 24 ; \mathrm{H}\), right uropod, \(\times 24 ; \mathrm{J}\), telson, \(\times 24\).

Remarks. This species was founded by Zimmer for specimens taken in shallow water among seaweed in Luderitz Bay near Angra Pequena. The animals were captured in quite considerable numbers together with two other new species, M. similis (Zimmer) and \(M\). major (Zimmer). I have nothing to add to Zimmer's description of the species but, since his figures are rather small and the Discovery records are the first since he founded it, I give figures showing its salient features. Zimmer recorded
that in his material the males considerably outnumbered the females, and it is interesting to note that in the captures made by 'Discovery', there are more than twice as many adult males as females. Among the juvenile specimens there are more females than males, but there is not enough evidence to show whether this has any significance or whether the females are less viable than the males.

Distribution. M. schultaei has hitherto only been known from near Angra Pequena and the present records considerably extend its known geographical range to the southward.

\section*{Mysidopsis similis (Zimmer) 19I2}
(Fig. \(38 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{J}\) )
1912 Paramysidopsis similis Zimmer, p. 6, pl. 11, figs. 28-36.
Occurrence:
 brood sac.
St. 91. 8. ix. 26 (day). False Bay, Cape Town, \(35 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) ̂, 7 mm ., 1 juv. 卉.
Remarks. The types of this species were captured with those of the preceding species in shallow water near Angra Pequena. \({ }^{1}\)

The specimens in the Discovery material agree closely with Zimmer's figures and I find that, even in the largest specimens, the spine row on the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod does not extend as far as half the total length of the endopod (Fig. 38 H ). Zimmer's figures are very small and I give drawings of the most characteristic appendages of this species (Fig. 38A-H).

Distribution. Besides the original record of this species from near Angra Pequena, I have recorded it from shallow water in Saldanha Bay north of Cape Town and from Knysna Lagoon on the south coast of South Africa. The two stations at which it was taken by 'Discovery' lie within its known geographical range, but its occurrence in 35 m . at station 9 I is from considerably deeper water than any other record.

> Mysidopsis major (Zimmer), i912

1912 Paramysidopsis major Zimmer, p. 7, pl. 11, figs. 37-49.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 90. 1o. vii. 26 (day). False Bay, Cape Town, \(10-12 \mathrm{~m} ., 11\) ở, not adult, largest \(11.8 \mathrm{~mm} ., 3 \circ \rho\), largest 12 mm ., ovigerous, 4 juv. đैठ, 4 juv. 웅.
Remarks. These specimens agree so closely with the description and figures given by Zimmer that I have nothing to add. The species can readily be distinguished from \(M\). schultzei and M. similis, with which it appears normally to live, by the broader, more rounded apex of the telson, by the very long, acutely pointed rostrum and by the form of the spines arming the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod. In M. schultzei these spines are much larger than in the other two species and much more spaced, especially towards the distal end of the endopod, and they extend right to the apex.
\({ }^{1}\) While examining a small collection of mysids from estuarine waters of South Africa (O. S. Tattersall, 1952, p. 177), I described and figured certain specimens from Langebaan Bay, to the north of Cape Town and from Knysna Lagoon on the south coast of South Africa, referring them to a new species which I named Leptomysis tattersalli in memory of my late husband. I then thought that the endopod of the first thoracic appendage was composed of the normal number of sub-segments and that therefore the specimens must belong to the genus Leptomysis. Since examining the Discovery material, I have re-examined these specimens and find that, in the endopods of the first thoracic appendages, the third and fourth segments are fused and that they therefore belong to the genus Mysidopsis (Fig. 38 D ). They agree closely with Zimmer's description and figures of M. similis, except that the endopods of the uropods are longer than the telson and the row of spines arming their inner margins is longer, extending almost to the level of the apex of the telson. I consider that they should be referred to M. similis and I withdraw \(L\). tattersalli as a synonym of that species.

In \(M\). similis the spines are much more dense, small in the region of the statocyst, but increase in size distally. The spine row appears to be variable in length, but usually extends only from the distal portion of the statocyst to about half way along the endopod. In \(M\). major the spine row is composed of very small spines which are of equal size throughout, extremely dense and extend from the distal region of the statocyst to about seven-eighths of the total length of the endopod.

Distribution. Identical with that of \(M\). similis, except that it has only been taken in shallow water.


Fig. 38. Mysidopsis similis (Zimmer). A, right antennular peduncle of adult male; B, right antenna; C, maxilla; D, first thoracic appendage without epipod; E, endopod of second thoracic appendage; F, endopod of sixth thoracic appendage; \(G\), fourth pleopod of male; H, endopod of right uropod; J, telson. All \(\times 36\).

\section*{Mysidopsis acuta Hansen, 1913}

1913 Mysidopsis acuta Hansen, p. 16, figs.
(Fig. \(39 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{H}\) )
192 I Mysidopsis acuta, Hansen, p. 3.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 49. 3. v. 26 (night). Off Cape Bougainville, East Falkland I., \(0-5 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) adult ôơ, 10.5 mm.
St. 5 I. 4. v. 26 (day). East Falkland I., \(105^{-115} 5\) m., I ô, II mm., 2 of , 10.8 mm .
St. 56. 16. v. 26 (day). East Falkland I., \(10 \frac{1}{2}-16 \mathrm{~m} .\), I juv. \(q, 4.8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 123. 15. xii. 26 (day). Off mouth of Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, 230-250 m., 3 ovig. 8 f, largest 12.6 mm ., 10 imm . \(\circ\) 우.

St. 980. 15. x. 32 (night). Between Falkland Is. and South America, \(104-0\) m., I adult ó, 22 juv. (In the juvenile specimens the eyes appear to be very red.)
St. WS 2 II. 29. v. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., 16I-I74 m., I imm. \(q\).
St. WS 219. 3. vi. 28 (day). North-east of estuary of River Desire, South America, 116-114 m., 96 juv. (In very bad condition.)
St. WS 220. 3. vi. 28 (dusk to dark). East of estuary of River Desire, 108-104 m., + adult ô \({ }^{1}\), 9 breeding \(\circ\) ㅇ, 30 juv.
St. WS 222. 8. vi. 28 (day). South-west of estuary of River Desire, \(100-106 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) small juv.
 (I ovig.), 9 juv. 9 아.
St. WS 233. 5. vii. 28 (day). North of Falkland Is., \(185^{-1} 75^{\mathrm{m}}\)., I adult \(ㅇ+1\).
 2 juv. ở fragments.
St. WS 758. 12. x. 3 I (night). North of Falkland Is., \(94^{-0}\) m., 6 adult ở \({ }^{7}\), I I breeding 9 fo, largest 12.4 mm .

St. WS 78i. 6. xi. 3 I (day). North of Falkland Is., 148 m., 2 adult 9 아.
St. WS 782. 4. xii. \(3^{1}\) (day). North of Falkland Is., haul A, \(1^{1-1} 46 \mathrm{~m}\)., I ovig. . .
St. WS 786. 7. xii. 3 I (day). East of Santa Cruz, South America, 134-1 19 m., I adult \(0^{\lambda}, 3\) adult OP, largest 9.5 mm .
 fragments.
©St. WS 798. 20. xii. 3 I (day). South-east of Gulf of St George, \(49-66 \mathrm{~m}\)., 5 adult 9 오, 2 juv. 웅.

St. WS 802. 5. i. 32 (day). North of Falkland Is., 2 hauls: (i) haul A, 128-1 32 m ., 5 adult ơơ, 5 adult (ii) haul B, 132-1 \(39 \mathrm{~m} ., 8\) ठ̋ \(^{\top}\), 12 우, breeding, largest 11.6 mm ., 3 juv. 우.

St. WS 806. 7. i. 32 (day). North-west of Falkland Is., \(130-123 \mathrm{~m}\)., I 3 adult \({ }^{*} \mathrm{O}^{*}\), 19 adult \(9 \%\), some ovig., largest 10.8 mm ., many fragments.

St. WS 8og. 8. i. 32 (day). Off Cape S. Francisco de Paula, South America, ro8-104 m., 6 adult ôठ̃, 8 adult 4 juv. 우, I juv. \({ }^{\circ}\).

 (3 ovig.), I juv. ㅇ.
Remaris. Up to the present this species has been known only from the types-two poorly preserved immature males taken in 1902 off the Falkland Isles-and from three specimens captured by the Tierra del Fuego Expedition in April 1896.

The present specimens agree so closely with Hansen's figures and description (1913, p. 16) that I have no hesitation in referring them to his species. There is, however, one striking feature which Hansen did not mention. In all the specimens I have examined in the Discovery material, the inner margins of the endopods of the uropods are armed from the region of the statocyst to within a short distance of the apex with an extremely close row of regular spines, which are smaller proximally and increase evenly in size distally. These spines are present in quite small immature specimens as well as in the adults, and it is most surprising that so meticulous a worker as Hansen could have overlooked them. I have not had an opportunity of examining the type specimens, but in view of the very close agreement in all other respects of my specimens with the published description of M. acuta, I venture to suggest that the presence of these spines is a regular character of the species (Fig. 39H).

Although Hansen had an adult male in the Tierra del Fuego material he did not describe or figure the male pleopods. I am now able to record that in the fourth pair the exopod, as in other species of the genus, is modified being longer and stouter than the endopod and bearing at its tip a single strong barbed non-plumose seta (Fig. 39 G).
M. acuta may readily be recognized by the acutely pointed apex of the antennal scale (Fig. 39A) and by the long linguiform telson, which is fully twice as long as the breadth at the base (Fig. 39 H).

Hansen figures the telson with the lateral margins convex at about one-third of the distance from the base, but in all Discovery specimens they are almost straight, converging evenly to the rounded apex. They are armed throughout their entire length with \(24^{-27}\) small regular spines and the apex is armed with one pair of long slender spines, which are set somewhat apart leaving a characteristic gap in the median line (Fig. 39 H ). The rostrum, which is not mentioned by Hansen, is pointed and short, extending only to half the length of the first segment of the antennular peduncle (Fig. 39A). I have figured the maxilla, one mandible with palp, and the first three thoracic endopods, in order to show how closely they resemble Hansen's figures (Fig. 39 B-F).


Fig. 39. Mysidopsis acuta Hansen. A, anterior end of adult male in dorsal view, \(\times 20\); B, mandible, \(\times 20\); C, maxilla, \(\times 45\); D, first thoracic appendage with epipod, \(\times 25\); E, second thoracic appendage, \(\times 20\); F, third thoracic appendage, \(\times 20\); G, fourth pleopod of male, \(\times 20\); II, telson and right uropod, \(\times 20\).

Distribution. The types of this species were taken in 16 m . in Berkeley Sound, Falkland Isles and it has since been taken on two occasions off Tierra del Fuego in 6-10 fim. (Hansen, 192 I, p. 3). The present records do not appreciably extend its known geographical range, but most of the captures were made at greater depths. The deepest water in which it was taken by 'Discovery' was at station 123 at a depth of \(230-250 \mathrm{~m}\).

Occurrence:

\section*{Mysidopsis camelina sp.n. \\ (Fig. \(40 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{N}\) )}

St. 90. 10. vii. 26 (day). Simon's Town, False Bay, South Africa, \(10-12 \mathrm{~m}\). , 1 adult \(\rho, 6 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\)., large empty brood sac.
St. 406. 5. vi. 30 (day). Simon's Town, False Bay, South Africa, 29 m., 1 ovig. 9 , \(6 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\). Type.
Description. General form small and robust; sigmoid in lateral view. Carapace small, with two strong protuberances like two humps in the mid-dorsal line, posterior to the cervical sulcus. The two
humps are close together and the anterior one considerably larger than the other; rostrum short and triangular with the lateral margins uptilted, so that in dorsal view it appears to be narrower than it really is; latcral margins of carapace short and emarginate, leaving the whole of the bases of the thoracic appendages uncovered in lateral view; antero-lateral angles bluntly pointed; posterolateral angles considerably produced (Fig. 4o A). Pleon comparatively robust, especially at its anterior end; first somite with the tergum very swollen and produced backward into a broad, rounded saddle, which covers half of the dorsal surface of the second somite; the second somite and, to a less extent the third, also produced backward in the mid-dorsal line over the succeeding somite; last three abdominal somites progressively more slender, the sixth being less than half as thick as the first (Fig. 40A). Antennular peduncle small and short; first segment longer than the second and third together; outer margin concave; distal margin very oblique, the outer distal angle acutely pointed but not produced as it is in Erythrops (Fig. 40 B, C). Antennal peduncle equal in length to the antennular peduncle; second segment the longest; scale oval, short and broad; slightly longer than the peduncle; outer margin nearly straight; small distal suture present, cutting off the bluntly rounded apex (Fig. \(4^{\circ}\) B); short strong spine on outer distal angle of the sympod (Fig. 40 D). Eyes large and globular, with only a slight tendency to dorso-ventral flattening; very minute ocular papilla present on the eyestalk near the cornea (Fig. 40 A). Mandibles: palp with the proximal portion of the outer margin produced into a wide triangle, armed at its apex with a strong blunt spine, with a similar smaller spine half-way along its distal margin; distal half of segment narrower with nearly parallel sides; its distal margin produced on the ventral side into a blunt point and armed at each angle with a blunt spine; third segment long and narrow and armed along its inner margin with about thirteen strong, rather short spines alternating with an equal number of smaller spines; spine at the apex considerably larger than the others. As I have only two specimens of this interesting new species, I have only dissected one mandible. It does not appear to be damaged, but I am unable to make out any molar process or clear spine row though there seems to be a lacinia mobilis. I give a figure of this appendage as it appears and trust that further captures of the species may throw more light on its true structure (Fig. 40 E). Maxillule and maxilla as described for the genus. The latter has a well-developed slender exopod and the distal segment of the 'palp' is not expanded (Fig. 40 F, G). First thoracic endopod composed of six segments as described for the genus (Fig. 4oH). Second thoracic endopod robust and comparatively large, with a well-marked expansion from the basis; distal portion of the tarsus armed with a number of specialized setae, which are unusually long and have the distal half very slender and armed with very fine hairs, instead of the close row of regular spines which adorn the proximal half (Fig. 40 K). Remaining thoracic appendages with well-developed exopods and short endopods. The tarsus is composed of three segments. Without dissection and staining, it is not possible to tell whether these represent a separate carpus and propodus with a small dactylus, or whether the carpus and propodus are really fused and secondarily divided; nail strong and well-developed (Fig. 40 L ). Pleopods of the female in the form of rudimentary flat plates, which become progressively larger on the posterior somites (Fig. 40A). Uropods very broad and short; endopod extending very slightly beyond the apex of the telson; armed on the inner margin, near the statocyst, with a graduated row of five spines, which increase regularly in size distally; exopod only two and a half times as long as broad and only slightly longer than the endopod (Fig. 40 M ). Telson linguiform; slightly longer than the sixth abdominal somite; hollowed from above into the shape of a trowel; lateral margins nearly straight, converging slightly to the bluntly rounded apex; armed with \(9-10\) small spines arranged more or less regularly from the base to the apex; apex armed with two pairs of short, stout spines, the inner pair of which is nearly twice as large as the outer; no median setae (Fig. 40 N ).

Length of ovigerous female, 6.2 mm .


Fig. 40. Mysidopsis camelina sp.n. A, adult female in lateral view, \(\times 20\); B, anterior end of female in dorsal view, \(\times 20\); C, right antennular peduncle of female, \(\times 43 ; \mathrm{D}\), left antenna, \(\times 43\); E, left mandible from ventral side, \(\times 43 ; \mathrm{F}\), maxillule, \(\times 43\); G, maxilla, \(\times 43 ; \mathrm{H}\), endopod of first thoracic appendage, \(\times 43 ; \mathrm{J}\), endopod of second thoracic appendage, \(\times 43 ; \mathrm{K}\), modified seta from second thoracic endopod (enlarged); L, distal end of fourth thoracic endopod, \(\times 43\); M, left uropod, \(\times 43\); N, telson, \(\times 43\).

Remarks. In general appearance this species closely resembles M. gibbosa G. O. Sars from European and Mediterranean waters, which is characterized by the presence of two 'humps' on the carapace and a marked sigmoid shape in lateral view. The form of the antennae, eyes, rostrum and tail-fan is very similar in the two species, but they may readily be distinguished by the much larger unequal protuberances on the carapace, by the less marked sigmoid shape of M. camelina, and particularly by the peculiar development of the first abdominal somite in this new species. In M. gibbosa the first abdominal somite is larger and more robust than the succeeding somites, but there is no prolongation of its posterior margin. The marsupium in \(M\). camelina is unusually large and I can count no fewer than twenty-three large eggs in the type specimen. It may be that the robust abdomen and the peculiar strengthening of the first abdominal somite are correlated with the strain of supporting such a relatively enormous load; the males may not show a similar modification. The form of the mandibular palp is quite different from anything previously described in the genus, and had the other appendages shown differences instead of close similarities, I should have felt inclined to found a new genus for this remarkable new species.

Distribution. The species has been taken on two occasions only, both from the same locality, in somewhat shallow water near Simon's Town, False Bay, near Cape Town, South Africa.

1869 Leptomysis G. O. Sars.
Genus Leptomysis G. O. Sars, 1869
Remarks. This genus can be distinguished from the genus Mysidopsis, which it very closely resembles, by the form of the endopod of the first thoracic appendage, by the shape of the apex of the telson and by the armature of the fourth pleopod of the male. In Mysidopsis, the third and fourth segments of the first thoracic endopod are fused with no trace of a dividing suture, but in Leptomysis the two segments are articulated in the usual way. In Mysidopsis, the exopods of the fourth pair of pleopods in the male are longer than the endopods and the distal segment is terminated by a single strong straight spinous seta. The setae on the other segments are of the normal slender plumose form. In Leptomysis the setae of the distal three segments of the exopod of the fourth pair of male pleopods are modified by being longer and slightly more plumose than those arming the other segments, and the distal segment is terminated by a pair of long setae. In both genera this exopod is longer than the endopod.

At one time, it was laid down as a generic character of Mysidopsis that the lateral margins of the telson were armed with only a few more or less widely spaced spines, and this formed a useful distinction from the species of the genus Leptomysis. Owing to the addition of M. acuta and M. similis to the genus Mysidopsis, this definition has had to be modified, for in both these species there is a large number of spines on the lateral margins of the telson. However, these spines are of equal size or are graduated regularly, increasing in size distally, whereas in Leptomysis, the lateral margins of the telson are armed with a very large number of spines, which are usually arranged in series of larger spines with small spines in the spaces between them. The apex of the telson in Mysidopsis is (except in M. similis) broader and less pointed than in Leptomysis, showing in some species either a median emargination or a small unarmed cleft, whereas in all the known species of Leptomysis it is evenly and more narrowly rounded.

Only three species of Leptomysis are represented in the Discovery collection: L. apiops G. O. Sars, L. capensis Illig and L. megalops Zimmer.

\title{
Leptomysis apiops G. O. Sars, 1877
}
\({ }_{1} 877\) Leptomysis apiops G. O. Sars, p. 5 I.
1915b Leptomysis apiops, Zimmer, p. 319, fig. 9.
1915c Leptomysis apiops, Zimmer, p. 167, fig. 19.
1941 Leptomysis apiops, Băcesco, p. 25.
Occurrence:
St. 274. 4. viii. 27 (day). Off St Paul de Loanda, \(65-64 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult ô, 7 mm ., I ovig. \(\odot, 7 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. 277. 7. viii. 27 (night). South-west of Cape Lopez, \(63(-0) \mathrm{m} ., 8\) ôot, all imm., largest 5.5 mm ., 58 if, nearly all ovigerous, largest 7 mm . but many breeding at 5.8 mm .
St. 279. 10. viii. 27 (day). Off Cape Lopez, \(58-67 \mathrm{~m}\)., 2 ôd, adult, 7.5 mm .
Remarks. These specimens agree closely with the published descriptions and figures of Leptomysis apiops, except as regards the size at which they attain maturity and, in the females only, in the relative lengths of the median spinules and large apical spines of the telson.

In his description of the types Sars did not comment on any peculiarity of the eyes, but Zimmer (1915b, p. 319 , fig. 9), examining specimens taken near to the same locality as the types, noted that a group of facets in the outer proximal region of the cornea were enlarged and elongated, giving the eye a peculiar distorted appearance. Later workers have confirmed this observation and the Discovery specimens have eyes exactly as figured by Zimmer.

Sars gave the length of adults from the Mediterranean as ir mm., but in the Discovery material, males are fully adult at 7 mm ., while many females of \(5.8-6 \mathrm{~mm}\). are carrying advanced embryos. It is quite common for animals from warmer waters to attain sexual maturity at a smaller size than those in cooler localities. Although the Discovery specimens are smaller, they were taken in equatorial waters and I have no hesitation in referring them to L. apiops, but the variations, which I find in the relative proportions of the spinules and spines arming the apex of the telson, especially in the females, have caused me much misgiving. The inner pair of apical spines in Sars's figure of the types are from one-fifth to one-sixth of the length of the telson, but in my specimens they are fully one-third of the telson in length, in juveniles and adults of both sexes. The length of the median spinules increases with the age of the animal, being relatively small in young specimens. In adult males, the apex of the telson is almost exactly as shown by Sars (1877, p. 18, fig. 9), with the median spinules less than half as long as the long inner pair of apical spines, and the outer pair of apical spines only a little longer than the spinules. In the females, however, the median spinules are much longer and may be more than three-quarters of the length of the inner pair of apical spines. The distal lateral spines increase regularly in size towards the apex of the telson, forming an evenly graduated series culminating in the outer apical spines, which are almost equal in length to the inner pair. Thus in the females the contour of the apex is more evenly rounded than in the males and the inner pair of apical spines does not project markedly. These females are, in fact, similar to the single damaged females from the Indian Ocean which Zimmer (1915c, p. 167, fig. 19) referred doubtfully to L. apiops.

In all other respects the Discovery specimens agree so closely with the descriptions of L. apiops that, in spite of this sexual dimorphism, I feel that they must be referred to that species. I consider that Zimmer's specimen from the Indian Ocean should also be referred to L. apiops.

Distribution. Apart from the specimen mentioned above, and two questionable records from the English Channel, \({ }^{1}\) this species has never before been recorded outside the Mediterranean. Sars's types were taken near Naples, and the species has been recorded by Băcesco (1941, p. 25) from the
\({ }^{1}\) Pubs. Circs. 48, 1909 and Pubs. Circs. 70, 1916.
south of France, at Banyuls and off Cannes. If we accept the Discovery specimens as belonging to L. apiops, it would appear that the species has a wide distribution in shallow coastal waters of temperate and tropical latitudes.

\section*{Leptomysis capensis Illig, I906}

1906 a Leptomysis capensis Illig, p. 206, fig. 13 A-D.
1930 Leptomysis capensis, Illig, p. 474, figs. 149-51.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 279. 10. viii. 27 (day). Off Cape Lopez, \(58-67 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult dै, \(7 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. +06. 5. vi. 30 (day). Off Cape Peninsula, \(29 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) ô, 8.4 mm .

St. 424. 4. ix. 30 (night). Off Port Elizabeth, \(59-0 \mathrm{~m} .\), I \(q, 8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
 2 juv.
St. 444. 24. ix. 30 (night). South of Cape Peninsula, \(80-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(9,8.6 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Remarks. The only previous record of this species is of the types from near Cape Agulhas, South Africa, and from south of Cape Town. Illig's specimens were all very small, the largest being only 5 mm . in length. He states that one of the most outstanding characters of the species is the peculiar shape of the eyes, in which the stalks are very thick and barrel-shaped and are wider than the cornea. He does not record whether his specimens were adult, but by comparison with the Discovery material, I believe that they were all juvenile and I attribute the form of the eye to their immaturity. Eyes of the shape figured by Illig (1930, fig. 149) are frequently found in juvenile mysids. Most of the present specimens are fully mature, measuring \(8-8.6 \mathrm{~mm}\)., but the few juveniles agree very closely with Illig's figures.

In the adults the eyes are of a different shape, resembling those of L. megalops. They are very large, with the cornea occupying considerably more than half the whole organ, the eyestalk small proximally and widest next the cornea.

The outstanding character of the species is the dense covering of fine short bristles over the whole integument, which makes it possible to recognize specimens immediately and forms the most ready means of distinguishing them from L. megalops, which they so closely resemble in all other respects.

Distribution. Except for the one record of this species from near Cape Lopez at station 279, it has only been taken off the south coast of South Africa. I think it is probable that it may occur in coastal waters along the west of South Africa as far north as Cape Lopez.

\section*{Leptomysis megalops Zimmer, 1915}

1915 Leptomysis megalops Zimmer, p. 320, figs.
1929 Leptomysis megalops, Colosi, p. 422, fig.
1941 Leptomysis megalops, Băcesco, p. 25.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 274. 4. viii. 27 (day). Off St Paul de Loanda, \(65-64 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 adult đै, 7.2 mm ., 2 imm . \(99,6.8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
 7 juv.
St. 444. 24. ix. 30 (night). Off the Cape of Good Hope, \(80-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 4\) 预, largest \(11 \mathrm{~mm} ., 3\) 别, largest 10.2 mm .

St. WS 998. I3. iii. 50 (day). West of Orange River estuary. Two hauls: (i) \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., i badly damaged juv.; (ii) \(175-100 \mathrm{~m}\)., 3 juv. 와, largest 5.6 mm ., 4 very small juv.
 8 juv.

Remarks. The Discovery specimens agree so closely with the description and figures given by Zimmer for L. megalops that, although this species has never before been recorded outside the Mediterranean, I have no hesitation in referring them to it. The very large eyes, the long slender scale, the smooth integument and the form and armature of the uropods and telson make the species readily recognizable. It may be distinguished from \(L\). capensis, which it closely resembles, by the absence of dense bristles on the integument and by the somewhat longer apex of the antennal scale.

In Zimmer's figures the rostrum is more acutely pointed than in the Discovery specimens. I find, however, that the lateral margins of the rostrum are uptilted, so that in dorsal view the apex appears narrower and more acutely pointed than it actually is. When flattened out, the margins meet in a rounded angle of about \(90^{\circ}\).

Distribution. Up to the present \(L\). megalops has been recorded on three occasions, all from the Mediterranean. The types were taken near Naples and it has been recorded from the same locality by Colosi (1929). It has been recorded from two stations off the south of France near Cannes (Băcesco, 1941, p. 25).

The Discovery records extend along the west coast of Central and South Africa from Cape Lopez, just south of the Equator, to the Cape of Good Hope, and I think that the species probably occurs in coastal waters, along the coasts of Africa, from the Straits of Gibraltar to the equator.

Genus Afromysis Zimmer, 1916
1916 Afromysis Zimmer, p. 62.
Remarks. This genus closely resembles the genus Bathymysis Tattersall but may be distinguished from it by its well-developed, normal eyes; by the presence of only two segments in the propodus of the thoracic endopods and by the presence of a pair of long plumose setae at the base of the cleft of the telson. Three species have now been referred to this genus--the type species, A. hansoni Zimmer, A. macropsis Tattersall and A. australiensis Tattersall. Only the type species is represented in the Discovery collection.

Afromysis hansoni Zimmer, 1916
1916 Afromysis hansoni Zimmer, p. 63, text-figs. 2-8.
Localities:
Walvis Bay. 12. ix. 26. From stomach of Trigla capensis taken at a depth of 4.57 m ., I adult ठ, 10.4 mm ., 1 imm . औै, fragments.
St. 280. 10. viii. 27 (night). Off Cape Lopez, \(84^{-0} \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(\mathrm{O}, 8.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Remarks. I have nothing to add to the very full description given by Zimmer, except that the spines arming the inner margin of the endopod of the uropod in my specimens are stouter and blunter and do not show such inequality in size as described and figured by Zimmer for the type. The Discovery specimens appear to be adult at a much smaller size than the type, which was 13 mm . in length.

Distribution. One of the two captures of this species was made in precisely the same conditions as that of the type specimens, from the stomach of Trigla capensis taken in Walvis Bay, South Africa. The other record is from near Cape Lopez, just south of the equator and is therefore much farther north; this is the only recorded capture of a free swimming specimen.
\({ }_{1803}\) Mysis Latreille, p. 282.
\({ }_{18} 3^{\circ}\) Megalophthalmus Leach, p. 176.
\({ }_{1} 882\) a Onychomysis Czerniavsky, p. 138 (pars); 1887, p. 79.
1902 Michtheimysis Norman, p. 477.
Remarks. The genus Mysis was instituted by Latreille with M. oculata (Fabricius) as the type because the generic name, Cancer, to which it had been referred by Fabricius was preoccupied.

Only eight species have previously been referred to the genus and none of these is represented in the southern hemisphere. I am now able to record a species belonging to the genus Mysis from waters of the southern hemisphere. Unfortunately the specimens are not adult and there are no males, but they differ sufficiently from all the known species to justify the formation of a new one, Mysis australe.

Mysis australe sp.n.
(Fig. \(41 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{H}\) )

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. 326. 2. ii. 30 (night). South Georgia, \(50-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 2 \mathrm{imm}\). 우, 145 mm . Types.
St. 327. 2. ii. 30 (day). West of South Georgia, \(5^{0-0} \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. \&, 14.2 mm .
Description. General form slender and graceful. Carapace very short anteriorly, anterior margin produced into a small acutely pointed rostrum, which leaves the whole of the eyes exposed in dorsal view (Fig. 4 I A). Antennular peduncle slender, first segment equal in length to the second and third together (Fig. 41 A). Antennal scale long and very narrow, apex acutely pointed, eight times as long as broad at its widest part; no distal suture ; peduncle very small; only one-fourth of the length of the scale and half as wide; very strong spine at the outer distal angle of the sympod and another on the ventral surface in the middle of its distal margin. Both these spines are long and acutely pointed (Fig. 41 A). Mandibles with the third segment of the palp rather broader than in other species of the genus (Fig. \({ }_{4}\) B). Maxilla as shown in Fig. 41 C. First thoracic endopod small with the gnathobasic lobe on the second segment large and well developed, that on the third segment much smaller and only extending half-way along the fourth segment; only a faint indication of a lobe on the fourth segment (Fig. 41 D). Second thoracic appendage moderately long and slender, with the second segment only slightly expanded on its inner margin (Fig. 41 E). Third to the eighth thoracic appendages with the carpo-propodus composed of 6-7 sub-segments; nail long and particularly slender (Fig. 41 F). The distal outer angle of the large proximal segment of the exopods of all the thoracic appendages produced into a short acute process. Uropods slender with the endopods slightly shorter than the long telson; armed along the inner margin with a close irregular row of slender spines, which show a definite tendency to an arrangement into series of larger spines with smaller ones in the spaces between them. These larger spines increase in size towards the apex of the endopod, and in all three specimens there is a particularly long slender spine right at the apex (Fig. 41 G). Telson very long and narrow, almost three times as long as broad at the base; width at the level of the base of the cleft about three-fifths of that at the base; lateral margins almost straight and armed throughout their length with about twenty-eight somewhat irregular spines, which in places show a tendency to an arrangement into series. It may well be that in fully adult specimens this arrangement into series becomes more pronounced. There are five spines on the lateral margins distal to the base of the cleft and there is one larger spine on each apical lobe; cleft deep and narrow with its margins convex distally; armed throughout with a close row of regular teeth; no median setae (Fig. 4 I H ).

Length of immature females with very small brood lamellae, \(14 \cdot 2-14 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\).

Remarks. M. australe very closely resembles M. mixta Lilljeborg, a species well known from Scandinavian waters and from the coasts of Iceland and Greenland, and common along the east coast of North America, from the shores of Canada in the north to Woods Hole in the south. It may be distinguished from this species by the acutely pointed rostrum, the reduced gnathobasic lobes on the


Fig. 41. Mysis australe sp.n. A, anterior end of immature female in dorsal view, \(\times 20\); B, mandibles, \(\times 25\); C, maxilla, \(\times 25\); D, endopod of first thoracic appendage, \(\times 25\); E, second thoracic appendage, \(\times 25\); F, endopod of fifth thoracic appendage, \(\times 25\); G, right uropod, \(\times 25 ; \mathrm{H}\), telson, \(\times 25\).
third segment of the endopod of the third thoracic appendage, and by the virtual absence of the lobe on the fourth segment, by the fewer sub-segments of the carpo-propodus of the third to the eighth thoracic endopods and, mostly, by the armature of the uropods and telson. In M. mixta the endopod of the uropod is a little longer than the telson and is armed along only the proximal three-quarters of its length with a row of \({ }^{1} 3^{-15}\) practically equal spines. The larger number of spines extending right
to the apex of the endopod in \(M\). australe and their arrangement into weak series is quite distinctive and cannot be attributed to the immaturity of the specimens. In other species of mysids, it is found that, when spines are arranged in series of larger spines with smaller ones in the spaces between them, the condition tends to become more pronounced with age. The telson in M. mixta is relatively shorter and broader than in M. anstrale and the spines arming the lateral margins are larger and more regular in size and spacing.

This is the first species of the genus to be described from the waters of the southern hemisphere. Unfortunately there are no male specimens available and until the male pleopods are seen it is not possible to say whether the secondary sexual modification in the fourth pair is the same as that shown in other species of the genus.

Distribution. The present specimens were taken at two stations to the west of South Georgia in vertical hauls of \(50-0 \mathrm{~m}\).

Genus Neomysis Czerniavsky, 1882
1882b Heteromysis Czerniavsky, 2, p. 33 . 1882-3b, c Neomysis Czerniavsky, 2, p. 23; 3, p. 81.

Remaris. In his revision of the tribe Mysini, Zimmer (1915a, p. 214) amalgamated the genera Neomysis Czerniavsky and Acanthomysis Czerniavsky, as he considered that the only difference between them, the acutely pointed, unsegmented antennal scale in Neomysis and the two-segmented scale with rounded apex in Acanthomysis, was not of sufficient generic significance to warrant the existence of both genera. Tattersall (1932, p. 317) suggested that since a considerable number of species had been referred to the two genera, it might be convenient to retain them both, as the shape of the antennal scale forms an easy means of dividing them into two groups. Ii (1936, p. 579) agreed with this suggestion and restored the genus Acanthomysis.

Tattersall (1951, p. 180) drew attention to the fact that, in all the species of Neomysis which he had examined, with the exception of \(N\). spinosa Nakazawa, breeding females possessed a median fingerlike sternal process on each of the last two or three thoracic somites. He had not examined specimens of N. monticellii Colosi, N. patagona Zimmer and N. meridionalis Colosi and he thought that, if these species were found to possess sternal processes also, their presence might form a useful generic character, since no such processes have been recorded from any species of Acanthomysis. Unfortunately there are no female specimens of Neomysis monticellii in the Discovery collection and I am unable to ascertain whether there are sternal processes in the females of this species, but in the abundant captures of \(N\). patagona I have been able to examine females in all stages of development. In no case could I find any sternal processes in this species.

The marsupium is composed of two pairs of brood lamellae, which are borne on the last two pairs of thoracic appendages in females. In addition there is, in most species of the genus, a rudimentary brood lamella in the form of a small knob, armed with a group of extremely long non-plumose setae on the bases of the sixth abdominal appendages. Similar rudimentary oostegites have been recorded in some species of Acanthomysis and it is probable that they occur in other species of both genera but have not been noticed.

Neomysis patagona Zimmer, 1907
(Fig. \(42 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{K}\) )
1907 Neomysis patagona Zimmer, pp. 1-5, figs. I-17.
1913 Neomysis patagona, Hansen, p. 21, figs.
1921 Neomysis patagona, Hansen, p. 5.
1951 Neomysis patagona, W. M. Tattersall, p. 180.

\section*{Occurrence:}
 I juv. \(q\).
 405 juv.
St. WS 834. 2. ii. 32 (day). Off north-east coast of 'Tierra del Fuego, \(27-38 \mathrm{~m} ., 4\) adult \({ }^{\mathbf{o}}{ }^{\hat{J}}\), largest 24 mm ., I 8 adult 우, largest 19.5 mm .

Remarks. Hansen (1913, p. 21) referred an immature female from Port Albemarle, Falkland Isles, to this species, although in some respects it did not agree with Zimmer's description and figures of the types. I find in the large number of specimens, which I here refer to \(N\). patagona, some characters which agree with Zimmer's description and figures and some which more closely agree with Hansen's. The outstanding characters of this species are the broad rostral plate with a median incision, the long, very narrow telson with its lateral margins armed with a large number of equal spines, and the long exopod of the uropods in which the distal five or six plumose setae of the outer margin have become replaced by strong, finely plumose articulated spines (Fig. 42 J). Coifmann (1937, p. 13) added another character, which I have been able to verify in all the specimens which I have examined, namely: the distal plumose seta on the outer margin of the antennal scale has become modified into a strong spine which, as far as I can see, is not plumed (Fig. 42 C ). The specimens in the Discovery collection all possess these specific characters and the differences which they show in the proportions of the various parts I attribute to individual variation.

The rostrum resembles Hansen's figure more closely than that of Zimmer. It is clearly divided by a definite incision into two lobes and the appearance of a median cleft is heightened by a thickening of the tissues in the middle line (Fig. 42 A). The antennal scale is nine times as long as broad and in shape agrees very closely with Zimmer's description. Hansen's specimen had a more slender scale especially in its distal half. The eyes are more robust and are more covered by the rostral plate than in Hansen's specimen and agree more closely with Zimmer's figure. The maxilla is almost precisely as figured by Hansen with the lobes from the second, third and fourth segments much more developed than in Zimmer's figure and the outer setiferous lobe relatively smaller (Fig. 42E). The numbers of sub-segments in the carpo-propodus of the third to the eighth thoracic endopods show some variation, but in almost all the specimens I have examined they are greater than described by Zimmer. He gave the number as eight in the third pair of appendages and nine in the last. I have found that there are usually ten in the anterior appendages and there may be as many as twelve in the last pair. On the base of the sixth thoracic endopods in the females there is a small rudimentary oostegite similar to that figured by me for Mysis stenolepis (in W. M. Tattersall, 195 1, p. 173). Similar structures have been noticed in a number of species of Neomysis and Acanthomysis and it is quite probable that they occur in many other species, but have not been noticed by workers (Fig. 42 H ). The endopods of the uropods are shorter than the long telson, and my specimens differ from previous descriptions in having usually three (rarely two) graduated spines on the ventral surface of the distal end of the statocyst. Zimmer stated that there was only one spine in this position. The distal five or six setae of the outer margin of the exopod are replaced by strong spines which are very finely plumose (Fig. 42 J ). The telson is rather longer and narrower than in either Zimmer's or Hansen's descriptions, the spines arming the lateral margins are fewer and not so densely crowded towards the apex (Fig. 42 K ).

Distribution. Neomysis patagona has only been recorded from waters south of Patagonia and in the Strait of Magellan, and the Discovery records do not extend its known geographical range. From the large number of specimens taken at station WS 749 it is evidently a gregarious form, although it has usually been taken only in small numbers.


Fig. 42. Neomysis patagona Zimmer. A, anterior end of carapace and eyes in dorsal view, \(\times 16\); B, antennular peduncle, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{C}\), left antenna, \(\times 16\); D, mandible, \(\times 20\); E, maxilla, \(\times 20 ;\) F, endopod of first thoracic appendage with epipod, \(\times 20\); \(G\), endopod of second thoracic appendage, \(\times 20 ; \mathrm{H}\), sixth thoracic appendage of female, \(\times 16\); J, right uropod, \(\times 16 ; \mathrm{K}\), telson, \(\times 16\).

\section*{Neomysis monticellii Colosi, 1924}
(Figs. \(43 \mathrm{~A}, 44 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}\) )
1924 Neomysis monticellii Colosi, p. 6, figs. 7-9.

\section*{Occurrence:}

St. WS 798. 20. xii. \(3^{66}\) (day). Off mouth of River Desire, South Patagonia, 49-66 m., 3 ở̉, largest, 10.4 mm .
Remarks. I am unable to find any record of this species apart from the somewhat meagre original description by Colosi ( 1924, p. 6). He gives figures of the telson and the fourth pleopod of the male only, but from these figures and his description I have no doubt that the three specimens taken at station WS 798 belong to this species. I am able to supplement the published description and to give such figures as are possible without dissection.

The rostral plate is very short and does not cover any part of the eyes; the narrowly rounded acute apex bends downward between the bases of the attachment of the eyestalks; the antero-lateral angles of the carapace produced and rounded (Fig. 43A). Antennal scale shorter than in most species of

Neomysis; extending for only one-fifth of its length beyond the antennular peduncle. Colosi stated that there was a distinct distal articulation present, but I am unable to find one in any of my specimens. The antennal peduncle is relatively large and extends almost to the distal end of the antennular peduncle (Fig. 43A). There are only one or two thoracic endopods left attached in these specimens. They are very slender and fragile with the carpo-propodus composed of io-I I sub-segments. There is no spine nor acute angle on the outer distal margin of the first segment of the exopods of the thoracic appendages. The exopod of the fourth pleopod of the male is four times as long as the endopod (which is as usually found in the genus), and extends beyond the apex of the telson (Fig. \(44 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}\) ). The uropods are exactly as described by Colosi, except that he stated that there is only one spine on the ventral side of the endopod at the distal end of the statocyst. I find in all my specimens that there are two spines in this position-a large median spine and a smaller one close beside it on the outer side (Fig. 44 A ). The telson in my specimens is relatively somewhat shorter than as figured by Colosi, but the armature is the same. It is more than half as long again as the sixth abdominal somite (Fig. 44A).


Fig. 43. Neomysis monticellii Colosi. Anterior end of adult male in dorsal view, \(\times 14\).
Fig. 44. Neomysis monticellii Colosi. A, telson and uropods of adult male, \(\times 14\); B, posterior end of adult male in lateral view, \(\times 14 ; \mathrm{C}\), distal end of fourth pleopod of male, \(\times 44\).

Colosi gave the length of his specimens as 9 mm . but the largest male in the Discovery collection measures over 10 mm . It is apparently fully mature with the lobe from the antennule very densely covered with setae.

Distribution. The types of this species were taken in the Strait of Magellan, but there is no record of the depth at which they were living. The Discovery record is from quite close to the coast, north of the eastern end of the Straits in water 49-66 m. in depth.

\section*{Genus Antarctomysis Coutière, 1906}

1906 Antarctomysis Coutière, p. I.
Remarks. Coutière (igo6, p. i) founded this genus for those forms which, while resembling the genus Mysis in the form of the antennal scale and the telson, differed markedly in the form of the male pleopods. I have tried to find some definite generic characters, which apply to both sexes, whereby the genus may be distinguished, but have failed to do so. It is unfortunate that the one constant generic distinguishing character should apply to one sex only, but it is so definite that there can be no doubt as to the validity of the genus.

The principal characters of the genus are as follows: antennal scale long and narrow in shape, setose all round; apex sharply pointed; distal segment of the 'palp' of the maxilla expanded distally; carpo-propodus of the endopods of the third to the eighth thoracic appendages multi-articulate; inner margin of the endopod of the uropod armed with a row of spines; pleopods of the female reduced to
simple unsegmented plates; in the male the first and second pairs rudimentary as in the female; third pair well developed, biramous, multi-articulate; fourth pair biramous with the endopod normal, multi-articulate; exopod extremely long, multi-articulate and armed distally with long modified setae; fifth pair well developed, biramous and multi-articulate. Telson long and narrow with the lateral margins nearly straight and armed with many small spines; apex deeply cleft, the margins of the cleft armed with a regular row of closely set, very small teeth.

Only two species have, up to the present, been referred to this genus, A. maxima (Hansen, in MS.) Holt and Tattersall and A. ohlini Hansen. The genus has always been regarded as purely Antarctic, but the capture of four, nearly adult specimens at station 274 off St Paul de Loanda, Angola, West Africa in a latitude of only \(8^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\). is most astonishing. I have carefully examined hauls from stations between the Falkland Islands and Africa, and along the west coast of Africa, but have found no trace of either of the species of this genus. Neither species has been recorded from the northern hemisphere.

The genera most closely allied to Antarctomysis are Mysis, Hemimysis and Arthromysis and, since the real differences between them lie in the form of the male pleopods, it is most difficult to decide to which genus female specimens should be referred. In all four genera the first and second pairs of pleopods in the male are reduced to simple unsegmented plates as in the female. In all of them also 'the third pair are biramous, but only in Antarctomysis are both rami normal and multiarticulate. In Mysis and Arthromysis the exopod is normal but the endopod is unsegmented and reduced to a simple plate. In Hemimysis both rami are very small, the exopod reduced to a small knob and the endopod, at most, two-segmented. In all four genera the exopod of the fourth pair is extremely long and armed distally with long modified setae, but in Antarctomysis alone the endopod is normal and multiarticulate. In Mysis and Arthromysis the endopod is reduced to a simple unsegmented plate and in Hemimysis it is very small and obscurely two-segmented. The fifth pair of pleopods is well developed and normal in Hemimysis, Arthromysis and Antarctomysis, but in Mysis it is reduced to a single plate which is usually unsegmented, but may be two-segmented. Antarctomysis can be distinguished from Arthromysis by the absence of two long plumose setae at the base of the cleft of the telson; from Hemimysis, by the form of the antennal scale. In Antarctomysis this is setose all round, but in Hemimysis the outer margin is unarmed distally, with no tooth or spine marking the distal end of the naked portion. In one species, however, H. serrata Băcesco (1938, p. 425), the outer margin of the antennal scale is armed with spines instead of setae.

It is fortunate that both the species of the genus Antarctomysis are abundant and gregarious in habit, so that males are usually available and the form of their pleopods leaves no doubt as to the genus to which specimens belong.

\section*{Antarctomysis maxima (Hansen in MS.) (Holt and Tattersall), igo6}
ı \(906 b\) Mysis maxima (Hansen in MS.) Holt and Tattersall, p. II.
1906 Antarctomysis maxima Coutière, pp. 1-10.
1908 Antarctomysis maxima, Hansen, p. 13, figs.
1908 Antarctomysis maxima, Tattersall, p. 36, fig.; 1913, p. 872; 1918, p. 12; 1923, p. 301.
1913 Antarctomysis maxima, Hansen, p. 19.
1915 a Antarctomysis maxima, Zimmer, p. 203, figs.
1935 Antarctomysis maxima, Hardy and Gunther, p. 201, fig.

\section*{Occurrence:}

\footnotetext{
St. MS 20. 9. iv. 25 (day). Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, \(40-0 \mathrm{~m} .\), I f, 15 mm .
St. MS 22. 9. iv. 25 (day). Cumberland Bay, \(40-0\) m., 2 아, juv.
St. MS 26. I 5. iv. 25 (day). Cumberland Bay, io m., i small juv. ㅇ.
}

St．MS 27．29．iv． 25 （day）．Cumberland Bay，160－1 20 m．，I đ̂， 5 아，all juv．
St．MS 32．1．v． 25 （day）．Cumberland Bay， \(40 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) ot， 39 mm ．，I imm． ， 16 mm ．
St．MS 68．2．iii． 26 （day）．Cumberland Bay，220－247 m．，I juv．Ĵ， 13 mm ．，I small juv． ． ．

 small young，both sexes．
St．32．17．iii． 26 （night）．Off Cumberland Bay， \(90(-0)\) m．， 3 small juv．


St．42．1．iv． 26 （day）．Cumberland Bay， \(120-204 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，hundreds of both sexes，some breeding， 50 juv．up to 20 mm ．
 eggs，8i juv．

St．134．21．xii． 26 （day）．South Georgia， \(123 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) di， 32.5 mm ．
St．140．23．xii． 26 （day）．South Georgia，122－136 m．， 2 ơ＇\(^{\circ}, 2\) 우，all juv．
St．I43．30．xii． 26 （day）．South Georgia， 273 m ．， 14 juv．，largest 11 mm ．

St．148．9．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia，132－148 m．，I ot， \(38 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) fof，largest \(47 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) small juv．ôd 6 juv．\(\circ\) 아．
St．149．Io．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia，200－234 m．，about 50 juv．

St．156．20．i． 27 （day）．Off South Georgia，200－236 m．，I ot， 30 mm ．，I t， 33 mm ．
St．162．17．ii． 27 （day）．Off Signy I．，South Orkneys， 320 m ．，I \({ }^{\text {ot }}, 40 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．（the exopod of the 4 th pleopod ex－ tends 3 mm ．beyond the apex of the telson）， 4 adult 아， \(42-48 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．， 3 juv．,\(q\) ．
St．164．18．ii． 27 （day）．South Orkneys， \(24-36 \mathrm{~m} ., 2 \mathrm{imm}\) ．\({ }^{\circ}\) ず， 29 mm ．and 30 mm ．
St．167．20．ii． 27 （day）．South Orkneys，244－344 m．，several hundreds adults and young，largest 50 mm ．
St．I70．23．ii． 27 （day）．Off Clarence I．，South Shetlands， 342 m ．，I if with advanced embryos， 53 mm ．
St．I8i．i2．iii． 27 （day）．Schollaert Channel，Palmer Archipelago， \(160-335 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) ở，larger 56 mm ．，with \(4^{\text {th }}\) pleopod 20 mm ．in length， 4 오， 55 mm ．，2nd haul， \(2 \mathrm{~J}^{\circ} \mathrm{J}^{\circ}, 76.5 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．and 77 mm ．
St．187．8．iii． 27 （day）．Palmer Archipelago， 259 m．，I §, \(39 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) 우，39－41 mm．
St．190．24．iii． 27 （day）．Palmer Archipelago．Three hauls： \(43 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) fof， \(45-48 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．， 2 juv．；93－126 m．，fragments； \(315 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) む̊＇， 38 mm ．，I ovig．ㅇ， 35 mm ．
St．208．7．iv． 27 （day）．South Shetlands， \(800(-0)\) m．，I small juv．
St．274．4．viii． 27 （day）．Off St Paul de Loanda，Angola，65－64 m．， 2 ớ， 2 9q，largest 27 mm ．，all imm．
St．326．2．ii． 30 （night）．West of South Georgia．Two hauls： \(100-50 \mathrm{~m} ., 12\) juv．， \(10-15 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．；200－100 m．， 18 juv．， largest 17 mm ．
St．327．2．ii． 30 （day）．Off South Georgia，200－100 m．， 19 juv．，largest 24 mm ．
St．331．2．ii． 30 （day）．Off South Georgia， \(100-50 \mathrm{~m} ., 7\) juv．，largest 14 mm ．
St．338．5．ii． 30 （day）．Off South Georgia，225－100 m．， 2 ơd， 37 and 39 mm ．
 \(33 \mathrm{~mm} ., 2\) 우， 29 mm ．，all juv．
St．340．5．ii． 30 （night）．South Georgia，100－50 m．， 2 juv．12－14 mm．
St．341．5／6．ii． 30 （night）．South Georgia．Three hauls： \(50-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 1\) di， 28 mm ．，i \(9,32 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．， 6 juv．；100－50 m．，I ot，

St．348．8．ii． 30 （day）．South Georgia， \(90-50 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 18 small juv．
St．349．8．ii． 30 （night）．South Georgia， \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I \({ }^{\text {Jt，}} 32 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．，I I juv．，largest 15 mm ．
St．366．6．iii． 30 （day）．South Sandwich Is．，322－155 m．， 5 ỡ \({ }^{\text {ô，}} 15\) 웅，largest 34 mm ．all imm．
St． 371 ．14．iii． 30 （day）．South Sandwich Is．， \(99-161 \mathrm{~m} ., 40\) juv．，largest 35 mm ．
St．517．26．xi． 30 （night）．South Georgia， \(102-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 25\) juv．，largest 21 mm ．
St．518．27．xi． 30 （night）．South Georgia， \(90-0 \mathrm{~m} ., 14\) juv．， \(9-11 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St． 1644 ．16．i． 36 （day）．Bay of Whales，Ross Sea， 626 m ．，I \(\uparrow\) ，juv． 35 mm ．
St．1652．23．i． 36 （day）．Bay of Whales， \(567 \mathrm{~m} .\), I ovig．ㅇ， 54 mm ．
St．1660．27．i． 36 （day）．Bay of Whales， 351 m ．， 3 juv．and fragments．


St．1872．I2．xi． 36 （day）．Scotia Sea， 247 m．，I juv．，I I mm．
 St．1952．I i．i． 37 （day）．South Shetlands， \(367-383 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I ， 51 mm ．
St．1955．29．i． 37 （day）．South Shetlands， \(44^{-}-410 \mathrm{~m} .\), I \＆, 44 mm ．with large empty brood sac，i juv．ㅇ．
St．WS 25．I7．xii． 26 （night）．South Georgia， \(18-27 \mathrm{~m} ., 6\) ơo \({ }^{*}, 9\) fof，largest 30 mm ．，i 3 juv．
St．WS 27．19．xii． 26 （day）．Off South Georgia， \(107 \mathrm{~m} ., 71\) juv．，largest 17 mm ．
 12 juv．ôd \({ }^{\hat{\prime}, 6} 6\) juv．오， \(17-20 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．WS 29．19．xii． 26 （day）．Off South Georgia， \(50-0 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I imm．\(\uparrow, 23 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．WS 30．19／20．xii． 26 （night）．South Georgia．Two hauls： \(100-50 \mathrm{~m} ., 4\) juv．， \(17-19 \mathrm{~mm} . ; 250-100 \mathrm{~m} ., \mathrm{I}\) \＆， 30 mm ．，not mature， 12 juv．， \(18-20 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．WS 31．20．xii． 26 （day）．South Georgia， 53 m ．， 1 万̂， 31 mm ．not mature．

St．WS 33．21．xii． 26 （day）．South Georgia， 130 m ．（bottom），many hundreds \(25-30 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．all imm．；ff greatly outnumber ôơ．
St．WS 35．21／22．xii． 26 （night）．South Georgia， 5 I m．，I juv．ô．
St．WS 37．22．xii． 26 （day）．South Georgia， \(300-250 \mathrm{~m}\) ．， 3 juv．， 11 mm ．
St．WS 40．7．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia．Two hauls：i00－50 m．， 5 juv．；\({ }_{175}\)－100 m．，I + ， 39 mm ．，with large empty brood sac，i juv．
St．WS 4i．7．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia， \(146 \mathrm{~m} ., 36 \mathrm{imm}\) ．，largest 30 mm ．， 21 juv．
＇St．WS 42．7．i． 27 （dusk to dark）．South Georgia．Three hauls： \(99 \mathrm{~m} ., 2 \delta^{\top} \delta^{\top}, 30 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．； \(170-100 \mathrm{~m}\) ．many hundreds of both sexes，breeding，largest 45 mm ．， 9 very small juv．； 198 m ．bottom（night）， 30 ôd \(409,30-35 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．， 5 juv．
St．WS 43．7／8．i． 27 （night）．South Georgia．Four hauls： \(0-5 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) juv．，largest \(10 \mathrm{~mm} . ; 70 \mathrm{~m} ., 20\) ổ̉， \(30-4 \mathrm{Imm}\) ．，
 about 200 juv．， \(12-25 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．WS 44．8．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia，no depth on label， 2 adult ổ， 42 mm ．，I ， 32 mm ．
St．WS 45．8．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia．Four hauls： 51 m ．，over 100 juv．， \(20-30 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．； 102 m ．，several hundreds，
 \(25 \mathrm{~mm} ., 4\) small juv．，it mm．
St．WS 46．9．i． 27 （night）．South Georgia．Five hauls： \(50-0 \mathrm{~m} .\), I juv．， 23 mm ；； \(17 \mathrm{I}-50 \mathrm{~m} .\), I juv．， \(15 \mathrm{~mm} . ; 100-\)
 4 す̋ すै， 4 ff， \(20-23 \mathrm{~mm} ., 3\) small juv．， 10 mm ．
St．WS 47．9．i． 27 （night）．South Georgia．Six hauls： \(0-5 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I \(\delta\) ， 7 9 ，largest 35.5 mm ．； 63 m ．，several hundreds， mostly imm．A few \(\circ 9\) with large empty brood sacs； 126 m ．，many adults， \(30-50 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．Females of \(32-34 \mathrm{~mm}\) ． adult；several hundreds immature，largest 28 mm ．；50－0 m．，I adult \(\circ\) ， 36 mm ．，I juv． \(9,24 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．；100－50 m．，
 23 juv．，I I－12 mm．

 28 juv．우， \(1 \mathrm{I}-27 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．WS 49．9．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia．Four hauls： 69 m ．，over 100 ，largest 41 mm ；； 137 m ．，several hundred
 I adult \(9,40 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．， 55 juv．， \(12-20 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．
St．WS 50．9．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia．Four hauls： 71 m ．， 6 ỗ， 6 ff，22－23 mm．，all imm．；i 42 m ．，II juv．， 27－30 m．， 12 juv．， \(8-10 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．；50－0 m．，I \({ }^{7}, 32 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．，I juv．， 10.5 mm ．；225－100 m．， 5 small juv．
St．WS 5I．9．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia．Five hauls： 64 m．，I 3 I juv．， 7 －Io mm．； 119 m．，many hundreds in two definite sizes， \(1 \mathrm{I}-12 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．and \(20-23 \mathrm{~mm}\) ．； 128 m ．，several hundreds，all immature，largest 30 mm ．，a few adults of 36 mm ．；

St．WS 52．10．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia．Two hauls： 100 m ．，I adult \({ }^{\hat{\prime}}\) ； \(180-100 \mathrm{~m}\) ．，I juv．아， 20 mm ．
St．IVS 62．19．i． 27 （day）．South Georgia， \(26-83\) m．，many hundreds imm．
St．WS 144．19．ii． 28 （day）．Off South Georgia，270－100 m．， 4 small juv．
St．WS 177.7 iii． 28 （day）．Off South Georgia， \(97-0\) m．，I juv．
St．WS 237．7．vii． 28 （day）．North of Falkland Is．， \(150-256\) m．，I I juv．

Remarks. This is one of the most common species of mysid to be found in Antarctic waters. It was taken by the National Antarctic Expedition in \(78^{\circ} 25^{\prime}\) S., \(165^{\circ} 39^{\prime}\) E. in 56 fm . It has been recorded by the French, Swedish and Belgian Antarctic Expeditions. In the present collection it was taken in 114 hauls (at 78 separate stations), frequently in considerable numbers. Sixty of these stations were situated around the coasts of South Georgia, one to the north of the Falkland Islands, two off the South Sandwich Is., two off the South Orkneys, one between the South Orkneys and South Shetlands, three off the South Shetlands, three in the Palmer Archipelago, two in the Scotia Sea, three in the Bay of Whales and one, very surprisingly, off St Paul de Loanda off the west of Africa in latitude \(8^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\). This is the only record north of the 46 th parallel.
A. maxima was not taken in large numbers in depths of less than 50 m . or of more than 275 m .most of the hauls which yielded a large number of specimens being taken between 75 m . and \({ }_{150} \mathrm{~m}\). In a few cases, specimens were taken in nets which had been fishing at 400 m ., but these had failed to close and the animals may have entered the net as it was being hauled to the surface.

Hansen (1913, p. 20) recorded A. maxima from waters around South Georgia in May and June. The latest date in the year upon which it was taken by 'Discovery' was 7 April at station 208 in the South Shetlands, while 'William Scoresby' did not take it later than 7 March at station WS 177 off South Georgia. It was taken at the Marine Biological Station, South Georgia, on four occasions in March and once on I May at station MS 32 in East Cumberland Bay, South Georgia. An analysis of the dates in the present collection is given below.

This species has always been regarded as a purely Antarctic form, but there is some evidence in this collection to indicate that this may be true for the warmer months of the year only. The specimens captured in November included some large adults which were breeding but, although hauls had been taken at all depths earlier in the Antarctic spring in the same localities in which A. maxima abounds later in the year, none were taken. Since it certainly must take some weeks for individuals to grow and become sexually mature and to produce eggs, it would appear that these November adults had been living elsewhere and that migration to the Antarctic occurs during the warmer months of the year. If this is the case it might explain the occurrence of four juvenile specimens at station 274 in August, but it is strange that no records have been made from any intermediate localities.
A. maxima attains sexual maturity at a much smaller size in warmer waters than in waters at the southern limit of its range. Specimens of less than 35 mm . in length, from station 42 off Cumberland Bay, South Georgia, were mature and breeding, while at station 1644 in the Bay of Whales a specimen of this length was quite immature with very small oostegites. A breeding female from station 1652 in the Bay of Whales measured 54 mm . The largest specimens in the collection were two males from station 181 in the Schollaert Channel, Palmer Archipelago measuring 76.5 mm . and 77 mm . respectively. These are the largest specimens of the species which have been recorded.

Analysis of dates
\begin{tabular}{l|c|c|c|}
\hline Month & \begin{tabular}{c} 
No. of stations \\
where A. maxima \\
was collected
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Hauls containing \\
over 50 specimens
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Over \\
100
\end{tabular} \\
\hline November & 3 & - & - \\
December & 13 & 3 & - \\
January & 27 & 3 & 13 \\
February & 13 & - & 1 \\
March & 13 & - & 1 \\
April & 1 & - & - \\
May & 1 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen, 1908
1908 Antarctomysis sp. Tattersall, p. 36, figs.
1908 Antarctomysis ohlini Hansen, p. 13; 1913, p. 20, figs.
1923 Antarctomysis ohlini, Tattersall, p. 300.
1930 Antarctomysis ohlini, Rustad, p. 21.

\section*{Occurrence:}
 26 오, largest 54 mm . (breeding), io 우, juv.
St. 4 IE. 28. iii. 26 (night). South Georgia. \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \(9,43 \mathrm{~mm}\). (damaged).
 16 아, largest 5 I mm., I 9 , juv. (parasitized). (b) Net \(\mathrm{N}_{4}-\mathrm{T}, 120-204 \mathrm{~m}\).; many juv., a few adults, largest 40 mm .
St. 45. 6. iv. 26 (day). South Georgia. \(238-270 \mathrm{~m} ., 2\) of , largest 45 mm .
 One specimen of 33 mm . with advanced embryos in the marsupium, 3 ㅇt, juv.
 juv., largest 11.5 mm .
St. 154. 18. i. 27 (day). South Georgia. Two hauls: (a) Net N 4-T, \(60-160 \mathrm{~m} .6\) ơot, largest \(30 \mathrm{~mm} ., 15\) of , largest 29 mm ., all juv.; (b) Net NCS-T, \(60-160 \mathrm{~m} .3\) す̛す
St. 205. 6. iv. 27 (day). South Shetlands, no depth on label, i f, i4 mm. juv.
St. 208. 7. iv. 27 (day). South Shetlands. \(800(-0) \mathrm{m}\)., I ơ, 15 mm ., 3 of, 14.5 mm ., all juv.
St. 376. 11. iv. 30 (day). South Shetlands. \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\)., I juv. 아.
St. WS 32. 21. xii. 26 (day). South Georgia. 225 m ., I ô, 44 mm .
St. MS 68. 2. iii. 26 (day). East Cumberland Bay. 220-247 m., 2 ôơ, 50 mm ., I \(9,46 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Remarks. This species can be distinguished from A. maxima by the form of the eyes and by the slope of the anterior lateral margins of the carapace. In \(A\). ohlini the corneal elements occupy only the distal end of the eye, so that the eyes look essentially forward, while in A. maxima they extend over a large part of the outer margin of the eye as well and so look forward, outward and downward. The anterior lateral portions of the margin of the carapace are oblique and the antero-lateral angles lie well behind the insertion of the eyestalks, but in \(A\). maxima these margins are vertical in lateral view and the antero-lateral angles lie just below the insertion of the eyestalks.
A. ohlini was taken at nine stations round South Georgia and at three near the South Shetlands.

Tattersall (1923, p. 300) has noted that this species, like \(A\). maxima, grows to a much larger size in more southerly, colder waters. Hansen recorded adult females of 50 mm . and males of 52.5 mm . from lat. \(54^{\circ} \mathrm{S}\)., but Tattersall found in his material from the Ross Sea that a female of 52 mm . was quite immature, while an ovigerous female measured 7 I mm . Rustad (1930, p. 21) recorded an ovigerous female of 47 mm . from South Georgia.

The Discovery material does not add greatly to our knowledge on this subject, for all the specimens taken from the South Shetlands were juvenile and all the others came from around South Georgia. At station 123 (in December) in Cumberland Bay, a female with advanced embryos in the brood pouch measured only 33 mm ., while the largest specimen in the collection was an ovigerous female of 54 mm . from station 39 (in March) also in Cumberland Bay. It is evident that there is great variation in the size at which this species can breed in these waters.

The following note on the colour of these specimens is given on the label from station 208: 'Whitish and transparent. Stomach deep purplish brown. Mouth parts and endopods of all the legs a rich madder-red. A row of red chromatophores on under side of abdomen. Eyes black. Largest specimen tinged with red throughout.'

Distribution. Prevously recorded by the National Antarctic Expedition and the Norwegian Antarctic Expedition from South Georgia and by the 'Terra Nova' Expedition from the Ross Sea.

This species was taken in company with \(A\). maxima at nine out of the twelve stations at which it occurred. The greatest depth at which it was taken was \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\). at station 376 in the South Shetlands and the least depth was \(60-160 \mathrm{~m}\). at station 154 off Cumberland Bay. It only occurred once in a night haul, at station 4 I E off South Georgia.

\section*{Genus Arthromysis Colosi, 1924}

1924 Arthromysis Colosi, p. 3.
Remarks. Cunningham (1871, p. 497) instituted a new species, Macromysis magellanica, for a few specimens taken at the eastern end of the Strait of Magellan in January 1867. He gave a brief description of this species, stating that it resembled a species then known as Macromysis gracilis Dana ( \(=\) Mysidium gracilis (Dana)) in general form, shape of the anterior end, and in having a deeply cleft telson, but he gave no figures.

Zimmer (1915b, p. 170) recorded very briefly a single damaged specimen taken in the Strait of Magellan in 1892. He believed that this specimen represented a new species of the genus Antarctomysis Coutière, 1906, but felt that it was too damaged to allow him to institute a species for it. He recorded that the main point of distinction between it and the known species of Antarctomysis was the great length and slenderness of the eyes.

Colosi (1924, p. 3) founded a new genus and species, Arthromysis chierchiae, for a single adult female taken in the Strait of Magellan in 1882. He gave a fairly full description of it and figured the anterior end, the telson and the distal end of an endopod of one of the thoracic limbs.

Among the material collected by 'William Scoresby', I am fortunate in finding a number of beautifully preserved adults of both sexes of this interesting species, taken at stations WS 89, off Tierra del Fuego and WS 749, in the Strait of Magellan. From an examination of these specimens I have no doubt at all that the species described by Cunningham, Zimmer and Colosi are synonymous. I am now able for the first time to examine and to figure the male pleopods. From their form there is no doubt that these specimens cannot be included in the genus Antarctomysis. I therefore accept Colosi's genus Arthromysis for them, but the specific name chierchiae must give place to the earlier name of magellanica.

The definition of the genus Arthromysis can now be given more fully: Anterior margin of carapace a smoothly rounded semicircle; antennal scale long, setose all round, lanceolate, with narrowly rounded apex, small distal suture. Eyes extremely long and narrow. Mandibular palp long and robust, second segment long and narrow with parallel sides; third segment much narrower and half as long as the second. Endopods of the third to the seventh thoracic appendages with the carpo-propodus subdivided into a large number of segments; that of the eighth divided into about half as many sub-segments; no nail. Pleopods of the female reduced to simple unsegmented plates; of the male, first and second pairs almost exactly like the corresponding pairs of the female; third pair, small, biramous, with normal exopod; endopod reduced to a simple unsegmented plate; fourth pair biramous with the exopod extremely long, multiarticulate, distal segments armed with very long modified setae; endopod reduced to a simple unsegmented plate; fifth pair large, biramous, both rami normal, multi-articulate and natatory; exopod slightly longer than endopod. Uropods with exopod considerably longer than endopod; inner margin of endopod armed with a row of spines. Telson broadly oblong; deeply cleft; lateral margins armed with a continuous row of almost equal spines not arranged in series; pair of long, plumose setae at base of cleft.

This genus agrees with the genus Antarctomysis in many of its characters, but may be distinguished from it by the simple unsegmented endopods of the third and fourth male pleopods; by the very long slender eyes; by the narrow second segment of the mandibular palp; by the very large number of subsegments of the carpo-propodus of the third to the seventh thoracic endopods and by the presence of a pair of very long plumose setae at the base of the cleft of the telson.

Arthromysis magellanica (Cunningliam), 1871
(Fig. \(45 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{D} ; 46 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{P}\) )
1871 Macromysis magellanica Cunningham, p. 497.
1915b Antarctomysis sp. Zimmer, p. 170.
1924 Arthromysis chierchiae Colosi, p. 3, figs.

\section*{Occurrence:}
 28-3I mm., many breeding.
 fragments.
Description. General form long and slender. Carapace short posteriorly, leaving the whole of the last two and part of the sixth thoracic somites exposed in dorsal view; anterior margin semicircular with, in a few large specimens only, a hint of an angle in the median line; antero-lateral angles produced into very acute spine-like processes (Fig. \(45 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}\) ); carapace very shallow laterally with the margin anterior to the cervical sulcus sinuous (Fig. 45 B); posterior margin transverse with only a very slight emargination in the median region; postero-lateral angles rounded. Abdomen strong and muscular; anterior five somites sub-equal; sixth nearly twice as long as the fifth (Fig. 45B). Antenuular peduncle long and slender especially in the female; second segment the shortest, third segment expanded at its distal end (Figs. 45 A and 46 A ); scale long and slender, more than twice as long as the antennular peduncle; small distal suture present (Figs. 45 A and 46 B). Mandibular palp slender, with second segment not expanded (Fig. 46C). Maxillule, maxilla, first and second thoracic appendages as shown in Fig. 46 D-G. Third to the eighth thoracic appendages with the endopods not particularly long; carpopropodus with 24-26 sub-segments in the third to seventh endopods and with ten in the eighth. Male genital organ very large, barrel-shaped with a well-marked lobe at its distal end (Fig. 45 D). Marsupium composed of three pairs of brood lamellae, those on the sixth thoracic appendages very small. Pleopods of the female rudimentary, in the form of unsegmented plates, which become progressively longer on the posterior somites (Fig. 45 B). Pleopods of the male ; first and second pairs as in the female (Fig. \(46 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}\) ); third pair with unsegmented endopod (Fig. 46K); fourth pair with simple unsegmented endopod; exopod extremely long, extending backward beyond the apex of the telson, composed of ten segments marked off by very obscure articulations; penultimate segment very short, armed with one strong, long seta, which is armed along its outer margin with a regular row of small spines; distal segment long and slender, armed distally with two unequal spinous setae and a simple seta (Fig. 46 L ) ; fifth pair large, normal, with the exopod slightly longer than the endopod (Fig. 46 M ). Uropods, very long and slender, exopod more than half as long again as the endopod, which is armed along the inner margin with \({ }_{17}{ }^{-19}\) spines among the setae (Fig. 46 N). Telson broad and oblong, lateral margins nearly parallel, armed throughout their whole length with \(25-26\) evenly spaced spines; distal spine long and placed very close to the small apical spine which is less than half its length (in some specimens this long spine appears to be borne on the apex but in the majority of the animals it is definitely situated on the lateral margin); cleft about one-sixth of the length of the telson, its margins
very convex distally, no proximal dilation, armed with a very dense row of small even teeth; pair of long plumose setae borne at base of cleft. There is a very conspicuous large chromatophore in the median line of the telson about two-thirds of its length from the base (Fig. 46P).

Length. Largest female, 31 mm .; largest male, 30 mm .
Distribution. All the records of this species are from the Strait of Magellan with the exception of station WS 749, half-way between Tierra del Fuego and the Falkland Islands.

liig. 45. Arthromysis magellanica (Cunningham). A, anterior end of adult female in dorsal view, \(\times 9\); B , adult female in lateral view, \(\times 6 ; \mathrm{C}\), labrum; D , eighth thoracic appendage of adult male, \(\times 14\).

Remarks. In all essential characters this species conforms to the definition laid down by Hansen for the tribe Mysini, but it possesses one character, which has not before been recorded for this tribe-the presence of long plumose setae at the base of the cleft of the telson. These setae are characteristic of the tribe Erythropini and occur in one or two genera of the tribe Leptomysini. Since all the other characters of the genus Arthromysis are definitely those of the tribe Mysini, the presence of these conspicuous setae, in conjunction with the very large number of sub-segments in the tarsus of the thoracic endopods, simplifies the identification of both sexes of the species. The only species with which the females might be confused is Tenagomysis tenuipes, in which there may be as many as 14 sub-segments in the tarsus of the third to seventh thoracic endopods and in which the presence of plumose setae arming the base of the cleft of the telson is a generic character. A. magellanica may be distinguished from this species by the very long, slender eyes and by the semicircular shape of the anterior margin of the carapace.


Fig. 46. Arthromysis magellanica (Cunningham). A, antennular peduncle of adult male, \(\times 18\); B, right antenna, \(\times 18\); C, mandibular palp, \(\times 21\); D, maxillule, \(\times 25\); E, maxilla, \(\times 25\); F, first thoracic appendage with epipod; \(\times 21\); G, endopod of second thoracic appendage, \(\times 21\); H , first pleopod of male, \(\times 18\); J, second pleopod of male, \(\times 18 ; \mathrm{K}\), third pleopod of male, \(\times 18\); L, fourth pleopod of male, \(\times 18 ; \mathrm{M}\), fifth pleopod of male, \(\times 18 ; \mathrm{N}\), right uropod, \(\times 18 ; \mathrm{P}\), telson, \(\times 21\).

\section*{REPORT ON THE MYSIDACEA COLLECTED DURING A SURVEY OF THE BENGUELA CURRENT BY R.R.S. 'WILLIAM SCORESBY', 4-14 MARCH 1950}

During the course of a first survey of the Benguela Current by 'William Scoresby' in March 1950, mysids were captured at twelve stations off the west coast of South Africa, from west of the Orange River estuary to the latitude of Walvis Bay. Surprisingly few specimens were taken, apart from a haul containing over 450 specimens of the gregarious Gastrosaccus sanctus at station WS 1002 . In spite of the few numbers, the collection has proved to be very rich, ten genera being represented and sixteen species, one of which is new to science. In addition there were a few specimens, which may represent other genera and species, but they were either too young or too damaged to be identified. I have been particularly struck by the large proportion of very small specimens, in many cases measuring only 2 mm . or 3 mm . and some so small that they must only just have been liberated from the brood pouch. This must be due in part to the type of gear used, and especially to the meticulous care of Dr T. J. Hart, who dealt with the hauls and sorted the catch.

Full details of the stations and the names of the species captured at each are given at the end of the list of 'William Scoresby' stations.

\section*{Occurrence:}

\section*{Eucopia grimaldii Nouvel, \(194^{2}\)}

St. WS 986. 10. iii. 50 (day). \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 very small juv.
Distribution. Within the known geographical range of this species.
Occurrence:
Boreomysis rostrata Illig, 1906
St. WS 976. 6. iii. 50 (day). \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 small juv., 3 mm .
St. WS 977. 6/7. iii. 50 (night). \(750-500 \mathrm{~m}\)., i juv. \(\widehat{0}\).
St. WS 978. 7. iii. 50 (day). \(750-500 \mathrm{~m} ., 3\) ㅇㅇ, \(9.4-\mathrm{I} 2 \mathrm{~mm}\).
St. WS 986. io. iii. 50 (day). \(50-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult \({ }^{\delta}, 14.5 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Distribution. Within the known range for the species.

\section*{Occurrence:}

Boreomysis microps G. O. Sars, 1884
St. WS 996. 12 . iii. 50 (day). \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\)., I imm. O , in two pieces, estimated length 10 mm .
Distribution. Within the known range for the species.
Occurrence: Boreomysis insolita sp.n.
St. WS 979. 7. iii. 50 (night). \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., 1 adult \(9,8.2 \mathrm{~mm} ., 3 \mathrm{imm}\). 아.


Distribution. West and south-south-west of Walvis Bay.

Occurrence:
Gastrosaccus sanctus (van Beneden), 1861
St. WS 1000. I 3. iii. 50 (night). \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., I very small juv.

Distribution. West of Orange River estuary, shallow water. This is considerably farther south than previous records although one specimen was taken off South Georgia by R.R.S. 'Discovery' at station 149.

Occurrence:
St. WS 1000. 13. iii. 50 (night). Three hauls: \(50-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., 5 juv., largest 3.4 mm .; \(100-50 \mathrm{~m} ., 4\) juv., largest 3.2 mm .; \(150-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult of, \(7 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~mm}\).

Distribution. This species is widely distributed in equatorial and tropical waters of the world. The present record is the most southerly to be made in the southern hemisphere.

Occurrence: Dactylamblyops hodgsoni Holt and Tattersall, 1906
St. WS 976. 6. iii. 50 (day). 1000-750 m., I badly damaged juv. \({ }^{\hat{c}}, 6 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Distribution. This species has never before been recorded so far north. All previous records are from deep water in the Antarctic or Southern Oceans.

Occurrence:
Meterythrops sp., S. I. Smith 1879
St. WS 978. 7. iii. 50 (day). \(500-250 \mathrm{~m}\)., i badly damaged juv., 3.4 mm .
Distribution. 150 miles west of Walvis Bay.
Occurrence: Katerythrops oceanae Holt and Tattersall, 1905
St. WS 976 . 6. iii. 50 (day). \(1000-750 \mathrm{~m}\)., I small jur. 2.5 mm .
Distribution. Within the known range of the species.

Occurrence: Euchaetomera typica G. O. Sars, 1884
St. WS 977. \(6 / 7\). iii. 50 (night). \(500-250 \mathrm{~m}\)., I juv., 3 mm .
St. WS 978. 7. iii. 50 (day). \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., I juv. ठै, \(5 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Distribution. Within the known range of the species.

Occurrence:
Euchaetomera tenuis G. O. Sars, 1883
St. WS 977. 6/7. iii. 50 (night). \(250-100 \mathrm{~m}\)., 2 juv., 2.5 and 3 mm .
Distribution. Within the known range of the species.

Occurrence: Euchaetomera intermedia Nouvel, 1942
St. WS 976. 6. iii. 50 (day). \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., I juv. \(+\frac{+}{}, 6.8 \mathrm{~mm}\).
Distribution. Within the known range of the species.

Occurrence: Euchaetomera zurstrasseni (Illig), igo6
St. WS 976. 6. iii. 50 (day). 250-100 m., 1 juv., 3 mm .
Distribution. This species has been recorded on many occasions from the Antarctic and the Southern Atlantic. Except for one record from waters to the west of Cape Town it has never been taken in the Atlantic north of South Georgia. The present record from the west of Walvis Bay is the most northerly in the Atlantic Ocean.

Euchaetomera larvae too young to identify, station WS 997.

Occurrence:

\section*{Caesaromysis hispida Ortmann, 1893}

Distribution. Within the known range of the species.

Occurrence:

\section*{Arachnomysis leuckartii Chun, 1887}

St. WS 986. io. iii. 50 (day). \(50-0 \mathrm{~m}\)., I adult +5.6 mm .
Distribution. Within the known range of the species.

\section*{Occurrence:}

Leptomysis megalops Zimmer, 1915
St. WS 998. 13. iii. 50 (day). Two hauls: \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., I badly damaged juv.; \(175-100 \mathrm{~m} ., 3 \xrightarrow{\circ} \mathrm{P}, 4-5 \cdot 6 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 4 very small juv.
St. WS 1000. \({ }^{1} 3\). iii. 50 (night). \(100-50 \mathrm{~m}\)., I \({ }^{7}, 8.4 \mathrm{~mm}\)., 3 fof, largest 8.8 mm ., 8 small juv.
St. WS ioor. 13/14. iii. 50 (night). 50 oom., 2 very small Leptomysis larvae ? megalops.
Distribution. Within the known range of the species.
Remarks. Only two of the species recorded above show any marked deviation from previous records of their distribution. Both Dactylambylops hodgsoni and Euchaetomera zurstrasseni are Antarctic forms or from very far south in the South Atlantic. Their capture off the west coast of South Africa is considerably farther north in the Atlantic than any previous records of them, although E. zurstrasseni was originally taken in the Indian Ocean to the west of Chagos Islands.
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Acanthomysis} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { PAGE } \\
160
\end{array}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Boreomysis (contd).} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{page} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Page} \\
\hline & \[
169
\] & & & - gigas & 30
36 \\
\hline acanthura, Gbberythrops
acuminata, Boreomysis, sp.n. & . \(\begin{array}{r}115 \\ \hline 88 \\ \hline\end{array}\) & - tridersali sp.n. & -7, 68 & - gracilis & 39 \\
\hline acuta, Mysidopsis & 158 & - vanhoeffeni & 67 & - ingens & 31 \\
\hline aequiremis, Siriella & 86 & - verrucosa & 67 & - zoea & 38 \\
\hline africana, Erythrops, sp.n. & 123 & brachylepis, Mysidetes & 145 & gracilis, Gnathophausia & 39 \\
\hline Afromysis & 166 & brevirostris, Paramblyops, sp.n. & 112 & gracilis, Siriella & 86 \\
\hline - hansoni & 166 & brucei, Boreomysis & 68, 75 & grimaldii, Eucopia & 54, 182 \\
\hline alatum, Chalaraspidum & 28 & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Amblyops
- antarctica sp.n.} & 103 & Caesaromysis & 134 & Hansenomysis & 58 \\
\hline & 106 & - hispida & 36,184 & - antarctica & 59 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
antarctica sp.n. \\
durbani sp.n.
\end{tabular} & 104 & californica, Boreomysis & 68 & - falklandica sp.n. & 61 \\
\hline - kempi (near) & 107 & calmani, Pseudomma, sp.n. & 95 & hansoni, Afromysis & 166 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Amblyopsoides gen.n. - obtusa, gen.n., sp.n.} & 108 & camelina, Mysidopsis, sp.n. & 160 & Heteroerythrops gen.n. & 21 \\
\hline & 108 & capensis, Leptomysis & 165 & - purpura gen.n., sp.n. & 121 \\
\hline - obtusa, gen.n., sp.n. Anchialina & 89 & capensis, Longithorax & 125 & hispida, Caesaromysis & \({ }_{136} 18{ }_{4}\) \\
\hline - truncata & 90 & Chalaraspidum & 27 & hodgsoni, Dactylamblyops & 114,183 \\
\hline - typica & S9, 183 & - alatum & 28 & & \\
\hline anomala, Mysidetes, sp.n. & \({ }^{1} 53\) & challengeri, Lophogaster & 40 & illigi, Boreomysis, sp.n. & 68, 72 \\
\hline antarctica, Amblyops, sp.n. & 106 & chuni, Echinomysis & 125 & inermis, Boreomysis & 67, 75 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{antarctica, Hansenomysis antarcticum, Pseudomma} & 59 & crassa, Mysidetes & 144 & ingens, Gnathophausia & \\
\hline & 94 & & & insolita, Boreomysis, sp.n. & , 80, 182 \\
\hline Antarctomysis & 172 & Dactylamblyops & 114 & intermedia, Euchactomera & 132,183 \\
\hline - maxima & 173 & - hodgsoni & 14, 183 & intermedia, Mysidetes, sp.n. & 149 \\
\hline - ohlini & 177 & dimorpha, Mysidetes, sp.n. & 153 & & \\
\hline apiops, Leptomysis & 164 & dubia, Boreomysis & 68 & Katerythrops & 118,183 \\
\hline Arachnomysis & 139 & durbani, Amblyops, sp.n. & 104 & - oceanae & 118,183 \\
\hline - leuckartii & 139, 184 & & & - resimora sp.n. & 119 \\
\hline - megalops & 140 & Echinomysis & 125 & kempi, Amblyops (near) & 107 \\
\hline arctica, Boreomysis & 68 & - chuni & 125 & kerguelensis, Mysidetes & 143 \\
\hline armatum, Pseudomma & 92 & egregius, Rhopalophthalmus & 87 & & \\
\hline Arthromysis & 178 & Erythrops & 123 & Leptomysis & 163 \\
\hline - magellanica & 179 & - africana sp.n. & 123 & - apiops & 164 \\
\hline atlantica, Boreomysis & 68, 75 & Euchaetomera & 127 & - capensis & 165 \\
\hline australe, Mysis, sp.n. & 167 & - glyphidophthalmica & 131 & - megalops & 165,184 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{australis, Eucopia} & 48 & - intermedia & [32, 183 & leuckartii, Arachnomysis & 139 \\
\hline & & - oculata & 132 & linguicauda, Eucopia, sp.n. & 56 \\
\hline belgicae, Pseudomma & 94 & - tenuis & 128, 183 & longicaudum, Pseudomma, sp & sp.n. 98 \\
\hline bispinosa, Boreomysis, sp.n. & . 67,78 & - typica & 127, 183 & Longithorax & 125 \\
\hline Boreomysis & 66 & - zurstrasseni & 129, 183 & - capensis & 125 \\
\hline - acuminata sp.n. & 68, 82 & Euchaetomeropsis & 133 & - nouveli sp.n. & 26, 127 \\
\hline - arctica & 68 & - merolepis & \({ }^{133}\) & Lophogaster & 40 \\
\hline - atlantica & 68, 75 & Eucopia & 45 & - challengeri & 40 \\
\hline - bispinosa sp.n. & 67,78 & - australis & 48 & - rotundatus & 43 \\
\hline - brucei & 68, 75 & - grimaldii & 54, 182 & - schmidti & 45 \\
\hline - californic & 68 & - linguicauda sp.n. & 56 & - spinosus & \(4^{2}\) \\
\hline - dubia & 68 & - sculpticauda & 52 & & \\
\hline - fragilis & 68 & - unguiculata & 50 & macrops, Mysidetes, sp.n. & 145 \\
\hline - illigi sp.n. & 68, 72 & - sp. & 58 & magellanensis, Pseudomma & sp.n. 100 \\
\hline - inermis & 67, 75 & & & magellanica, Arthromysis & 179 \\
\hline - insolita sp.n. & 67, So, 182 & falklandica, Hansenomysis, sp.. & .1. 61 & major, Mysidopsis & \({ }^{1} 57\) \\
\hline - megalops & & fragilis, Boreomysis & 68 & maxima, Antarctomysis & 173 \\
\hline - microps 67 & \(67,76,182\) & & & megalops, Arachnomysis & 140 \\
\hline nobilis & 68 & Gastrosaccus & 88 & megalops, Boreomysis & 68 \\
\hline obtusata & 68 & - sanctus & 82, 182 & megalops, Gibberythrops, sp & p.n. 116 \\
\hline - plebeja & 68, 74 & Gibberythrops & 115 & megalops, Leptomysis & 165, 184 \\
\hline - rostrata & 67, 68, 182 & - acanthura & 115 & merolepis, Euchaetomeropsi & is 133 \\
\hline - rostrata var. & 71 & - megalops sp.n. & 116 & Meterythrops & 117, 183 \\
\hline scyphops & 67 & gigas, Gnathophausia & 36 & - picta & 117 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{semicaeca
sibogae} & 67 & glyphidophthalmica, Euchaeto & & microps, Boreomysis & , 76, 182 \\
\hline & 68, 74 & mera & 131 & microps, Mysidetes, sp.n. & \({ }^{1} 45\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
minutum, Pseudomma, sp.n. & PAGE \\
monticellii, Neomysis & 101 \\
Mysidetes & 171 \\
- anomala sp.n. & 141 \\
- brachylepis & 153 \\
- crassa & 145 \\
- dimorpha sp.n. & 144 \\
- intermedia sp.n. & 153 \\
- kerguelensis & 149 \\
- macrops, sp.n. & 143 \\
- microps sp.n. & 147 \\
- patagonica sp.n. & 145 \\
- posthon & 151 \\
Mysidopsis & 142 \\
- acuta & 155 \\
- camellina sp.n. & 158 \\
- major & 160 \\
- schultzei & 157 \\
- similis & 156 \\
Mysis & 157 \\
- australe sp.n. & 167 \\
Neomysis & 167 \\
- monticellii & 169 \\
- patagona & 171 \\
nobilis, Boreomysis & 169 \\
nouveli, Longithorax, sp.n. & 126,127 \\
obtusa, Amblyopsoides, gen.n. & \\
sp.n. & 108 \\
obtusata, Boreomysis & 68 \\
oceanae, Katerythrops & 118,183
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
& PAGE \\
oculata, Euchaetomera & 132 \\
oculatus, Petalophthalmus & 65 \\
ohlini, Antarctomysis & 177 \\
Paramblyops & 111 \\
- brevirostris, sp.n. & 112 \\
patagona, Neomysis & 169 \\
patagonica, Mysidetes, sp.n. & 151 \\
Petalophthalmus & 65 \\
- oculatus & 65 \\
picta, Meterythrops & 117 \\
plebeja, Boreomysis & 68,74 \\
posthon, Mysidetes & 142 \\
Pseudomma & 91 \\
— antarcticum & 94 \\
— armatum & 92 \\
- belgicae & 94 \\
- calmani sp.n. & 95 \\
- longicaudum sp.n. & 98 \\
- magellanensis sp.n. & 100 \\
- minutum sp.n. & 101 \\
- sarsi & 93 \\
- schollaertensis \(s p . n\). & 97 \\
purpura, Heteroerythrops gen. \(n .\), & 121 \\
sp.n. & \\
resimora, Katerythrops & 119 \\
Rhopalophthalmus & 87 \\
- egregius & 87 \\
rostrata, Boreomysis & \(67,68,182\) \\
rostrata var. Boreomysis & 71 \\
rotundatus, Lophogaster & 43 \\
& \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
page
sanctus, Gastrosaccus 88, I82
sarsi, Pseudomma 93
schmidti, Lophogaster 45
schollaertensis, Pseudomma, sp.n. 97
schultzei, Mysidopsis I56
sculpticauda, Eucopia \(\mathbf{5 ²}^{2}\)
scyphops, Boreomysis 67
semicaeca, Boreomysis 67
sibogae, Boreomysis 68, 74
similis, Mysidopsis \(\quad 55\)
Siriella
- aequiremis 86
— gracilis 86
- thompsonii \(8_{4}\)
spinosus, Lophogaster \(\boldsymbol{t}^{2}\)
tattersalli, Boreomysis, sp.n. 67, 177
tenuis, Euchaetomera 128,183
thompsonii, Siriella \(\delta 4\)
tridens, Boreomysis 68
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { truncata, Anchialina } & 90 \\ \text { typica, Anchialina } & 80\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lr}\text { typica, Anchialina } & 89 \\ \text { typica, Euchaetomera } & 127,183\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lr}\text { typica, Euchaetomera } & 127,183 \\ \text { unguiculata, Eucopia } & 50\end{array}\)
vanhoeffeni, Boreomysis 67
verrucosa, Boreomysis 67
\(\begin{array}{lr}\text { zoea, Gnathophausia } & 38 \\ \text { zurstrasseni, Euchaetomera } & 129,183\end{array}\)```


[^0]:    B, oblique; H, horizontal; V, vertical; BNR, Russell's bottom tow-net; BTS, small beam trawl; DC, conical dredge; DL and DLH, large dredge; DS, small dredge; LH, hand lines; $\mathrm{N}_{4}-\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{N}_{7}-\mathrm{T}$, nets attached to back of trawl; $\mathrm{N}_{5} 0,50 \mathrm{~cm}$. tow-net; $\mathrm{N}_{7} \mathrm{o}, 70 \mathrm{~cm}$. tow-net; N 100, 1 m . tow-net; $\mathrm{N} 200,2 \mathrm{~m}$. tow-net; $\mathrm{N}_{450}$, $4 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}$. tow-net; NC 50 , coarse 50 cm . tow-net; NCS-D, NCS-T, NCS-N, tow-net attached to dredge, trawl or other net; NRL, large rectangular net; NRM, medium rectangular net; OTL, large otter trawl; TYF and TYF 70 B , young-fish trawl.
    Where the depth of termination of an oblique haul is written ' $(-\circ$ )' it must be understood that the net failed to close at some intended intermediate depth and fished all the way to the surface. The last part of the haul from the intended depth of closing to the surface would usually occupy a small fraction of the total time of fishing.

[^1]:    1870 Lophogaster ingens Dohrn, p. 6ıo, figs.
    1873 Gnathophausia inflata W.-Suhm, in MS.
    1885a Guathophausia ingens, G. O. Sars, p. 30, figs.
    1885 a Guathophausia calcarata G. O. Sars, p. 35, figs.
    189ı b Gnathophansia bengalensis Wood-Mason, J., and Alcock, A., p. 269.
    1906b Gnathophausia doryphora Illig, p. 227; 1930, p. 407, figs. (as G. ingens).
    1906 Gnathophausia ingens, Ortmann, p. 28.
    1912 Guathophausia ingeus, Hansen, p. 184; 1927, p. 15, figs.
    195 I Gnathophausia ingens, W. M. Tattersall, p. 25.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Measured from the apex of the rostrum to the apex of the telson.

[^3]:    * Where the rostrum is broken the figure given in brackets is the length from the anterior margin of the eye to the apex of the telson and the animal occupies that place in the series which, from other measurements, it is estimated that it would

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {I }}$ See footnote on page 67.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since writing the above I have heard from Dr Banner that he is withdrawing $B$. kinkaidi as a synonym of $B$. californica. [O.S.T.]

